

# Ternus Illusion

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 9, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Ternus Illusion*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=35866>

## Ternus Illusion

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Visual Perception, Cognitive Psychology, Experimental Psychology

### 1. Core Definition

The Ternus Illusion, frequently referred to as the **Ternus Effect**, is a fundamental phenomenon in the study of visual perception and apparent motion. This illusion describes a situation where the brain experiences two distinct, mutually exclusive perceptual states when viewing a rapid sequence of discrete stimuli. Classically, the stimulus consists of a set of three elements (typically small dots or circles) presented in Frame 1 (F1), followed shortly by a second frame (F2) where the configuration shifts slightly, usually by one element's width, while maintaining the overall appearance of the array.

The visual system, in attempting to resolve the ambiguity inherent in the rapid presentation, typically registers one of two possible movements. The first is **Element Motion** (also known as partial identity or partial grouping), where the outermost elements appear to remain stationary, and only the central element seems to jump to the opposite side. The second and more compelling percept is **Group Motion** (or total identity), where the entire collection of elements appears to shift coherently as a single unit, leading the observer to perceive a displacement of the whole set.

Understanding the Ternus Illusion is critical because it offers a precise, controllable experimental paradigm for investigating how the human visual system solves the **correspondence problem**-- the challenge of matching elements in one visual frame to their counterparts in the next frame when motion is implied rather than continuously viewed. The illusion demonstrates that motion perception is not simply based on retinal input, but is an active, constructive process heavily influenced by temporal and spatial parameters, along with internal cognitive mechanisms related to grouping and identity.

### 2. Etymology and Historical Development

The Ternus Illusion derives its name from the German Gestalt psychologist **Joseph Ternus**, who first documented the effect in his 1926 paper, "Experimentelle Untersuchungen über phänomenale Identität" (Experimental Investigations on Phenomenal Identity). Ternus was deeply rooted in the traditions of Gestalt Psychology, which emphasized that the whole is greater than the sum of its parts, particularly concerning perceptual organization and grouping.

Ternus's original work aimed to explore the continuity and identity of objects across temporal gaps in visual presentation. He sought to understand how the brain decides whether an object remains the same entity even after being momentarily replaced or repositioned. His findings provided strong empirical evidence that the perception of identity is malleable and can be dictated by the

organizational tendencies of the visual field. This early work helped establish the foundation for modern research into grouping mechanisms and the cognitive processes underlying apparent motion, distinguishing it from the simple sensory registration of movement.

Following its initial description, the Ternus Illusion remained a key experimental tool, particularly as cognitive psychology matured in the latter half of the 20th century. Researchers began to systematically manipulate the variables identified by Ternus--specifically the duration of the inter-stimulus interval (ISI) and the physical arrangement of the elements--to quantify the conditions under which one percept (Element Motion) dominates over the other (Group Motion). This research agenda cemented the illusion's place as a cornerstone for studying attention, visual memory, and the formation of perceptual objects.

### 3. Key Characteristics and Perceptual States

The Ternus Illusion is defined by the reliable competition between two distinct perceptual outcomes, which are largely determined by temporal factors.

**Element Motion (Partial Grouping):** This percept is characterized by the identification of the two stationary elements (the outside dots in the classic three-dot array) as maintaining their identity across the shift. The observer perceives the missing element from the array to have moved or jumped to the newly vacated spot on the opposite side. This type of motion perception suggests a local, feature-based tracking system where individual elements are prioritized over the collective structure. Element Motion typically dominates when the temporal gap between F1 and F2 (the Inter-Stimulus Interval (ISI)) is relatively long, allowing sufficient time for local processing and the formation of distinct object files for the individual components.

**Group Motion (Total Grouping):** This percept occurs when the visual system treats the entire array (e.g., the three dots) as a single, unified perceptual object. The observer sees the entire group displace horizontally, meaning the elements in F1 are matched with the elements in F2 collectively, not individually. Group Motion suggests that a holistic template or structure takes precedence over the identity of the component features. This holistic perception is usually dominant when the ISI is very short, leading to rapid, integrated processing that favors the simplest possible interpretation of displacement for the entire group.

