

Supertaster

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 9, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Supertaster*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=35692>

Supertaster

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Sensory Science, Nutritional Genomics, Psychophysics, Evolutionary Biology

1. Core Definition

A supertaster is an individual who experiences the sense of taste, particularly bitterness, with significantly heightened intensity compared to the general population. This physiological categorization originated from observed differential responses to specific chemical compounds. The most definitive criterion for classifying an individual as a supertaster is the reporting of an extremely bitter, often unpleasant, taste upon exposure to the compound propylthiouracil (PROP). This extreme sensitivity is not merely psychological but is rooted in genetics and the density of chemosensory structures on the tongue. While all individuals possess taste receptors for bitter compounds, supertasters exhibit a specific genetic polymorphism that dramatically enhances the signal transduction pathway associated with certain bitter stimuli.

This enhanced sensitivity extends beyond synthetic chemicals like PROP and often affects the perception of naturally occurring bitter compounds found in foods such as cruciferous vegetables, coffee, and certain alcoholic beverages. Consequently, the world of flavor is experienced differently by supertasters, influencing their dietary choices, overall nutrition, and potentially their long-term health outcomes. The degree of perceived intensity forms a continuum across the human population, with supertasters occupying the high extreme of this distribution, differentiating them from 'medium tasters' (who experience a moderate bitterness) and 'nontasters' (who perceive little to no taste).

The core mechanism underlying the supertaster phenomenon is the presence and high expression level of a specific taste receptor type. Supertasters are believed to possess a greater number of fungiform papillae--the mushroom-shaped structures that house taste buds--on the tongue, leading to a higher concentration of the necessary receptor proteins. This structural difference, coupled with the genetic predisposition, allows supertasters to transduce chemical signals into neural impulses with far greater efficiency and magnitude than their less sensitive counterparts, validating the term coined by Dr. Linda Bartoshuk in the early 1990s.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The concept of variable taste sensitivity originated not with PROP, but with its predecessor, phenylthiocarbamide (PTC). In 1931, a chemist named Arthur Fox accidentally discovered that not everyone could taste PTC, leading to early research classifying individuals into "tasters" and "nontasters." However, it was not until the work of experimental psychologist Dr. Linda Bartoshuk, starting primarily in the 1980s and formalized in 1991, that the category of the "supertaster" was

established. Bartoshuk recognized that the previously defined "taster" group was not homogeneous; some tasters exhibited an intensity response to PROP far greater than others, necessitating a further division and the designation of the most sensitive individuals as supertasters.

Bartoshuk's seminal research involved detailed psychophysical scaling methods, requiring participants to rate the intensity of PROP compared to other common stimuli, revealing the distinctive high-end clustering of sensitivity that defined the supertaster group. This research demonstrated that the variability in taste perception was not simply a binary phenomenon (taster vs. nontaster) but a trinomial distribution, including nontasters, medium tasters, and supertasters. The replacement of the potentially toxic PTC with PROP allowed for safer and more standardized testing, solidifying the methodology used in subsequent sensory science studies.

The identification of the supertaster phenotype accelerated the integration of genetics into sensory research. While the initial classifications were purely behavioral and psychophysical, subsequent genetic studies successfully linked the differential tasting ability to specific alleles of the taste receptor gene TAS2R38. This genetic understanding provided a robust physiological basis for Bartoshuk's classification, shifting the concept from a descriptive observation to a verifiable biological trait, which has profound implications for understanding human evolution, dietary ecology, and personalized medicine.

3. Physiological Mechanism and Genetics

The underlying mechanism dictating supertaster status is primarily controlled by the TAS2R38 gene, located on chromosome 7. This gene codes for a specific G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) that functions as a bitter taste receptor, specializing in detecting glucosinolates, the chemical group to which both PTC and PROP belong. The human genome contains approximately 25 functional bitter taste receptor genes (TAS2Rs), but TAS2R38 is the key determinant for the supertaster phenotype relating to PROP sensitivity.

