

UNDERCLASS

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 23, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *UNDERCLASS*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=53955>

UNDERCLASS

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Sociology, Economics, Public Policy, Urban Studies

1. Core Definition

The term **Underclass** refers primarily to a distinct social stratum situated beneath the conventional socioeconomic classification structure, often characterized by severe, persistent poverty and marginalization. Sociologically, the underclass is often spatially concentrated, typically within inner-city areas or isolated rural regions, and marked by a confluence of compounding disadvantages that restrict social mobility and integration into the mainstream economy. This classification is differentiated from general poverty by the chronic nature of its exclusion and the intergenerational transmission of deprivation.

Specifically, individuals categorized within the underclass face pervasive challenges, including insufficient educational and vocational opportunities, high rates of significant unemployment or chronic underemployment, and elevated levels of social disorganization manifested through crime, substance abuse, and dependence on inadequate social services. The defining feature of the underclass, according to this definition, is the scarcity of community-reinforcing facilities and institutions, leading to profound social isolation and the breakdown of conventional support networks.

More broadly defined, the underclass may encompass any group that lacks equal or direct access to the standard legal, educational, economic, medical, or cultural customs and resources of the dominant society. In this generalized context, the term applies to populations systematically denied full participation due to structural barriers, regardless of their immediate geographic location. This exclusion implies a state of permanent detachment from the opportunities required for economic self-sufficiency and social inclusion, thereby maintaining a status fundamentally below the working poor or the economically vulnerable population.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

While the concept of a permanently disadvantaged stratum has historical roots, the specific term **Underclass** gained widespread sociological and political currency in the latter half of the 20th century. Swedish economist Gunnar Myrdal is frequently credited with popularizing the term in the 1960s, using it to describe marginalized populations in the United States who were increasingly irrelevant to the modern, affluent economy due to automation and structural shifts. Myrdal observed that these individuals were not merely poor, but were becoming detached from the labor market entirely, forming a group whose poverty was structural and persistent.

The concept was significantly revived and scrutinized in the 1980s, primarily in the context of rising

urban poverty and social problems in American cities. Key studies, particularly those focusing on the structural transformation of the inner city, propelled the term into academic and policy debates. Sociologists sought to distinguish this group from the broader category of "the poor," arguing that the underclass represented a population whose behavioral and economic characteristics were mutually reinforcing, creating a cycle of deprivation that transcended temporary economic downturns.

The debate surrounding the underclass intensified with the work of scholars like William Julius Wilson, whose influential books examined the relationship between deindustrialization, outward migration of the black middle class, and the resulting concentration of poverty in segregated neighborhoods. Wilson argued that joblessness, rather than specific cultural deficits, was the central mechanism creating and sustaining the underclass. His analysis provided a powerful counter-narrative to earlier, more culturally focused explanations, such as the "culture of poverty" concept, by emphasizing the role of large-scale economic and spatial transformations.

3. Key Characteristics and Manifestations

The underclass is defined not just by low income, but by a clustering of negative socioeconomic indicators that reflect deep systemic exclusion. One of the most critical characteristics is **chronic joblessness** or precarious employment. Unlike the working poor who hold low-wage jobs, members of the underclass often experience extended periods without formal employment, or they are confined to the informal economy, making them ineligible for stable benefits or career advancement. This lack of connection to the formal labor market limits their access to employer-provided insurance, retirement savings, and skill development.

Furthermore, the underclass typically faces profound deficits in social capital and institutional support. Residential segregation concentrates these issues, leading to communities marked by poor infrastructure, inadequate public schooling, and the absence of stabilizing mainstream institutions like banks, quality healthcare providers, and functional civic organizations. This environmental deprivation fosters conditions conducive to social pathology, including high rates of inter-community violence, drug and alcohol dependency, and increased involvement in criminal activities--factors which further impede any attempts at upward mobility.

A critical manifestation of underclass status is the phenomenon of **intergenerational poverty**. The disadvantages faced by parents--such as insufficient education, chronic unemployment, and exposure to crime--are transmitted to their children, locking successive generations into cycles of marginalization. This transmission occurs both through environmental constraints (poor schools, unsafe neighborhoods) and the limited aspirational and resource base available within the household, ensuring that the structural barriers to success are continually reproduced across time.

4. Theories of Causation: Structural vs. Behavioral

The academic discourse surrounding the underclass is heavily polarized between explanations emphasizing structural forces and those focusing on behavioral or cultural deficiencies. The **Structural Theory** posits that the formation of the underclass is primarily a consequence of broad macroeconomic and political changes. Key structural factors include the decline of manufacturing industries in urban centers (deindustrialization), the shift toward a service-based economy requiring higher education, and spatial mismatch, where available jobs are geographically isolated from poor residential areas. Proponents of this view argue that poverty persists because the economic system no longer provides entry-level opportunities that can support a family, irrespective of individual motivation or effort.

