

# Splitting

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 5, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Splitting*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=35468>

## Splitting

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Jungian Psychology, Analytical Psychology, Clinical Psychology

### 1. Core Definition and Jungian Perspective

In the framework of **Jungian psychology**, **splitting** refers to a specific and significant form of psychic dissociation. This phenomenon is characterized by the compartmentalization or disowning of certain "possibilities" within an individual's psychological landscape. These possibilities can encompass a range of potential behaviors, attitudes, feelings, or perceptions that are deemed contradictory, threatening, or too overwhelming to be held within conscious awareness simultaneously. Consequently, the individual experiences a fragmentation of their psychological reality, where aspects of the self or potential life paths are separated and remain outside of integrated consciousness, leading to observable shifts in their demeanor and actions.

The unique Jungian emphasis on the "dissociation of possibility" distinguishes this concept from other psychological theories of splitting. It suggests that individuals, when faced with internal conflicts or external pressures, may unconsciously choose to negate or exclude certain potential courses of action, ways of being, or interpretations of reality. This is not merely a cognitive error but an active psychic process that safeguards the ego from the anxiety arising from ambivalence or the confrontation with undesired aspects of the self. The individual might, for instance, split off possibilities of vulnerability if a complex related to strength and invulnerability dominates their conscious personality, thereby limiting their capacity for a full range of human experience.

While recognized as a serious symptom within the broader field of **psychopathology**, Jungian splitting does not equate to the more severe and pervasive fragmentation seen in conditions such as **dissociative identity disorder** (DID). Instead, it denotes a more circumscribed yet impactful disjunction within the individual's psyche. The impact of splitting is profound, as it hinders the process of **individuation**--the lifelong psychological process of integrating conscious and unconscious elements into a cohesive whole. By keeping certain possibilities separated, the individual's capacity for holistic self-awareness, authentic expression, and adaptive engagement with the world is significantly diminished, often leading to internal distress and interpersonal difficulties.

### 2. The Role of Complexes in Splitting

A central tenet of Jungian psychology is that **splitting** is intrinsically determined by the activity and influence of **complexes**. Complexes are defined as emotionally charged thematic patterns of memories, perceptions, emotions, and wishes that reside in the **personal unconscious**. These constellations of psychic energy are organized around a common theme, such as power, inferiority,

victimhood, or a specific archetypal image (e.g., the mother complex). They operate with a degree of autonomy, often exerting a powerful and sometimes unconscious influence on an individual's thoughts, feelings, and behaviors, effectively acting as "splinter psyches" within the larger personality.

When a complex is activated, perhaps by an external trigger or an internal process, it can temporarily seize control of the ego's functions. In this state, the complex dictates the individual's perception of reality, compelling behaviors and attitudes that align with its core theme. Crucially, it also actively suppresses or dissociates any possibilities that contradict or challenge its dominant narrative. For example, an individual dominated by a "perfection complex" might split off any possibility of making mistakes or being less than ideal. When this complex is active, they cannot tolerate or acknowledge their own imperfections, seeing only the drive for flawlessness, and exhibiting behaviors consistent with this singular focus.

The autonomous nature of complexes is key to understanding splitting. They can usurp ego-consciousness, creating a fragmented experience where different complexes hold sway at different times. This leads to a lack of continuity in the individual's sense of self and worldview. One day, a person might act from a "hero complex," embodying strength and courage, while the next day, a "victim complex" might dominate, leading to feelings of helplessness and despair. In both instances, the possibilities associated with the opposing complex (e.g., vulnerability for the hero, agency for the victim) are effectively split off, preventing their integration and creating an inconsistent and often bewildering internal and external presentation.

### 3. Manifestations and Behavioral Correlates

The presence of **splitting** often manifests through a range of observable behaviors and attitudes that can appear contradictory, inconsistent, or perplexing to both the individual experiencing them and to outside observers. A primary behavioral correlate is the difficulty in tolerating ambivalence. Individuals prone to splitting tend to perceive people, situations, or even aspects of themselves in stark, dichotomous terms--either "all good" or "all bad." This black-and-white thinking prevents the nuanced integration of positive and negative attributes, leading to extreme idealization followed by equally extreme devaluation.