The TAS2R38 gene exhibits significant polymorphism, meaning it exists in different allelic forms within the human population. The primary alleles are AVI (non-tasting allele) and PAV (tasting allele). Supertasters are typically homozygous for the PAV allele (PAV/PAV), possessing two copies of the tasting variant, which results in the production of a highly functional receptor protein. Nontasters are typically homozygous for the non-tasting allele (AVI/AVI), leading to a receptor protein that either does not bind PROP effectively or does not signal efficiently. Medium tasters are generally heterozygotes (PAV/AVI). The structural difference in the receptor protein, specifically three amino acid substitutions dictated by the PAV allele, is responsible for the strong binding affinity to PROP and the subsequent intense neural signal generation.

Beyond the molecular level, supertasters often exhibit differences in the morphology and density of

their tongues. Research has consistently shown that supertasters possess a significantly higher density of fungiform papillae compared to nontasters. These papillae are the structures that house the taste buds, which, in turn, contain the taste receptor cells. A higher papillae density translates directly into an increased concentration of sensory apparatus exposed to taste molecules. This anatomical difference amplifies the effect of the genetically determined highly functional TAS2R38 receptors, collectively resulting in the extreme sensory experience characteristic of the supertaster.

4. Associated Taste Sensitivities

While the classification of a supertaster is based specifically on bitterness perception of PROP, research indicates that this heightened sensitivity is not isolated to PROP alone but extends across multiple taste modalities and chemesthetic sensations. Supertasters often exhibit increased sensitivity to bitterness from a wide range of natural compounds, including those found in dark green vegetables (isothiocyanates), certain tannins in red wine, and the alkaloids in coffee and tea. This generalized hypersensitivity means that many foods perceived as pleasantly bitter or moderately astringent by the average taster may register as overwhelmingly acid or repellent to the supertaster.

Furthermore, the supertaster phenotype has been linked to altered perception of other basic tastes. There is evidence suggesting that supertasters often perceive sweetness as more intense, sometimes finding highly sugared foods cloyingly sweet. Conversely, they may also experience fat differently. Studies suggest that supertasters show increased sensitivity to the texture and potential unctuousness of fatty foods, potentially influencing their consumption habits and leading to an avoidance of high-fat items, distinct from the bitter taste avoidance.

Perhaps one of the most significant extensions of the supertaster phenomenon is the increased sensitivity to chemesthetic stimuli, which are sensations perceived through the trigeminal nerve rather than the taste buds. This includes the burning sensation of chili peppers (capsaicin), the cooling effect of menthol, and the tactile irritation associated with carbonation or high concentrations of acid or salt. It is hypothesized that the higher density of sensory structures (papillae) on the supertaster tongue also correlates with a higher density of free nerve endings that transmit trigeminal sensations, compounding their overall experience of oral sensations and making them highly responsive to the full spectrum of flavor complexity and irritation.

5. Behavioral and Nutritional Correlates

The profound difference in sensory perception experienced by supertasters inevitably translates into distinctive behavioral patterns, particularly concerning food preferences and dietary intake. The intense aversion to bitter compounds often leads supertasters to avoid certain nutritionally dense foods, most notably members of the Brassicaceae family, such as broccoli, cauliflower,

cabbage, and Brussels sprouts. These vegetables contain beneficial sulfur-containing compounds (glucosinolates) that taste intensely bitter to supertasters, potentially leading to a chronic deficiency in certain vitamins and protective antioxidants.

Conversely, the avoidance patterns of supertasters can sometimes lead to healthier outcomes, particularly regarding fat consumption. As noted, supertasters may find high-fat foods less palatable, potentially resulting in a lower intake of saturated fats and cholesterol. Additionally, some studies suggest that due to their enhanced oral sensitivity, supertasters may consume less alcohol and smoke fewer cigarettes, as both habits involve strong, sometimes irritating, chemical stimuli that are perceived more intensely.

The challenge for supertasters lies in navigating a food environment designed for medium tasters. Their unique sensory profile demands specialized nutritional guidance. While they may be predisposed to avoiding essential vegetables, understanding their sensory profile allows nutritionists to recommend mitigation strategies, such as masking the bitterness through complementary flavors (e.g., adding fat or salt, which do not necessarily register as intensely bitter), or focusing on less bitter varieties of healthy foods, helping to balance their dietary intake and mitigate potential long-term health risks associated with chronic avoidance of key food groups.