In contrast, the **Behavioral or Cultural Theory**, often associated with earlier debates surrounding the Culture of Poverty concept, suggests that the underclass status is perpetuated by specific norms, values, and behaviors developed in response to pervasive poverty and isolation. This perspective argues that chronic deprivation leads to adaptive but ultimately self-defeating behaviors, such as short-term planning, reliance on welfare, non-marital childbearing, and disengagement from mainstream institutions. Critics of the term often point out that focusing on behavior risks "blaming the victim" and ignores the material conditions that necessitated those adaptations in the first place.

A more synthesized perspective, advanced by contemporary scholars, recognizes the interplay between structure and culture. This view holds that structural forces--like economic retreat and segregation--create the initial context of deprivation, but the resulting social isolation fosters environments where alternative, often maladaptive, cultural patterns can develop and thrive. Thus, while individual behavior is a factor, it is deeply rooted in and reinforced by the systemic lack of opportunities and resources available within marginalized communities, demanding intervention on both material and institutional levels.

5. Policy Implications and Interventions

The identification of a distinct underclass has profound implications for public policy, requiring interventions that go beyond standard income transfer programs. Policies aimed at mitigating underclass conditions must address the foundational issue of **economic isolation**. This often translates into large-scale initiatives focused on urban renewal, infrastructure investment, and the relocation of jobs or residents to improve spatial and educational access. Furthermore, targeted job training programs linked directly to emerging labor market needs are essential to bridge the skills gap resulting from decades of educational neglect.

Beyond economic measures, effective policy requires strengthening the institutional fabric of underclass neighborhoods. This includes reforming failing school systems, improving access to

quality healthcare, and strategically placing community-reinforcing facilities such as accessible mental health services and youth development centers. The objective is to increase the level of social control and opportunity within the community itself, reducing reliance on external, often punitive, governmental intervention.

However, policy implementation is frequently complicated by political resistance and the difficulty of defining the target population without stigmatization. Early policy responses in some nations focused heavily on welfare reform, based on the assumption that perverse incentives drove dependency. More recent, evidence-based interventions tend to prioritize early childhood development, mobility programs (such as housing vouchers aimed at de-concentrating poverty), and place-based investments intended to revitalize struggling local economies, acknowledging that sustained success requires addressing the structural roots of marginalization.

6. Global Context and Comparisons

While the concept of the underclass originated largely in discussions about poor, segregated minority populations in major American cities, similar strata of deep marginalization exist globally. In European contexts, the term often applies to long-term unemployed individuals, immigrant groups facing systemic exclusion, and marginalized youth populations who lack skills relevant to modern economies. European definitions frequently emphasize exclusion from the social state and the formal labor market rather than purely residential segregation, although geographic concentration of poverty remains a significant factor.

In developing nations, the concept is sometimes used to describe the vast populations in informal settlements or urban slums who are detached from the legal framework, regulatory protections, and public services of the formal economy. These groups face extreme deprivation, often lacking basic sanitation, clean water, and legal property rights, placing them structurally beneath the established working classes and outside the reach of formal governance. The global underclass is thus marked by a fundamental lack of social rights and institutional recognition.

Cross-national comparisons highlight that the specific mechanisms creating the underclass differ based on political economy and welfare regime. For instance, in societies with strong welfare states, the underclass may be characterized more by social exclusion (lack of integration, loss of status) than by absolute material deprivation. Conversely, in highly unequal societies with minimal safety nets, the underclass is defined by extreme material deprivation, high mortality rates, and complete functional irrelevance to the national economic engines, creating a vast gap between the privileged and the permanently excluded.

7. Debates and Criticisms

The term **Underclass** remains highly controversial within academic and political spheres, primarily

due to concerns regarding its pejorative nature and the potential for stigmatization. Critics argue that the term often carries a judgmental connotation, implicitly linking poverty to moral failure or social pathology, thereby justifying reduced public support and shifting focus away from systemic structural injustices like racism and economic exploitation. The use of the term can lead to a simplified narrative that equates economic deprivation with specific, undesirable behaviors, often racializing the issue in countries like the United States.

Furthermore, many sociologists question the analytical utility and empirical validity of the category. They argue that the underclass is not a monolithic group but a highly heterogeneous population exhibiting diverse economic connections and social realities. Lumping together the long-term unemployed, single mothers, and former inmates into a single category may obscure the specific policy needs of each group and fail to account for mobility in and out of extreme deprivation. Some scholars prefer terms like "the severely poor," "structurally marginalized," or "persistently jobless" to avoid the negative baggage associated with the underclass label.

Despite these criticisms, proponents argue that the term is necessary to distinguish those who are merely poor from those who are truly disconnected from the core economic and institutional life of society. They maintain that the clustering of multiple disadvantages--joblessness, spatial isolation, and social disorganization--creates a unique state of affairs that demands a unique analytical category and targeted policy response. The ongoing debate reflects the tension between accurately describing profound social exclusion and avoiding the political and social harm of labeling marginalized populations.

Further Reading

[Underclass \(Sociology\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Underclass - Britannica](#)

[The Truly Disadvantaged: The Inner City, the Underclass, and Public Policy - William Julius Wilson \(1987\)](#)

[Gunnar Myrdal and the concept of the Underclass](#)