

# Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale (SHSS)

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 5, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale (SHSS)*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=35508>

## Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale (SHSS)

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Psychology, Hypnosis Research, Cognitive Neuroscience

### 1. Core Definition

The **Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale (SHSS)** is a widely recognized and extensively researched standardized psychometric instrument designed to measure an individual's responsiveness to hypnotic suggestion. Developed by **André M. Weitzenhoffer** and **Ernest R. Hilgard** at Stanford University in the 1950s, the SHSS provides an objective and quantitative assessment of hypnotic susceptibility, often referred to as hypnotizability. It comprises a series of standardized hypnotic inductions followed by a set of direct suggestions, each requiring a specific behavioral or subjective response. The scale's primary purpose is to differentiate individuals based on their capacity to experience suggested phenomena, ranging from simple motor responses to more complex cognitive alterations like amnesia or hallucination, under conditions of hypnosis.

At its core, the SHSS is a behavioral scale, meaning that an individual's score is determined by their observable responses to the suggested items. For instance, if a suggestion is made that the participant's arm will feel heavy and drop, the score is based on whether the arm actually drops by a specified amount within a certain timeframe. This objective scoring method contributes significantly to its scientific rigor and allows for reliable comparison across individuals and research studies. The scale is administered individually, typically by a trained hypnotist or researcher, ensuring consistent delivery of instructions and assessment of responses. Its development marked a pivotal moment in hypnosis research, moving the field towards more empirical and quantifiable investigations of hypnotic phenomena, thereby solidifying its status as a measurable psychological construct rather than a purely anecdotal or theatrical one.

### 2. Etymology and Historical Development

The Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale emerged from a concerted effort to bring scientific methodology to the study of **hypnosis**, which, prior to the mid-20th century, was often shrouded in mysticism and lacked systematic measurement. The scale's genesis can be traced to the groundbreaking work initiated by Ernest R. Hilgard and his colleagues at the **Stanford University** Laboratory of Hypnosis Research in the early 1950s. This period represented a critical juncture where psychological science began to rigorously investigate subjective experiences and altered states of consciousness. The researchers recognized the need for a reliable and valid instrument to quantify individual differences in hypnotic responsiveness, which was essential for conducting controlled experiments and advancing theoretical understanding.

The initial version of the SHSS, known as Form A, was published in 1959 by Weitzenhoffer and

Hilgard. It built upon earlier, less formalized attempts to measure hypnotizability, including scales developed at Harvard University, notably the **Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility (HGSHS)**, which was suitable for group administration. The SHSS, however, was meticulously designed for individual administration, allowing for more precise observation and scoring of responses. Following the success of Form A, two additional versions were developed: Form B and Form C. Form B was created as a parallel form to Form A, containing similar but not identical suggestions, which allowed for retesting without practice effects. Form C, the most widely used and refined version, introduced a broader range of suggestions, including more cognitive and subjective items, to better capture the full spectrum of hypnotic phenomena. This iterative development process underscored the commitment to creating a psychometrically sound instrument that could withstand rigorous scientific scrutiny, thereby establishing the SHSS as the "gold standard" in the field.

### 3. Key Characteristics

The SHSS is characterized by several key features that contribute to its efficacy and widespread adoption in research. Firstly, its **standardization** is paramount. Every aspect of its administration, from the initial hypnotic induction script to the precise wording of each suggestion and the scoring criteria, is meticulously detailed. This ensures that the scale is administered consistently across different examiners and participants, minimizing variability due to procedural differences. The induction typically involves suggestions for relaxation, eye closure, and focused attention, gradually guiding the participant into a hypnotic state before the specific suggestions are delivered. This systematic approach is crucial for achieving high **reliability**, meaning that repeat measurements of the same individual tend to yield similar results over time.

Secondly, the SHSS incorporates a diverse array of **behavioral and subjective suggestions**, typically numbering between 8 to 12 items depending on the form. These suggestions are carefully constructed to elicit specific, measurable responses and are ordered in increasing difficulty. Examples include motor suggestions (e.g., arm rigidity, arm lowering), challenge suggestions (e.g., inability to open eyes, inability to separate hands), and cognitive-perceptual suggestions (e.g., suggested amnesia for numbers, auditory or visual hallucination). Each item is scored dichotomously (pass/fail) based on objective criteria, such as whether a limb moved a certain distance or if the participant failed to resist a challenge. The cumulative score provides a quantitative index of hypnotizability, typically ranging from 0 (low susceptibility) to 12 (high susceptibility). This objective scoring system minimizes experimenter bias and enhances the scale's **validity**, ensuring that it measures what it purports to measure.

Finally, a defining characteristic of the SHSS is its empirical foundation and extensive validation. Decades of research have confirmed its robust psychometric properties, demonstrating strong internal consistency and test-retest reliability. Studies have consistently shown that hypnotic

susceptibility, as measured by the SHSS, is a relatively stable **trait**, remaining consistent over time for individuals. Furthermore, research has established its predictive validity, showing correlations between SHSS scores and responsiveness to hypnotic interventions in clinical settings, as well as with performance on various cognitive and neurological tasks. This rigorous empirical validation distinguishes the SHSS from less scientific measures and underpins its credibility as a tool for both basic research and applied clinical practice.

#### 4. Significance and Impact

The Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale has profoundly impacted the fields of psychology, neuroscience, and clinical practice by providing a robust and standardized method for studying hypnosis. Its development moved the study of hypnotic phenomena from a realm of anecdotal observation to one of empirical investigation, significantly contributing to the scientific legitimization of hypnosis. By offering a quantifiable measure of hypnotizability, the SHSS allowed researchers to explore individual differences in response to suggestion, paving the way for a deeper understanding of the underlying cognitive and neurological mechanisms involved in hypnotic states. This enabled systematic research into questions such as who is more susceptible, why, and what are the implications of high or low susceptibility for various psychological processes and therapeutic outcomes.

In research, the SHSS has been indispensable. It has been widely used to select participants for experimental studies, ensuring that cohorts are matched for hypnotizability or allowing for comparisons between high- and low-susceptible individuals. This has led to critical insights into attention, memory, perception, pain processing, and executive functions under hypnotic conditions. For example, studies using the SHSS have revealed that highly susceptible individuals show distinct brain activity patterns when experiencing suggested phenomena, such as pain reduction or visual alterations, providing neurological correlates for subjective hypnotic experiences. The scale's consistent use across numerous laboratories worldwide has facilitated the accumulation of a vast body of knowledge, fostering a more cumulative and progressive science of hypnosis. Without a standardized measure like the SHSS, such comparative and replicable research would have been far more challenging, if not impossible, to conduct.

Beyond basic research, the SHSS has also had significant implications for clinical applications of hypnosis. Understanding a client's level of hypnotic susceptibility can inform the choice and efficacy of hypnotic interventions in areas such as pain management, anxiety reduction, habit cessation, and treatment of phobias. While high susceptibility is not always a prerequisite for therapeutic benefit, it can indicate a greater potential for responding to specific types of hypnotic suggestions. The scale has also helped to demystify hypnosis, illustrating that it is a normal human capacity distributed along a continuum rather than an esoteric or supernatural ability. This understanding has contributed to the integration of hypnosis into mainstream psychological and

medical practice, highlighting its potential as a valuable adjunct to other therapeutic modalities, especially in contexts where cognitive and perceptual flexibility can be therapeutically leveraged.

## 5. Debates and Criticisms

Despite its widely acknowledged utility and scientific rigor, the Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale has not been without its share of debates and criticisms. One of the primary points of contention revolves around the concept of "susceptibility" itself. Critics argue whether the SHSS truly measures a stable, inherent **trait** or merely a transient "state" of responsiveness influenced by contextual factors such as rapport with the hypnotist, motivation, and expectations (often referred to as **demand characteristics**). While extensive research supports the trait-like stability of hypnotizability over time, the interaction between trait and state variables remains a nuanced area of discussion. Some argue that the performance-based nature of the scale might inadvertently assess compliance or imagination rather than a genuine alteration in consciousness, leading to questions about its construct validity.

Another area of criticism concerns the **ecological validity** of the SHSS. The highly structured, laboratory-based administration of the scale differs significantly from the more fluid and client-centered approach often employed in clinical hypnosis. The specific suggestions used in the SHSS, while standardized, may not fully capture the diverse range of hypnotic phenomena experienced in real-world therapeutic settings, nor may they reflect the creative and improvisational nature of many clinical inductions. Critics suggest that an individual's score on the SHSS might not perfectly predict their responsiveness to therapeutic hypnosis, where the goals and suggestions are tailored to personal issues. Furthermore, the scale's reliance on observable behavioral responses may overlook profound subjective experiences that are not outwardly manifested, potentially underestimating an individual's true capacity for hypnotic phenomena.

Finally, the SHSS, like any psychometric instrument, faces challenges related to cultural bias and population specificity. Most of the research and standardization for the SHSS has been conducted within Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD) populations. Its applicability and interpretability across diverse cultural contexts and non-Western populations sometimes warrant careful consideration, as cultural differences in suggestibility, interpretation of instructions, or comfort with the hypnotic context could influence scores. Researchers also continuously debate the optimal number and type of items for measuring hypnotizability, with some proposing alternative scales or modifications to capture a broader or more specific range of hypnotic phenomena, thereby pushing the boundaries of what constitutes comprehensive measurement in this complex field.

## Further Reading

[Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale - Wikipedia](#)

[Ernest Hilgard - Wikipedia](#)

[Hypnosis - Wikipedia](#)

[Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility - Wikipedia](#)

[Reliability \(psychometrics\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Validity \(psychometrics\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Psychological trait - Wikipedia](#)

[Demand characteristics - Wikipedia](#)

[Stanford University - Wikipedia](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM