TIME AND MOTION STUDY

Authored by

mohammad looti

October 22, 2025

TIME AND MOTION STUDY

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Industrial Engineering, Scientific Management, Organizational Psychology, Operations Management

1. Core Definition and Methodology

The **Time and Motion Study** (T&MS), sometimes referred to interchangeably as the Motion and Time Study, is a systematic methodology used in industrial and organizational contexts to analyze work processes. Its fundamental goal is the objective measurement of work efficiency by dissecting complex industrial functions or jobs into their constituent, elemental steps and accurately recording the time required to complete each one. This analysis provides the empirical data necessary for establishing performance standards, optimizing workflow, and identifying non-value-added activities, thereby minimizing waste and maximizing organizational output.

The methodology operates on the premise that all human work, regardless of its complexity, can be standardized and improved through rigorous scientific analysis. By observing workers, timing their tasks, and categorizing their movements, practitioners aim to develop the single "best way" to perform any given job. This standardization serves multiple purposes, ranging from the rationalization of pay scales and pricing policy to the development of effective training procedures for new employees, ensuring consistent quality and predictable production metrics across the organization.

The application of T&MS is divided into two primary, yet interconnected, components: the **Time Study**, which focuses on duration and rate of work, and the **Motion Study**, which focuses on the efficiency and economy of movement. While historically developed by different figures, modern practice integrates both aspects to achieve a holistic understanding of task execution. The comprehensive result of such an investigation is typically a standardized work process accompanied by an established standard time, against which future performance is measured.

2. Etymology and Historical Development (Scientific Management)

The origins of the Time and Motion Study are deeply embedded in the late 19th and early 20th century movement known as Scientific Management, championed primarily by **Frederick Winslow Taylor**. Taylor's work revolutionized industry by shifting management philosophy from traditional, subjective rule-of-thumb methods to systematic, data-driven analysis. The historical imperative for T&MS arose from the need to manage increasingly complex factory systems and large, often unskilled, workforces resulting from the Second Industrial Revolution.

Taylor focused intensely on the "Time Study" component, believing that establishing a scientifically accurate time standard for every task was the key to eliminating soldiering (deliberate

underperformance) and ensuring a fair day's work for a fair day's pay. His method involved selecting the most skilled worker, timing them repeatedly, and factoring in allowances for fatigue and unavoidable delays to calculate the standard time. This systematic approach laid the groundwork for modern industrial engineering principles and profoundly influenced factory design and labor organization globally.

While Taylor emphasized the timing element, the "Motion Study" was pioneered by the husband-and-wife team of **Frank and Lillian Gilbreth**. The Gilbreths, recognizing that speed without efficiency was meaningless, focused on analyzing and eliminating wasted motions. Their contributions evolved T&MS from merely a stopwatch exercise into a detailed kinematic analysis of human movement, providing a psychological dimension to the industrial process by acknowledging the physical and mental effort involved in work.

3. The Role of Time Study (Frederick Taylor)

The **Time Study**, as formalized by Taylor, is the procedure through which the duration required for a specific task is determined. This process is highly formalized and involves several critical steps designed to ensure objectivity and repeatability. The first step involves standardizing the job itself, documenting the exact method and equipment used, before observation even begins. This eliminates variations caused by differing approaches among workers.

Once the method is standardized, a time study analyst observes the worker performing the task, dividing the total operation into small, measurable elements (e.g., "reach for part," "grasp part," "insert screw"). Each element is timed using a highly precise device, historically a stopwatch, though modern applications often use video analysis or dedicated software. Multiple cycles of the task are recorded to obtain an average observed time, which minimizes the impact of anomalies or momentary variations in performance.

Crucially, the observed time is not the final standard. Analysts must then apply a **rating factor** (or leveling factor) to the observed time to adjust for the worker's pace relative to a defined "normal" performance level. If the observed worker is working faster than normal, the time is increased; if slower, it is decreased. This adjusted figure yields the **normal time**. Finally, allowances--such as those for personal needs, unavoidable delays, and the reduction of employee fatigue--are added to the normal time to calculate the final **standard time** required to complete the task under normal working conditions.

4. The Role of Motion Study (Frank and Lillian Gilbreth)

The **Motion Study** component, developed largely by the Gilbreths, aims to achieve maximum efficiency through the scientific minimization of unnecessary human movement. The core principle dictates that productivity can be dramatically increased not by speeding up the worker, but by

eliminating inefficient, awkward, or redundant motions that contribute nothing to the final product. This approach inherently serves to lessen employee fatigue, a vital goal often overlooked in pure time measurement studies.

The Gilbreths introduced the concept of therbligs (Gilbreth spelled backward, with a slight transposition), which are 18 elementary units of human motion or mental activity used in any manual work. Examples of therbligs include "Search," "Find," "Grasp," "Transport Loaded," and "Position." By dissecting a task into these micro-movements, analysts can chart the exact flow of the operation and pinpoint wasted effort, such as reaching too far, shifting objects unnecessarily, or momentary hesitation.

The primary tools for motion study include cinematic techniques (e.g., micromotion studies using film) and specialized charts (e.g., flow process charts, right-hand/left-hand charts). The goal is to apply principles of motion economy, designing workplaces, tools, and sequences of operation that facilitate smooth, repetitive, and ergonomically sound movements. The resulting improvements often involve redesigning the workstation layout or modifying tools to reduce the physical and cognitive load on the worker.

5. Objectives and Applications

The implementation of Time and Motion Study serves a multitude of strategic and operational objectives within an organization. One fundamental purpose is to establish accurate **performance goals** and standardized production quotas. By knowing precisely how long a task should take, management can accurately forecast output, plan capacity, and schedule production lines, thereby optimizing resource allocation and reducing bottlenecks in the manufacturing or service delivery process.

Furthermore, T&MS is instrumental in the rationalization of labor compensation. The resulting standard times provide an objective basis for implementing **incentive wage systems**, where workers are rewarded for exceeding the established standard time, ensuring that pay scales are directly correlated with measured productivity. This standardization also allows organizations to develop fair and equitable pricing policies for their products or services, as labor costs can be calculated with high precision.

Beyond simple productivity escalation, the detailed analysis offered by T&MS plays a crucial role in improving workplace safety and efficiency. By optimizing movement and minimizing wasted effort, management can often reduce physical strain, ergonomic hazards, and repetitive motion injuries, which inherently prevents mishaps and reduces the overall costs associated with employee turnover and absenteeism. The incorporation of allowances for rest and fatigue, derived from the time study, is directly aimed at maintaining worker health and long-term sustainable output.

6. Modern Iterations and Variants

While the classical stopwatch and clipboard approach remains foundational, modern industrial practice has evolved T&MS into sophisticated variants, often integrated with advanced technology. One significant evolution is the use of **Predetermined Motion Time Systems (PMTS)**, such as Methods-Time Measurement (MTM). PMTS assigns standardized, pre-calculated time values to elemental motions (similar to therbligs) based on extensive prior research, eliminating the need for continuous on-site stopwatch observations and reducing subjectivity in the rating process.

In contemporary operations management, T&MS principles are often synthesized with methodologies like Lean Manufacturing and Six Sigma. Lean philosophy heavily relies on identifying and eliminating waste (Muda), and the micro-analysis of movement provided by motion studies is essential for identifying process waste, unnecessary transport, and waiting time. Techniques like value stream mapping owe a theoretical debt to the foundational breakdown of tasks pioneered by Taylor and the Gilbreths.

Today, technology dramatically enhances the application of these concepts. Wearable sensors, motion capture technology, and video analysis software provide highly accurate, non-intrusive data on worker activity, movement patterns, and cycle times. Furthermore, the principles of T&MS are increasingly applied to white-collar and service industries, not just manufacturing, through business process mapping and optimization studies aimed at improving administrative efficiency and standardizing customer service protocols.

7. Debates, Criticisms, and Ethical Concerns

Despite its foundational role in modern industrial efficiency, Time and Motion Study has faced significant and persistent criticism since its inception, primarily relating to its impact on labor relations and worker autonomy. Critics argue that T&MS methodologies often treat human laborers as interchangeable cogs in a machine, reducing complex human activity to mechanistic, quantifiable units and leading to the **dehumanization of labor**.

A major contention is that T&MS inherently promotes an adversarial relationship between management and labor. Workers often perceive the studies as a tool for increased surveillance and pressure, designed solely to intensify the pace of work without corresponding increases in compensation or dignity. The accuracy of the "normal pace" rating factor is also frequently debated, as it relies on the subjective judgment of the analyst, potentially leading to unrealistic or unsustainable work standards that neglect individual variation in skill, stamina, and physical capability.

Furthermore, while the original intent included reducing fatigue, aggressive application of T&MS standards often leads to **speed-ups**--a demand for higher output in the same or less time--

resulting in increased stress, burnout, and repetitive strain injuries. Ethical concerns focus on the loss of worker knowledge and skill, as the standardization process extracts operational expertise from the worker and embeds it within the management system, effectively deskilling the workforce and diminishing professional pride and intellectual contribution.

Further Reading

Scientific Management (Wikipedia)
Frederick Winslow Taylor (Wikipedia)
Frank and Lillian Gilbreth (Wikipedia)
Therblig (Wikipedia)