6. Classification and Testing

The standard methodology for classifying individuals into the three taste groups--nontaster, medium taster, and supertaster--relies on objective psychophysical testing, primarily involving PROP. The current, most precise method involves applying a specific concentration of PROP, typically dissolved in filter paper (commonly known as a PROP strip), to the tongue. The individual then rates the intensity of the bitterness experienced using a standardized scale, often the Labeled Magnitude Scale (LMS), which is designed to minimize ceiling effects and allow for true comparison across individuals.

In addition to subjective scaling, researchers frequently employ visual or histological methods to confirm supertaster status by measuring the density of fungiform papillae. This is typically done by applying a harmless blue food dye to the tongue, which stains the tongue tissue but not the papillae, allowing them to be counted within a standardized area (often a circle stamped onto the anterior dorsal tongue). A high density of papillae (generally defined as above 30 per 6mm diameter circle) is highly correlated with the genetic PAV/PAV genotype and the subjective report of extreme bitterness, confirming supertaster status.

The resulting data usually fall into a trimodal distribution: Nontasters (lowest sensitivity and papillae density, often AVI/AVI), Medium Tasters (intermediate sensitivity and density, usually PAV/AVI), and Supertasters (highest sensitivity and density, typically PAV/PAV). This clear delineation ensures that the supertaster classification is not arbitrary but represents a measurable and highly

significant physiological deviation from the mean population, making the PROP test a robust tool in psychophysics and nutritional science.

7. Research Significance and Impact

The discovery and rigorous definition of the supertaster phenomenon have had a transformative impact on several scientific disciplines. In sensory science, it provides a critical baseline for understanding individual differences in perception, moving research away from the assumption of a universal sensory experience. By recognizing the existence of supertasters and nontasters, researchers can better account for variance in consumer acceptance studies, flavor chemistry, and product development, particularly in the food and pharmaceutical industries where bitterness masking is essential.

In the field of nutritional genomics, the supertaster trait serves as a powerful model for gene-environment interaction. It demonstrates a clear pathway where a single genetic locus (TAS2R38) directly influences complex, life-long behavioral outcomes (dietary avoidance). This insight is crucial for developing personalized nutrition strategies, allowing healthcare providers to tailor recommendations based on an individual's genotype rather than relying solely on generalized dietary advice. For example, individuals identified as supertasters might be proactively advised on methods to prepare bitter vegetables to enhance palatability.

Furthermore, the supertaster concept has implications for evolutionary biology. The ability to taste bitterness acutely is an essential defense mechanism, alerting organisms to potentially toxic substances, as many natural toxins are bitter alkaloids. The existence of nontasters, who seem to lack this acute sensitivity, suggests that the benefit of heightened sensitivity must be balanced against potential nutritional disadvantages (avoiding healthy foods), leading to a persistent polymorphism maintained by natural selection within human populations depending on local ecological pressures.

8. Debates and Criticisms

Despite its widespread acceptance, the supertaster concept is subject to ongoing academic debate, primarily concerning the universality and exclusivity of the PROP test. One major criticism revolves around the potential oversimplification of the classification. Critics argue that while the TAS2R38 gene strongly predicts sensitivity to PROP, taste perception is polygenic, involving numerous other taste receptors (TAS2Rs) and olfactory genes. Focusing solely on PROP may fail to capture individuals who are hypersensitive to other classes of bitter compounds not mediated by TAS2R38.

Another point of contention is the correlation between the anatomical marker (papillae density) and the behavioral outcome (bitterness scaling). While a higher papillae count is common in

supertasters, the correlation is not perfect. Some individuals with high papillae density do not report extreme bitterness, and vice versa. This suggests that factors such as central nervous system processing, adaptation rates, and psychological expectations also play significant roles in the final perception of flavor intensity, which the standard PROP test cannot fully isolate.

Finally, critics occasionally highlight the potential for circular reasoning when discussing nutritional correlates. While it is clear that supertasters avoid certain bitter foods, attributing specific long-term health outcomes (positive or negative) solely to the supertaster trait requires careful longitudinal study to disentangle the effect of taste genetics from learned behaviors, cultural food practices, and other confounding genetic and environmental variables that influence diet and disease risk.

Further Reading

[Propylthiouracil \(PROP\)](#)

[Phenylthiocarbamide \(PTC\)](#)

[TAS2R38 Gene](#)

[Labeled Magnitude Scale \(LMS\)](#)