VALUE ANALYSIS

Authored by

mohammad looti

October 19, 2025

VALUE ANALYSIS

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Social Sciences (Content Analysis, Psychology, Communication Studies), Management Science, Industrial Engineering

1. Core Definition and Disciplinary Interpretations

The term **Value Analysis** (VA) carries distinct, though methodologically related, meanings across different academic and professional disciplines. In the context of social sciences, particularly psychology, communication, and sociology, Value Analysis is defined as a specific, systematic form of content analysis applied to written or penned materials. This methodology involves the construction of a detailed notation system, often presented in tabular form, dedicated to meticulously recording the frequency and intensity of all expressions or statements within the material that reference a set of predefined, ascertained societal or personal **values**. The primary goal is quantitative assessment, transforming qualitative textual data into measurable frequencies to understand the underlying value structure and orientation of the author or source material. This psychological or sociological approach focuses on decoding implicit or explicit value systems--such as references to achievement, tradition, hedonism, security, or benevolence--by systematically cataloging their occurrence. This quantification allows researchers to draw robust, comparative conclusions about cultural norms, ideological shifts, or individual psychological profiles as reflected in communication patterns.

Conversely, and perhaps more commonly in a global business context, Value Analysis is understood as a formal, organized effort directed at analyzing the function of a product, service, or system with the aim of achieving the necessary function at the lowest overall cost without compromising quality, reliability, or performance. In this industrial application, Value Analysis is often used interchangeably with **Value Engineering** (VE), though purists distinguish them based on timing: VA is applied to existing products, while VE is applied during the design and development phase. This industrial interpretation is a management technique focused squarely on maximizing the functional value received per unit of expenditure. Regardless of the disciplinary context--whether analyzing the intrinsic values embedded in literature or the functional value embedded in manufactured goods--the underlying principle remains the same: a systematic decomposition and rigorous examination of an entity to identify areas for quantitative improvement or optimization relative to a defined concept of value.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The origins of the two primary interpretations of Value Analysis developed largely independently. The social science interpretation emerged within the broader development of **content analysis** as a rigorous research method, especially during the mid-20th century. Researchers sought tools to

objectively quantify qualitative textual phenomena, particularly in political rhetoric and mass media. Early pioneers in communication studies and psychology recognized the need to move beyond simple thematic counting and develop sophisticated coding schemes that could capture subtle references to psychological constructs, such as underlying motivations and values. This methodological lineage is deeply rooted in the need for reliable measurement in the study of human communication, establishing protocols for inter-coder reliability and systematic sampling of text, ensuring that the identification of value-laden expressions is consistent and objective.

The industrial application of Value Analysis, often referred to as Value Engineering, has a distinct and well-documented history dating back to World War II. It was formally pioneered by Lawrence D. Miles, an engineer working for the General Electric (GE) company. Facing severe materials shortages during the war, Miles and his team were forced to find alternative, readily available components to maintain production. In doing so, they often discovered that the substitute components not only cost less but sometimes performed the required function better than the original parts. Miles codified this accidental discovery into a systematic methodology, realizing that simply substituting materials was insufficient; a structured analysis of the **function** itself was required. This systematic approach became known as Value Analysis (VA) and later Value Engineering (VE). Miles' work established the fundamental formula V = F/C (Value equals Function divided by Cost), emphasizing that value can be increased by either improving function or reducing cost, or both simultaneously.

3. Key Methodological Characteristics in Content Analysis

In the realm of methodological research, Value Analysis employs several critical techniques to ensure objectivity when analyzing penned material. This approach necessitates the use of predefined categories derived from established value theories, such as those proposed by Rokeach or Schwartz. The researcher must meticulously operationalize these abstract values into observable, measurable linguistic indicators. For example, the value of "Security" might be operationalized by counting the frequency of phrases related to stability, protection, or threat avoidance. The precision of this operationalization directly impacts the validity of the final analysis.

The method relies heavily on the construction of a sophisticated **coding scheme** or protocol. This protocol serves as the step-by-step notation guide referenced in the definition, instructing coders on how to identify and classify every value expression encountered in the text. This systematic notation usually includes recording not just the mere presence of a value reference, but also its context, intensity (e.g., strong endorsement vs. simple mention), and the thematic unit in which it appears. Furthermore, central to the reliability of this quantitative methodology is the requirement for high inter-coder reliability, meaning multiple independent coders must agree on the categorization of value expressions, thus minimizing subjective bias inherent in interpreting complex human language. The end result is a frequency table that allows for statistical inference

regarding the prominence and hierarchy of values within the analyzed document set.

4. The Industrial Engineering Perspective: Value Engineering Distinction

While the social scientific VA focuses on extracting intrinsic human values, the industrial interpretation is an economic and functional discipline. In this context, Value Analysis (VA) and its prospective counterpart, Value Engineering (VE), are critical components of Value Management (VM). These tools are deployed to ensure that every cost incurred contributes meaningfully to the required function of the item. The core philosophy dictates that cost reduction alone is not the objective; rather, it is the improvement of the **value ratio** (Function/Cost).

