Sexism

Authored by

mohammad looti

October 6, 2025

Sexism

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Sociology, Gender Studies, Political Science, Economics, Psychology

1. Core Definition

Sexism is formally defined as prejudice, stereotyping, or discrimination, typically against women, on the basis of sex or gender. Fundamentally, it represents an ideology that asserts the inherent superiority of one sex over the other and justifies the resulting differential treatment and power dynamics. The core mechanism of sexism involves the attribution of specific roles, characteristics, and value to individuals solely based on their biological sex or perceived gender identity, rather than their individual capabilities or merits. This attribution often manifests as the preferential treatment of one sex over another, creating and perpetuating vast systemic imbalances in opportunity, representation, and socioeconomic status across societies globally.

The concept of sexism is crucial in understanding how societal structures maintain gender inequality. It is distinct from individual bias in that it operates on both micro (interpersonal) and macro (institutional) levels. At the personal level, sexism involves individual attitudes and behaviors that reflect prejudiced beliefs about gender roles. Institutionally, sexism is embedded within laws, policies, organizational practices, and cultural norms that systematically disadvantage one gender while providing unearned privileges to another. This systemic nature means that sexist outcomes can occur even in the absence of overtly hostile intent, driven instead by historical legacies and structural inertia.

A key component of the sexist framework is the enforcement of rigid gender binaries and expected roles. When individuals deviate from these prescribed norms--for instance, a woman pursuing a traditionally male-dominated career or a man engaging in caretaking roles--they often face social sanctions, criticism, or outright discrimination. Thus, sexism functions not merely as discrimination but as a powerful social control mechanism, maintaining the existing hierarchical structure of gender relations that typically favors men and masculinity over women and femininity.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The term **sexism** emerged relatively late in the historical critique of gender inequality. It was coined in the late 1960s, primarily within the context of the Second Wave feminist movement in the United States. The creation of the term was deliberately analogous to **racism**, aiming to articulate the pervasive and structural nature of gender-based oppression that mirrored racial oppression. Before this coinage, discussions of inequality were often framed around "the woman problem" or "male chauvinism," terms that tended to localize the issue to individual men or specific societal anomalies rather than recognizing a ubiquitous system of power.

Early conceptualizations of sexism focused heavily on overt discrimination and the exclusion of women from public life, including voting rights, higher education, and professional careers. The initial struggle centered on legislative reform and challenging explicit barriers, such as workplace rules that prevented married women from holding certain positions or policies that mandated lower pay for comparable work. This phase successfully highlighted clear, measurable forms of sex-based discrimination.

As the concept matured through the 1970s and 1980s, scholars broadened the definition to include more subtle, pervasive forms of bias. Researchers began examining how language, media representation, and cultural rituals reinforced sexist ideologies. This development led to the recognition of **microaggressions**--small, often unconscious acts of discrimination--as fundamental components of the sexist experience. The evolution of the concept reflects a shift from viewing sexism purely as individual malice to understanding it as a deeply ingrained cultural and institutional phenomenon that shapes social reality.

Contemporary understanding, heavily influenced by intersectional theory, acknowledges that the historical development of sexism has not been monolithic. Experiences of sexist discrimination are mediated by race, class, sexual orientation, and other axes of identity, meaning that the specific nature and severity of sexism faced by, for example, a white middle-class woman differs significantly from that faced by a woman of color from a lower socioeconomic background. This ongoing refinement ensures that the analysis of sexism remains dynamic and sensitive to interlocking systems of oppression.

3. Key Characteristics and Manifestations

Sexism manifests in varied and complex ways, which social psychologists categorize to better analyze its impact. The most widely accepted framework, developed by psychologists Peter Glick and Susan Fiske, distinguishes between two primary forms that often coexist: hostile sexism and benevolent sexism, forming the core of Ambivalent Sexism Theory.

Hostile Sexism is characterized by overtly antagonistic feelings toward women. This includes beliefs that women are trying to control men, are overly sensitive, or are inherently less competent or deserving of power. Examples include street harassment, overt workplace hostility, and explicit misogynistic language. Hostile sexism is often invoked to punish women who violate traditional gender roles, such as assertive female leaders or women who reject traditional domestic expectations.

Conversely, **Benevolent Sexism** is a seemingly positive, protective, or chivalrous attitude toward women that, in effect, serves to maintain women's subordinate status. It involves beliefs that women are delicate, pure, and need to be protected and provided for by men. While this may appear flattering, it reinforces the stereotype that women are weaker, less capable, and

fundamentally dependent on men, thus justifying their exclusion from positions of power or risk. For example, insisting that a woman should not participate in a demanding project because "it's too tough" is an act of benevolent sexism, restricting her professional opportunity under the guise of care.

A third critical manifestation is **Institutional Sexism**. This refers to the policies, practices, and institutional structures that result in unequal outcomes based on gender. This form of sexism is often the most difficult to eradicate because it is embedded in organizational habit and legal precedent. Examples include promotion quotas, biased performance review standards, and, critically, disparities in compensation and valuation of labor, as exemplified by the persistent gender pay gap.

Stereotyping and Generalization: Relying on rigid, often unfounded assumptions about what men and women can or should do.
Gender Policing: The enforcement of adherence to traditional gender roles through social pressure or sanctions.
Internalized Sexism: When members of the disadvantaged sex internalize sexist beliefs and apply them to themselves or other members of their sex (e.g., believing women are naturally less suited for mathematics).

4. Institutional and Economic Impact

The most frequently cited evidence of institutional sexism is the persistent gap in earnings between men and women performing similar work, often referred to as the **gender pay gap**. As noted in introductory analyses, women still make on average less money than men, a statistical reality that many cite as definitive evidence of sexism in the workplace. This disparity is not solely attributable to women making different career choices or working fewer hours; substantial research confirms that a significant portion of the gap remains even after controlling for factors such as education, experience, and occupation.

The persistence of the pay gap stems from multiple, interlocking forms of discrimination. One factor is **occupational segregation**, where female-dominated professions (such as nursing, teaching, and social work) are systematically undervalued and underpaid relative to male-dominated professions requiring comparable levels of skill and education. Furthermore, the **glass ceiling** and **glass cliff** phenomena illustrate barriers to advancement: women struggle to rise to the highest executive levels (the ceiling) or are placed in precarious leadership positions with a high risk of failure (the cliff).

Implicit bias among employers plays a significant role. Even if employers consciously believe they are treating employees equally, unconscious assumptions about women's commitment to their careers--particularly related to anticipated family responsibilities--can lead to biased hiring,

promotion, and salary decisions. For example, an employer might feel that women do perform as well as men but, due to anticipated maternity leave or caregiving duties, decide that their performance, for some reason, does not warrant higher pay or a salary equal to that of a man with the same experience in the same position. Whatever the specific mechanism, this difference in earnings remains a primary indicator that structural sexism operates against principles of fairness and meritocracy.

5. Theoretical Frameworks

Various theoretical perspectives have been developed to explain the mechanisms and persistence of sexism. These frameworks often originate in feminist theory and related critical social theories, aiming to move beyond mere description to profound structural analysis.

Patriarchy Theory posits that sexism is maintained by a systemic social structure in which men hold primary power and predominate in roles of political leadership, moral authority, social privilege, and control of property. In this view, sexism is not an error in the system but a necessary outcome of a patriarchal organization designed to maintain male dominance. This framework helps explain why sexist ideologies are often resilient, as they are deeply interwoven with legal and economic institutions that benefit the dominant group.

The **Social Role Theory** of sexism suggests that discrimination arises from widely shared beliefs about the sexes that stem from the historical distribution of men and women into different social roles. Since women were historically relegated to domestic and nurturing roles, and men to competitive, public, and provider roles, observers infer that these characteristics are inherent traits of the sexes. This perpetuates the belief that men are naturally aggressive and suited for leadership, while women are naturally warm and suited for caregiving, thereby justifying the existing division of labor and power.

Crucially, **Intersectionality Theory**, pioneered by critical race scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw, radically reframed the analysis of sexism. Intersectionality argues that gender, race, class, sexual orientation, and other identities are not isolated categories but rather interconnected forms of oppression that must be examined together. For example, the sexism experienced by a Black woman may be qualitatively different--and often more severe--than the sexism experienced by a white woman, as their experiences are shaped simultaneously by racism and sexism. This framework demands that analyses of sexism account for the compounded disadvantages faced by marginalized groups.

6. Debates and Criticisms

While the definition of sexism as prejudice and discrimination based on gender is widely accepted, several key debates surround the concept, particularly concerning its scope, directionality, and

complexity in a diversifying world.

One prominent public debate centers on the concept of **"reverse sexism"**--the idea that men are now victims of systemic discrimination by women or society. Sociological analysis generally critiques the term "reverse sexism" as misleading because, while men can certainly experience individual prejudice or unfair treatment from women or specific organizational policies, the necessary historical, institutional, and economic power structures required for systemic discrimination against men as a class do not exist in the vast majority of global societies. Sexism, by definition, must be understood in the context of power dynamics where one gender systematically holds institutional advantage, which remains overwhelmingly male. Therefore, discrimination against men is usually categorized as individual prejudice or specific policy error, distinct from the deep-seated, systemic phenomenon of sexism.

Another significant area of debate concerns the measurement and quantification of implicit bias. Psychological studies utilizing tools like the Implicit Association Test (IAT) have demonstrated widespread unconscious gender bias, but critics debate the direct link between these implicit attitudes and measurable discriminatory behavior in real-world settings. Nevertheless, the growing consensus is that implicit biases contribute substantially to the maintenance of the gender status quo, particularly in high-stakes decisions like hiring and investment.

Finally, debates continue regarding the efficacy of various anti-sexism interventions. Some interventions focus on individual attitude change (training), while others advocate for radical structural change (quotas and policy mandates). The consensus emerging from the academic sphere suggests that only comprehensive approaches that address both implicit biases and institutional structures can effectively dismantle the complex roots of sexism.

Further Reading

Wikipedia: Sexism
Wikipedia: Second-wave feminism
Wikipedia: Ambivalent sexism
Wikipedia: Feminist theory
Wikipedia: Kimberlé Crenshaw