The ability of the visual system to switch between these two modes of perception based on subtle changes in timing is a critical characteristic. It highlights the dynamic nature of visual organization, demonstrating that the construction of an "object" in consciousness is dependent on the speed and contiguity of the sensory input. Furthermore, the illusion is often experienced as bistable, meaning that under intermediate temporal conditions, observers can sometimes voluntarily shift their perception between Element Motion and Group Motion, providing insight into top-down attentional control over otherwise automatic visual processes.

## 4. Experimental Parameters and Variables

The outcome of the Ternus Illusion--whether Element Motion or Group Motion is perceived--is highly sensitive to several precisely controlled experimental parameters, making it an invaluable tool for quantitative psychophysics.

The most critical variable is the **Inter-Stimulus Interval (ISI)**, the blank duration between the disappearance of Frame 1 and the appearance of Frame 2. When the ISI is short (typically under 50-100 ms), the visual system favors **Group Motion**, interpreting the shift as a continuous displacement of a single, rigid object. As the ISI increases (ranging into 150-300 ms), the likelihood of perceiving **Element Motion** rises dramatically, indicating that the delay allows the individual identity of the elements to be established and tracked across the gap.

Other significant variables include the **Stimulus Onset Asynchrony (SOA)**, which is the time from the start of F1 to the start of F2, encompassing both the duration of F1 and the ISI. The duration of the stimulus presentation (F1 and F2 duration) itself also plays a role; longer durations facilitate more stable initial organization, potentially favoring Group Motion, while very brief durations can make correspondence more difficult. Spatial parameters are also crucial; increasing the spatial separation between the elements, or increasing the overall displacement distance between F1 and F2, generally pushes perception toward the less integrated **Element Motion**, as the visual field struggle to group distant elements rapidly.

## 5. Underlying Mechanisms of Apparent Motion

The Ternus Illusion is often used to differentiate between competing theoretical accounts of how the brain solves the correspondence problem in apparent motion.

**Feature Tracking Models:** These models propose that the visual system tracks low-level features (color, luminance, location) individually across time. According to this view, Element Motion occurs because the two stationary outer elements represent the shortest or most stable path for individual feature correspondence. Group Motion, however, is often more difficult to explain solely through feature tracking and requires an additional mechanism to enforce structural rigidity.

**Object File Theory:** Proposed by Anne Treisman, this theory suggests that the visual system creates temporary mental representations, known as "object files," for perceived objects. These files bind together the features belonging to a single entity. In the Ternus display, the visual system attempts to match the object files from F1 to F2. If the ISI is short, a single object file for the entire group is maintained and matched (Group Motion). If the ISI is long, the visual system has time to dismantle the initial group file and create individual files for the component elements, leading to Element Motion where only two files are successfully matched across the gap, and the third is perceived as having moved or changed identity.

More recently, neuroscientific research employing EEG and fMRI has supported the idea that different brain regions are involved in processing the two percepts. Group Motion is thought to rely more on holistic processing pathways, potentially engaging areas related to object recognition and global form perception, while Element Motion is linked to more detailed, local processing in early visual areas, emphasizing the interplay between structurally-driven organization and temporal constraints on cognitive resources.

## 6. Significance and Impact

The Ternus Illusion holds profound significance in cognitive science because it serves as a powerful demonstrator of the **constructive nature of visual perception**. It shows unequivocally that what we perceive as continuous motion or stable identity is not merely a reflection of external reality but a result of internal inferential processes designed to find the most probable and simplest interpretation of sequential sensory data.

The illusion is central to studying **visual working memory (VWM)** and attention. The shift from Group Motion (holistic processing, low reliance on memory) to Element Motion (tracking individual components, higher demand on VWM) as the temporal gap increases provides a clear measure of how long the visual system maintains a coherent, pre-attentive representation of an object before it decomposes into its constituent parts. Furthermore, by manipulating elements such as the color or shape of the dots, researchers can determine which features are most strongly preserved in the 'object file' that the brain attempts to track.

Ultimately, the Ternus Illusion is more than a perceptual curiosity; it is a critical benchmark for developing computational models of vision. Any comprehensive theory of visual processing, from Gestalt principles to modern Bayesian models of perception, must be able to accurately predict the conditions under which the brain will choose Element Motion over Group Motion, thereby validating its ability to simulate human perceptual organization.

## Further Reading

Ternus, J. (1926). Experimentelle Untersuchungen über phänomenale Identität. *Psychologische Forschung*, 7, 81-136.

[Apparent Motion \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Gestalt Psychology \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Ternus Display - ScienceDirect Topics](#)