A key characteristic of industrial VA is its rigorous focus on **function definition**. Instead of accepting the product as designed, the VA process forces the team to define the product's function using a two-word active verb-noun structure (e.g., "Transmit Power," "Illuminate Area," or "Prevent Contamination"). This strict definition prevents the analysis from being constrained by the existing physical form of the product, opening up creative avenues for achieving that function potentially through entirely different, lower-cost means. For example, if a complex assembly's function is merely "Hold Component," the VA team might discover that a simple adhesive achieves the same function more cheaply than a sophisticated bracket assembly. This function-centric approach distinguishes VA from traditional cost-cutting exercises, which often sacrifice necessary quality or performance.

5. Procedure and Implementation Steps (The Job Plan)

Regardless of whether Value Analysis is applied in an industrial or administrative context, its success hinges upon adherence to a structured, multi-phase job plan, typically conducted by a multi-disciplinary team. Lawrence Miles formalized the original job plan, which has since been adapted but retains its essential structure, ensuring systematic thoroughness:

Information Phase: The team gathers all relevant data concerning the product, service, or communication material under review. This involves understanding the current cost structure, performance requirements, quality metrics (industrial VA), or detailed documentation and context of the text (content analysis VA). A clear definition of the scope and objectives is established, often including identifying the highest-cost or most frequently occurring components or values.

Functional Analysis Phase: This is arguably the most critical step. For industrial VA, functions are broken down into basic and secondary categories using the verb-noun definition structure, often resulting in a Function Analysis System Technique (FAST) diagram. For content analysis VA, this involves systematically identifying and categorizing all expressions that align with the predefined value categories established during the initial scoping.

Creative Phase: The team employs structured brainstorming techniques to generate alternative

ways to achieve the necessary functions (industrial) or interpret the variations in value expressions (content analysis). The goal here is quantity over quality, temporarily suspending judgment to generate the maximum number of potential solutions or insights.

Evaluation and Analysis Phase: Alternatives generated in the creative phase are rigorously evaluated against criteria such as cost savings, implementation difficulty, technical feasibility, and required performance (industrial), or statistical significance and validity against theoretical frameworks (content analysis). The most promising alternatives are selected for detailed development.

Recommendation and Presentation Phase: Detailed proposals are prepared, documenting the current state, the proposed change, the calculated benefits (e.g., projected cost savings or reliability gains), and the necessary implementation plan. This phase often requires formal presentation to decision-makers to secure buy-in.

Implementation and Follow-up Phase: The accepted recommendations are put into practice. Crucially, a follow-up mechanism is established to verify that the projected benefits are realized and that the functional integrity or value measurement accuracy has been maintained or improved.

6. Significance and Impact

The significance of Value Analysis lies in its ability to introduce systematic rigor into processes traditionally left to intuition or incremental improvement. In the **industrial sphere**, VA/VE is a cornerstone of competitive strategy, directly leading to lower production costs, improved reliability, and enhanced customer satisfaction by focusing organizational resources on delivering essential functions efficiently. It shifts the corporate culture away from simply accepting legacy designs towards a continuous, function-based questioning of all expenditures, thereby driving long-term innovation and competitiveness in global markets. It is particularly impactful in complex manufacturing and large-scale government procurement, where marginal cost reductions yield massive aggregate savings.

In the **social sciences**, Value Analysis elevates content analysis from simple word counts to a powerful tool for decoding complex socio-psychological phenomena. By systematically quantifying value references, researchers can objectively track cultural shifts, compare the ideological stance of different media outlets, or analyze the underlying motivations within political discourse. This systematic approach provides critical empirical evidence for theories related to motivation, cultural anthropology, and media effects, offering a quantifiable window into the normative universe of the analyzed population or text. Its importance is tied directly to the need for objective, high-validity methods in interpreting human communication.

7. Limitations and Critiques

Despite its systematic nature, Value Analysis faces specific limitations in both its forms. A common

critique of **industrial VA** centers on organizational resistance. Established teams often exhibit inertia, preferring traditional methods over the disruptive, cross-functional questioning that VA demands. Furthermore, accurately quantifying "value" in highly complex or customized systems can be subjective, potentially leading to analyses that overlook intangible benefits (e.g., aesthetic appeal, brand perception) that contribute significantly to the overall customer experience but are difficult to monetize or define functionally. If the VA process is solely driven by cost-cutting targets without sufficient functional analysis, it risks damaging essential quality or alienating stakeholders.

For the **content analysis application**, the primary limitation lies in the challenges associated with coding subjective values. Although the methodology strives for objectivity through rigorous coding protocols, the initial selection and definition of the value categories are inherently theoretical and thus subject to debate. Moreover, language is nuanced; identifying the *intensity* or *context* of a value reference accurately requires considerable training and effort to maintain inter-coder reliability. Critics argue that while VA succeeds in providing quantitative data, it may sacrifice the rich, contextual understanding afforded by purely qualitative methods, potentially simplifying complex human expressions of values into mere frequency counts, thereby losing the holistic meaning embedded in the text.

Further Reading

Value Engineering (Wikipedia)
Content Analysis (Wikipedia)
Lawrence D. Miles (Wikipedia)