

SUICIDE

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 12, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *SUICIDE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=43052>

SUICIDE

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Psychology, Psychiatry, Public Health, Sociology, Ethics

1. Core Definition and Terminology

Suicide is formally defined as death caused by self-inflicted injurious behavior with the intent to die. It represents a complex and tragic outcome stemming from the interaction of biological, psychological, social, and environmental factors. From a public health standpoint, suicide is viewed not merely as an individual tragedy but as a critical global health crisis that requires structured prevention strategies. The terminology surrounding this concept is crucial, prioritizing respect and clinical accuracy. Official bodies, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), classify suicide as a major cause of mortality worldwide, distinguishing it from accidental or non-intentional self-harm.

The concept of **suicidal behavior** encompasses a spectrum of actions, including suicidal ideation (serious contemplation of ending one's life), planning, and attempted suicide (a non-fatal self-injurious act with intent to die). While completed suicide receives the most attention in mortality statistics, attempted suicide is far more common and serves as a powerful predictor of future fatal attempts. The lethality of the means used is often correlated with the intent, though many non-fatal attempts still require extensive medical and psychological intervention.

Contemporary clinical and media guidelines advocate for specific language when discussing suicide to reduce stigma and prevent modeling effects. The phrase "committed suicide" is increasingly discouraged due to its historical roots in legal and religious condemnation, implying a criminal or sinful act. Instead, academic and clinical discourse prefers "died by suicide," "completed suicide," or "took their own life," framing the event as a consequence of suffering, often related to mental illness, rather than a moral failure. This shift in terminology reflects a growing understanding of suicide as a public health issue influenced profoundly by underlying psychiatric pathology.

2. Etymology and Historical Context

The English term **suicide** originates from the Latin phrase *sui caedere*, meaning 'to kill oneself.' Although the act has been documented throughout human history, the specific term gained prominence in the 17th century, replacing older, more judgmental phrases like self-murder. Historically, societal attitudes toward suicide have varied wildly, often depending on prevailing philosophical and religious doctrines concerning the sanctity of life and individual autonomy.

In many ancient societies, especially within certain Roman and Greek traditions, suicide was viewed under specific conditions--such as escaping terminal illness or extreme dishonor--as a noble or rational choice, particularly emphasized by Stoic philosophers who prioritized control over

one's own fate. Conversely, the rise of monotheistic religions, particularly Christianity, cemented a profound moral and legal condemnation of the act. Suicide became regarded as a mortal sin, an offense against God's exclusive right to end life, leading to punitive measures such as the denial of proper burial rights, forfeiture of property, and extreme societal stigmatization for the surviving family members.

The Enlightenment brought a critical re-evaluation of this punitive approach. Thinkers began shifting the locus of responsibility from moral culpability to environmental or psychological distress. By the 19th century, the medical establishment started to conceptualize suicide as a symptom of mental derangement or emotional illness rather than a crime, thereby laying the intellectual foundation for the modern psychiatric and public health response. This shift was essential in moving the discussion from the courtroom and the church to the clinic and the research laboratory.

3. Psychological and Psychiatric Determinants

The vast majority of individuals who die by suicide have an identifiable psychiatric diagnosis at the time of their death. The relationship between mental illness and suicidal behavior is one of the most robust findings in psychiatric epidemiology. The most frequently cited determinant is **Major Depressive Disorder**, characterized by pervasive low mood, anhedonia, and feelings of hopelessness, which significantly narrow an individual's perceived options for coping with distress. Chronic, severe depression often leads to the development of cognitive distortions that make suicide seem like the only viable escape from unending pain. The observation that many individuals, such as the example of musician Kurt Cobain, die by suicide during severe depressive episodes underscores this strong correlation.

Beyond depression, several other severe mental health conditions dramatically elevate suicide risk. Individuals diagnosed with **schizophrenia** face a particularly high lifetime risk, often due to command hallucinations, hopelessness regarding long-term prognosis, and the side effects or distress associated with their symptoms. Similarly, bipolar disorder, especially during manic-depressive cycles, presents heightened risk due to extreme mood fluctuations combined with impulsivity. Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD) is also highly associated with suicidal behavior, though acts are sometimes categorized as parasuicidal (self-harm without lethal intent), distinguishing intentionality remains clinically challenging.

Furthermore, the role of substance use disorders is critically important. The source material accurately highlights that **drug abuse** and chronic alcoholism significantly contribute to suicide risk. Substance abuse lowers impulse control, leading to rapid, unplanned self-destructive actions. Chronic intoxication or withdrawal can also exacerbate underlying mental health symptoms, increase emotional pain, and lead to social isolation, creating a confluence of factors that dramatically increase vulnerability to suicidal ideation and action. Treatment for co-occurring

disorders (comorbidity) is therefore an essential component of comprehensive suicide prevention.

4. Sociological Perspectives

While psychological factors focus on individual pathology, the sociological study of suicide emphasizes the influence of social structure and context. The foundational work in this field was conducted by French sociologist Émile Durkheim in his 1897 treatise, *Suicide: A Study in Sociology*. Durkheim argued that suicide rates are not random but vary predictably based on levels of social integration (the strength of social bonds) and social regulation (the degree of external constraint on individual behavior) within a society.

Durkheim identified four distinct types of suicide based on these two dimensions. The first two relate to integration: **Egoistic suicide** occurs when individuals are weakly integrated into their social group, feeling isolated and detached. **Altruistic suicide** occurs when integration is excessively high, leading the individual to sacrifice themselves for the perceived benefit of the group (e.g., historical military sacrifice or religious martyrdom).

The second pair of types relates to regulation. **Anomic suicide** results from a sudden breakdown or weakening of societal norms (anomie), often following rapid economic boom or recession, war, or divorce, leaving individuals without clear guidance or moral structure. Finally, **Fatalistic suicide**, though less frequently discussed by Durkheim, arises from excessive regulation, where individuals feel perpetually oppressed and their futures relentlessly blocked, such as within institutional settings like prisons. Durkheim's framework remains vital for understanding how socioeconomic status, political upheaval, and changes in family structure impact population-level suicide rates.

5. Risk Factors and Warning Signs

Identifying and mitigating suicide risk requires careful assessment of a multitude of overlapping factors. These factors are typically categorized into demographic, psychological, and environmental risks. Demographic risks include being male (though females attempt more often, males use more lethal means), specific age groups (adolescents and the elderly), and certain marginalized populations. Psychological risks encompass a history of previous suicide attempts, current diagnoses of severe mental illness, impulsivity, and chronic pain conditions that lead to despair.

Environmental and social factors often serve as acute stressors that trigger suicidal behavior in vulnerable individuals. These include recent losses (relationships, employment, financial security), interpersonal conflict, trauma (especially childhood abuse or neglect), and exposure to cyberbullying. Furthermore, a critical, modifiable environmental risk is access to lethal means--the easier the access to firearms, high bridges, or toxic substances, the higher the risk of a fatal outcome during a moment of crisis.

Recognizing immediate warning signs is crucial for intervention. These signs indicate that an individual is moving from ideation to planning or imminent action.

Verbal Threats: Talking about wanting to die or seeking revenge.

Increased Isolation: Withdrawing from family, friends, and social activities.

Recklessness or Impulsivity: Engaging in dangerous or self-destructive behaviors.

Preparing for Death: Giving away cherished possessions, writing a will, or saying goodbye.

Extreme Mood Swings: Moving rapidly between profound depression and sudden calm (which may indicate a decided plan).

Increased Substance Use: Relying heavily on drugs or alcohol to cope.

While the presence of these signs does not guarantee an attempt, they signal an urgent need for professional evaluation and support, often requiring immediate psychiatric hospitalization or crisis intervention.

6. Prevention, Intervention, and Public Health Strategies

Modern approaches to suicide are rooted in public health principles, recognizing that prevention must operate across multiple levels--universal (entire population), selective (at-risk groups), and indicated (individuals showing symptoms). Universal prevention involves destigmatizing mental health issues, promoting help-seeking behaviors, and fostering community resilience. A crucial component is providing easily accessible crisis resources, such as the [988 Suicide & Crisis Lifeline](#) in the United States.

Clinical interventions focus on both immediate stabilization and long-term coping mechanisms. Acute intervention involves psychiatric assessment and, if necessary, involuntary commitment to ensure safety during the crisis period. Therapeutic approaches proven effective include Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), which targets maladaptive thought patterns, and Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT), which is highly effective for reducing impulsive self-harm and regulating intense emotions, particularly in those with BPD. Pharmacological treatment, primarily involving antidepressants, mood stabilizers, and antipsychotics, plays a crucial role in managing the underlying psychiatric conditions that drive suicidal ideation.

Beyond clinical care, effective strategies include means restriction--making common lethal methods less accessible. This has proven successful in reducing national suicide rates when applied to items like pesticides, medications, or firearms. Furthermore, **postvention** is essential; this involves providing structured support and intervention to family members, friends, and communities affected by a suicide. Postvention not only aids grieving but also helps prevent "suicide contagion," the phenomenon where exposure to a suicide, often via sensationalized media reporting, increases the risk of imitative behavior in vulnerable individuals.

7. Ethical, Legal, and Philosophical Debates

The issue of suicide generates intense ethical and legal debate, centered primarily on the conflict between the value of life preservation and the concept of individual autonomy. In liberal societies, there is strong recognition of the individual right to self-determination, yet this is often overridden by the principle of beneficence, which mandates intervention to protect an individual during a mental health crisis, assuming their desire to die is temporary and driven by illness.

A separate, yet related, ethical arena involves discussions surrounding **physician-assisted suicide (PAS)** and voluntary euthanasia. These practices are legally restricted to specific jurisdictions and typically involve individuals with terminal illnesses who make a rational, persistent request for assistance in dying. It is critical to differentiate PAS from spontaneous, illness-driven suicide; PAS involves formalized consent, medical oversight, and criteria ensuring the individual is not suffering from impaired mental capacity or depression that would prevent clear decision-making. Critics, however, fear that legalization of PAS could subtly pressure vulnerable populations to choose death over struggling with chronic conditions.

Finally, media ethics presents an ongoing challenge. While the public has a right to information, sensationalized or detailed reporting on methods or locations of suicide can lead to imitation effects, particularly among adolescents. Guidelines from organizations like the WHO and specific journalistic bodies emphasize responsible reporting that minimizes detail, avoids romanticization, and includes immediate resources for help, balancing the need for awareness with the ethical responsibility to protect public safety.

Further Reading

[World Health Organization \(WHO\) - Suicide Prevention](#)

[988 Suicide & Crisis Lifeline](#)

[Émile Durkheim. \(1897\). Suicide: A Study in Sociology.](#)

[National Institute of Mental Health \(NIMH\) - Suicide Prevention](#)