Concrete examples of splitting are common in interpersonal relationships. An individual might idealize a romantic partner, perceiving them as flawless and perfectly fulfilling, while splitting off any awareness of their imperfections or the relationship's challenges. However, when an inevitable disappointment or perceived flaw emerges, the previously idealized image can instantaneously collapse, leading to a sudden and complete devaluation of the partner, who is then seen as entirely bad or hateful. This rapid oscillation between extremes is a hallmark of splitting and can severely destabilize relationships, making it difficult to sustain a realistic and integrated view of others that

acknowledges both their strengths and weaknesses.

Internally, the individual experiencing splitting often remains unaware of the dissociated possibilities and the inherent contradictions in their behavior or attitudes. The currently dominant perspective, driven by an active complex, feels entirely real and absolute. This lack of conscious integration can lead to significant confusion, an unstable sense of self, and a pervasive feeling of internal discord without a clear understanding of its source. The energetic shifts associated with complexes taking over can manifest as sudden mood swings, abrupt changes in life direction, or intense emotional reactions that seem out of proportion to the external circumstances, all stemming from the underlying fragmentation of possibilities.

#### 4. Distinction from Other Dissociative Phenomena

While **splitting** is a form of dissociation, it is crucial to delineate its specific characteristics within the Jungian framework to distinguish it from other dissociative phenomena. The most significant differentiation is from **Dissociative Identity Disorder** (DID), formerly known as Multiple Personality Disorder. In Jungian splitting, the dissociation primarily involves possibilities, behaviors, and attitudes driven by complexes, where aspects of the self are disowned or compartmentalized. However, it does not entail the formation of distinct, separate personality states or alters, each with their own names, memories, and consciousness, as is characteristic of DID. The individual experiencing Jungian splitting maintains a singular, albeit fragmented, sense of identity, even if their behavior shifts dramatically.

Furthermore, Jungian splitting can be distinguished from more general or reactive forms of dissociation, such as depersonalization, derealization, or dissociative amnesia. While these conditions involve a disconnection from one's self, reality, or memory, Jungian splitting specifically emphasizes the role of autonomous complexes in orchestrating the dissociation of **possibilities**. It is a more active, dynamic process tied to the thematic content of the personal unconscious, rather than a global detachment or memory lapse that might occur in response to severe trauma without a clear complex-driven mechanism.

Therefore, Jungian splitting occupies a particular place on the spectrum of dissociative experiences. It indicates a significant level of psychological distress and internal conflict that warrants therapeutic attention, yet it is understood as a less severe form of psychic fragmentation than DID. Its presence suggests an inability of the ego to integrate conflicting demands, desires, or aspects of reality, leaving the individual in a state of internal division where certain potentials are effectively denied or kept out of reach. This distinction is vital for accurate clinical assessment and for guiding appropriate therapeutic interventions within analytical psychology.

## 5. Historical Context and Precursors

The concept of psychological dissociation, from which Jung's idea of **splitting** emerged, has a rich history in psychology and psychiatry. Early pioneers in the field recognized that the mind could operate in fragmented ways, particularly under stress or trauma. A foundational figure in this lineage is **Pierre Janet**, a French psychiatrist and psychotherapist. Janet's extensive work in the late 19th and early 20th centuries on "psychological disaggregation" or "desagrégation mentale" posited that elements of consciousness could become separated and operate independently. His observations of hysterical patients led him to define dissociation as a failure of mental processes to integrate into a unified consciousness, often in response to overwhelming traumatic experiences, thereby laying crucial groundwork for understanding fragmented mental states.

**Sigmund Freud**, the founder of psychoanalysis, also explored phenomena related to splitting, particularly in his later theoretical developments. Freud's concept of "splitting of the ego" (*Ichspaltung*) was articulated in his work on fetishism and psychosis. He described it as a mechanism where the ego simultaneously acknowledges a threatening reality while also disavowing it. For instance, in fetishism, the patient acknowledges the anatomical difference between sexes (acknowledgment of reality) but at the same time disavows the implications of this knowledge by maintaining the fantasy that the mother has a phallus (disavowal of reality). This mechanism allows the individual to avoid overwhelming anxiety, but at the cost of a coherent and integrated perception of reality. While both Freud and Jung address the separation of psychic content to manage conflict, Freud's focus was more on the disavowal of external reality, whereas Jung emphasized the internal dissociation of potential behaviors and attitudes driven by complexes.

Carl Jung's unique contribution to the understanding of splitting lies in its direct link to the dynamics of the **complexes** and the concept of "possibilities." Building on the general understanding of dissociation, Jung refined the idea by positing that it is the autonomous activity of complexes within the **personal unconscious** that actively dissociates potential paths or facets of the self. This distinct analytical psychological perspective moves beyond mere repression or defensive disavowal, suggesting a more dynamic and active process where certain aspects of one's potential are actively kept out of conscious reach by these emotionally charged constellations. Thus, Jung's work offers a unique lens through which to understand how the psyche grapples with internal contradictions and the integration of its diverse elements.

## 6. Therapeutic Implications in Analytical Psychology

Within the framework of **Analytical Psychology**, addressing **splitting** is a central task aimed at fostering greater psychic integration and promoting the process of **individuation**. The primary goal of therapy is to help the individual become consciously aware of the dissociated possibilities and

the specific complexes that are driving this fragmentation. This involves a careful and empathetic exploration of the unconscious material that has been split off, bringing it into conscious awareness so that it can be acknowledged, understood, and ultimately integrated into a more cohesive sense of self.

Analytical therapists employ various techniques to identify and work with splitting. **Dream analysis** is a powerful tool, as dreams often symbolically reveal the content of active complexes and the possibilities that have been denied or rejected. By interpreting these symbolic messages, the individual can begin to recognize the split-off parts of their psyche. Similarly, **active imagination** encourages a conscious dialogue with unconscious figures or aspects of the self, allowing for a direct engagement with complexes and the reintegration of dissociated material. The therapeutic relationship itself, through the dynamics of **transference** and **countertransference**, also provides a crucial context for observing how splitting manifests in interactions and for processing the intense emotions associated with conflicting possibilities.

The process of integrating split-off possibilities is often challenging, as it requires the individual to tolerate ambivalence and reconcile seemingly contradictory aspects of their being. This involves confronting the **shadow**--the unconscious aspects of the self that are often disowned or suppressed--and accepting previously rejected traits, desires, or potentials. Through this work, the ego develops a greater capacity to hold paradox and to navigate the complexities of both inner and outer experience without resorting to fragmentation. Ultimately, successful therapeutic work on splitting leads to a more robust, resilient, and authentic self, capable of a wider range of expression and a more integrated understanding of their unique path towards wholeness.

## 7. Broader Psychological Significance

Beyond its clinical manifestations, the Jungian concept of **splitting** holds profound broader psychological significance, illuminating fundamental aspects of human nature and our engagement with the world. It highlights a common human tendency to simplify complex realities by categorizing experiences, people, and even aspects of ourselves into neat, often dichotomous, categories. This avoidance of psychological complexity and ambivalence is not always pathological but exists on a spectrum, with milder forms seen in everyday selective attention, rigid belief systems, or confirmation bias, where individuals selectively absorb information that supports existing views while rejecting contradictory evidence.

The impact of splitting extends significantly into interpersonal relationships and societal dynamics. In relationships, the inability to hold a nuanced view of others can lead to unstable connections marked by cycles of idealization and devaluation. When a partner or friend is perceived as either "all good" or "all bad," the relationship becomes vulnerable to sudden shifts and emotional turbulence, undermining trust and intimacy. On a broader societal level, splitting can contribute to

prejudice, political polarization, and an inability to empathize with opposing viewpoints. When groups or ideologies are rigidly categorized as entirely 'right' or 'wrong,' the possibility of dialogue, mutual understanding, and compromise becomes severely constrained, fostering conflict and division.

From a Jungian perspective, overcoming splitting is essential for psychological maturity and the development of a fully realized self. The capacity to hold paradox, to integrate conflicting feelings and ideas, and to acknowledge the complexity inherent in both ourselves and the world is a hallmark of a developed ego. This integration fosters greater empathy, resilience, and adaptability, allowing individuals to navigate life's inevitable contradictions without resorting to fragmentation. Thus, understanding splitting provides critical insight into the human struggle for wholeness, emphasizing the importance of embracing all aspects of our experience--the good, the bad, and the contradictory--to achieve a more profound and authentic engagement with life.

## 8. Comparative Analysis with Object Relations Theory

The concept of **splitting** also features prominently in other schools of psychodynamic thought, most notably **Object Relations Theory**, particularly in the work of **Melanie Klein**. While both Jungian and Kleinian perspectives describe a process of psychological separation, their theoretical underpinnings, developmental context, and specific focus differ significantly. In Klein's object relations theory, splitting is understood as a primitive defense mechanism that emerges in early infancy, specifically within the **paranoid-schizoid position**. The infant, overwhelmed by intense anxiety arising from both internal destructive impulses and external frustrations, splits the world into 'all good' (idealized) and 'all bad' (persecutory) objects. This allows the infant to preserve the 'good' object (e.g., the gratifying breast) from the 'bad' object (e.g., the frustrating breast), thereby protecting it from their own destructive impulses and managing overwhelming anxieties associated with frustration and aggression.

There are clear similarities between the Jungian and Kleinian concepts. Both acknowledge that splitting serves as a defense mechanism against overwhelming anxiety or unbearable realities. Both recognize that splitting prevents the integration of conflicting aspects of experience, hindering the development of a coherent and whole self. Furthermore, both traditions see the overcoming of splitting--the ability to integrate contradictory elements into a more unified whole--as a crucial developmental achievement necessary for psychological health and mature relationships.

However, the differences are significant. Jung's focus is primarily on the "dissociation of possibility" within the **personal unconscious**, driven by the autonomous activity of **complexes**. This emphasizes a dynamic fragmentation of potential behaviors, attitudes, and aspects of the self, often manifesting in later life as the ego struggles to integrate conflicting demands. Klein, in contrast, focuses on the splitting of \*object-representations\* (e.g., the internal image of the mother)

and their associated affects (love and hate) in the earliest stages of development. For Klein, splitting is foundational to the infant's initial organization of psychic experience, a necessary precursor to the eventual integration of whole objects in the **depressive position**. While Jung's concept of splitting can be applied to developmental issues, its primary theoretical weight is on the active, complex-driven fragmentation of potential and conscious experience, offering a distinct lens for understanding psychic disunity.

## 9. Contemporary Perspectives and Criticisms

The Jungian concept of **splitting**, while deeply rooted in analytical psychology, finds resonance and points of divergence within contemporary clinical psychology and psychiatry. Modern diagnostic frameworks, particularly in the context of **personality disorders**, often recognize dynamic processes akin to splitting. For example, **Borderline Personality Disorder** (BPD) is characterized by unstable self-image, intense and fluctuating relationships, and rapid shifts between idealization and devaluation, which align conceptually with the behavioral manifestations of splitting. While not explicitly framed in terms of "dissociation of possibility" or Jungian complexes, the underlying mechanism of struggling with ambivalence and perceiving others or oneself in extreme, unintegrated ways is a shared understanding.

One of the recurring criticisms, often leveled at depth psychology concepts in general, pertains to the challenges in their operationalization and empirical verification. The abstract nature of "dissociation of possibility" and the internal, often unconscious, mechanisms of complexes can make it difficult to quantify, measure, or consistently diagnose across different clinical settings. Unlike more behaviorally defined criteria used in contemporary psychiatry, the subjective experience and interpretive nature of Jungian concepts can lead to variations in their identification and therapeutic application, posing challenges for standardized research and clinical assessment.

Despite these challenges, Jung's concept remains highly valuable for its holistic view of the psyche and its emphasis on the dynamic interplay of conscious and unconscious forces. It offers a rich explanatory model for understanding psychological fragmentation that goes beyond mere symptomology, pointing to deeper structural issues within the personality. Its limitation may lie in its less explicit integration with current diagnostic manuals and mainstream psychiatric discourse, often requiring clinicians to bridge conceptual gaps. Nevertheless, for those working within **analytical psychology** and other psychodynamic traditions, the concept of splitting provides a powerful lens for comprehending the profound impact of internal divisions on an individual's sense of self, relationships, and overall psychological well-being, guiding interventions aimed at fostering greater integration and wholeness.

## Further Reading

[Carl Jung - Wikipedia](#)

[Jungian Psychology - Wikipedia](#)

[Complex \(psychology\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Personal Unconscious - Wikipedia](#)

[Dissociative Identity Disorder - Wikipedia](#)

[Pierre Janet - Wikipedia](#)

[Sigmund Freud - Wikipedia](#)

[Object Relations Theory - Wikipedia](#)

[Melanie Klein - Wikipedia](#)

[Analytical Psychology - Wikipedia](#)

[Individuation - Wikipedia](#)

[Shadow \(psychology\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Paranoid-schizoid position - Wikipedia](#)

[Depressive position - Wikipedia](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM