

# Psychosurgery

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 4, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Psychosurgery*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=34379>

## Psychosurgery

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Psychiatry, Neurosurgery, Bioethics

### 1. Core Definition

Psychosurgery, also known as neurosurgery for mental disorder (NSMD), refers to surgical procedures that involve the intentional alteration of brain tissue with the aim of alleviating severe and otherwise intractable psychological disorders. The underlying premise of psychosurgery is that certain mental illnesses are associated with specific dysfunctions or abnormalities in brain circuits, and by surgically modifying these circuits, the associated symptoms can be ameliorated. This intervention is distinct from other forms of brain surgery, such as those performed for tumors, trauma, or epilepsy, in that its primary target is the modification of behavior or thought patterns rather than the removal of overt physical pathology, focusing instead on disrupting pathological neural pathways.

Historically, the definition and practice of psychosurgery have evolved significantly. Initially, procedures were often crude and involved extensive destruction of brain tissue, based on a limited understanding of neuroanatomy and brain function. Modern psychosurgery, however, is characterized by its highly targeted, minimally invasive nature, employing advanced imaging techniques and precise lesioning methods to affect very specific neural pathways. Despite these advancements, the core objective remains the same: to provide relief for patients suffering from debilitating mental conditions that have not responded to conventional treatments such as psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy, or electroconvulsive therapy.

The overarching goal is to disrupt pathological neural circuits believed to perpetuate symptoms like severe obsessive-compulsive disorder, major depression, or intractable pain syndromes. While the term "psychosurgery" often conjures images of the controversial lobotomies of the mid-20th century, contemporary practice is far more refined, ethically stringent, and reserved for an extremely select group of patients, representing a **last-resort treatment option** after all other modalities have failed to provide adequate symptomatic relief. This rigorous selection process ensures that the permanent and irreversible nature of brain alteration is only considered under the most extreme and carefully deliberated circumstances.

### 2. Etymology and Historical Development

The concept of intervening surgically in the brain to alter mental states has roots in antiquity, long before the advent of modern neuroscience. Early forms of what might be considered proto-psychosurgery date back tens of thousands of years, evidenced by archaeological findings of trepanation - the practice of drilling or scraping a hole into the skull. While the precise motivations for trepanation are debated, it is believed to have been performed to relieve pressure, treat head

injuries, or, significantly, to expel "demons" or a "stone of madness" thought to be responsible for mental illness or abnormal behavior. This ancient belief system posited that removing a specific part of the brain or alleviating intracranial pressure would cure the afflicted individual, establishing a conceptual link to the later development of psychosurgery.

The modern era of psychosurgery is often traced to the late 19th century. The first "rational" or scientifically intended psychosurgical procedure is attributed to Swiss psychiatrist Gottlieb Burckhardt in **1891**. Burckhardt performed cortical excisions in six chronic psychiatric patients, targeting specific areas of the brain that he believed were responsible for auditory hallucinations and aggressive behavior, with the aim of calming them. While his methods were primitive by today's standards and the outcomes mixed, his work represented a departure from purely speculative or mystical approaches, marking an early attempt to apply anatomical and physiological reasoning to psychiatric conditions, thereby initiating a new, albeit controversial, chapter in the treatment of mental illness.

The most notorious and widespread period of psychosurgery began in the 1930s with the work of Portuguese neurologist Egas Moniz, who developed the technique of leucotomy (later known as lobotomy). Moniz, who received the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1949 for his discovery of the therapeutic value of prefrontal leucotomy in certain psychoses, theorized that fixed ideas in mental illness were due to abnormal neural circuits in the frontal lobes. His procedure involved cutting the white matter connections in the frontal lobes, initially using an alcohol injection to destroy tissue and later employing a surgical instrument called a leucotome. This procedure gained immense popularity, especially in the United States, primarily through the efforts of neurosurgeon Walter Freeman II and his colleague James Watts, who popularized the transorbital lobotomy, a quicker and less invasive technique that could be performed in non-surgical settings, sometimes even in doctors' offices. The **1940s and 1950s** witnessed a surge in lobotomies, particularly prefrontal lobotomies, performed on individuals with a wide range of mental illnesses, often to reduce symptoms such as aggression, agitation, and severe depression, becoming a widespread and often indiscriminately applied intervention.

However, the widespread application of lobotomy soon revealed its devastating side effects, which included cognitive impairment, personality changes, apathy, and a general blunting of emotional responses. Ethical concerns regarding patient consent, the irreversible nature of the procedure, and the lack of thorough scientific evaluation of long-term outcomes mounted significantly. Coupled with the development of effective psychopharmacological agents in the mid-1950s, such as chlorpromazine, which offered less invasive and often reversible treatment options, the practice of lobotomy rapidly declined. By the 1970s, it was largely abandoned in most Western countries, becoming a symbol of medical overreach and the dark side of psychiatric treatment, leaving a lasting legacy of caution and stringent ethical review for all future brain interventions.

### 3. Key Characteristics

Psychosurgery, particularly in its modern incarnation, is defined by several critical characteristics that distinguish it from its controversial predecessors and other forms of neurological intervention. Firstly, a fundamental characteristic is its **irreversibility**. Unlike pharmacological treatments or psychotherapy, which can be modified or ceased, surgical alteration of brain tissue is permanent. This irreversibility necessitates an extremely cautious and deliberative approach, ensuring that all other viable, less invasive treatment options have been thoroughly exhausted before considering surgery. The profound and lasting nature of the intervention underscores the ethical imperative for meticulous patient selection and comprehensive pre-surgical evaluation, ensuring that the decision to proceed is made with the utmost gravity and consideration for patient well-being.

Secondly, modern psychosurgery is characterized by its **high precision and targeted intervention**. Unlike the broad, often indiscriminate lesions of early lobotomies, contemporary procedures utilize advanced neuroimaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance imaging (**MRI**) and computed tomography (**CT**), combined with sophisticated neuronavigation systems. These technologies allow neurosurgeons to identify and target very specific, minute brain structures or neural pathways believed to be implicated in the patient's specific symptoms. Procedures like anterior cingulotomy, limbic leucotomy, capsulotomy, and subcaudate tractotomy focus on discrete circuits within the limbic system or frontal cortex, aiming to modulate specific dysfunctional networks rather than ablating large areas of brain tissue, thereby significantly reducing collateral damage and improving outcomes.

Thirdly, psychosurgery is invariably considered a **last-resort treatment option**. It is reserved exclusively for patients suffering from severe, chronic, and debilitating psychiatric disorders who have demonstrated profound refractoriness to all conventional, evidence-based treatments. This typically means that patients have undergone multiple courses of different psychotropic medications, various forms of psychotherapy, and often electroconvulsive therapy, without achieving significant or sustained improvement. The stringent criteria for patient selection reflect the gravity of the procedure and the commitment to patient safety and well-being, ensuring that only those with the most intractable conditions are considered.

Finally, a defining characteristic is the **multidisciplinary evaluation and ethical oversight**. Modern psychosurgery is not a decision made by a single clinician. Instead, it involves a rigorous assessment by a specialized multidisciplinary team, typically including psychiatrists, neurosurgeons, neurologists, neuropsychologists, and ethicists. This team thoroughly reviews the patient's diagnosis, treatment history, symptom severity, quality of life, and cognitive and psychological functioning. Furthermore, stringent ethical guidelines and institutional review boards are crucial in ensuring informed consent, protecting patient rights, and continually evaluating the efficacy and safety of these procedures, ensuring the highest standards of care and ethical

practice.

#### 4. Significance and Impact

The historical and ongoing practice of psychosurgery holds significant importance in multiple domains, profoundly impacting the fields of neuroscience, psychiatry, and medical ethics. From a scientific perspective, early psychosurgical interventions, despite their crude nature, inadvertently contributed to a greater understanding of brain-behavior relationships. The observable, albeit often deleterious, effects of lobotomies on personality, mood, and executive function provided early, albeit uncontrolled, insights into the roles of specific brain regions, particularly the frontal lobes, in governing complex human behaviors and emotions. This primitive form of lesion studies, though ethically problematic, laid some groundwork for later, more sophisticated research into neural circuits underpinning psychiatric disorders, setting the stage for subsequent advancements in neurosurgical techniques and neurological understanding.

The profound ethical controversies ignited by the widespread abuse and adverse outcomes of lobotomies in the mid-20th century represent arguably the most significant impact of psychosurgery. These controversies served as a critical catalyst for the development of modern bioethics, patient rights movements, and institutional review boards. The public outcry and professional self-reflection surrounding psychosurgery underscored the absolute necessity of informed consent, the meticulous balancing of risks and benefits, and the protection of vulnerable patient populations. It highlighted the dangers of medical interventions performed without sufficient scientific rigor, ethical oversight, and consideration for long-term patient welfare, leading to the establishment of stricter regulatory frameworks for all medical research and practice involving human subjects, which are now standard across medical disciplines globally.

In contemporary medical discourse, psychosurgery continues to serve as a stark reminder of the delicate balance between therapeutic innovation and ethical responsibility. Its legacy has fostered a culture of extreme caution and rigorous scrutiny for any intervention that permanently alters brain function for psychiatric purposes. This historical context ensures that modern applications are approached with the utmost circumspection, emphasizing multidisciplinary evaluation, patient advocacy, and a commitment to evidence-based practice. The ongoing evolution of psychosurgery, from crude ablative techniques to highly refined, image-guided procedures, also pushes the boundaries of neuroscientific research, fostering a deeper understanding of the pathophysiology of mental illness and paving the way for even more targeted and effective future treatments.

#### 5. Modern Applications and Techniques

In stark contrast to the imprecise and often debilitating procedures of the mid-20th century, modern psychosurgery has undergone a radical transformation, characterized by unparalleled precision,

minimal invasiveness, and a highly selective application. Today, psychosurgery is performed using advanced neurosurgical techniques that target specific brain regions with millimeter accuracy, often without the need for a large craniotomy. These modern approaches are primarily reserved for patients suffering from severe, chronic, and treatment-refractory mental disorders, including severe obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), major depressive disorder (MDD), and sometimes intractable pain syndromes or aggressive behaviors associated with neurological conditions, representing a highly specialized and infrequent intervention.

Contemporary psychosurgical techniques employ sophisticated neuroimaging modalities such as high-resolution fMRI, DTI, and PET scans to precisely map the brain structures and neural circuits implicated in the patient's specific symptoms. Based on this detailed imaging, surgeons can plan targeted interventions. Common procedures include **anterior cingulotomy**, which involves making small lesions in the anterior cingulate cortex to disrupt circuits involved in emotional regulation and obsessive thoughts; **capsulotomy**, targeting the anterior limb of the internal capsule for similar indications; and **subcaudate tractotomy**, which severs connections between the orbitofrontal cortex and subcortical structures. These ablative procedures typically use precisely controlled methods such as stereotactic radiosurgery, radiofrequency ablation, or focused ultrasound, minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissue and enhancing therapeutic specificity.

Beyond ablative techniques, the field has also seen the rise of **neuromodulation** procedures, which, while technically distinct from traditional psychosurgery (as they don't involve tissue destruction), share the goal of altering brain function for psychiatric benefit. The most prominent example is Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS). DBS involves implanting electrodes in specific brain areas (e.g., subthalamic nucleus, ventral capsule/ventral striatum) which are then connected to a neurostimulator, akin to a pacemaker, to deliver continuous electrical impulses. DBS is reversible and adjustable, offering greater flexibility compared to lesioning. While DBS is primarily used for movement disorders like Parkinson's disease, it has shown promising results for severe, refractory OCD and depression in clinical trials and is approved for these conditions in some regions. These advanced techniques represent a paradigm shift, moving towards more selective, precise, and sometimes non-ablative interventions, vastly improving safety profiles and potential outcomes for carefully selected patients.

## 6. Debates and Criticisms

Psychosurgery has been, and continues to be, a subject of intense debate and criticism, primarily revolving around ethical considerations, the irreversible nature of brain alteration, and concerns about efficacy and side effects. Historically, the most severe criticisms were directed at the widespread practice of lobotomy during the mid-20th century. Critics argued that lobotomies were often performed indiscriminately on patients who could not provide truly informed consent, including children, prisoners, and those institutionalized for long periods. The procedures were

frequently undertaken without adequate understanding of neuroanatomy or neurophysiology, leading to devastating and unpredictable side effects such as severe cognitive deficits, emotional flattening, seizures, and even death. The perception that lobotomy was used to "tame" or "control" difficult patients, rather than genuinely cure them, fueled public and professional outrage, staining the reputation of psychosurgery for decades and leading to its eventual abandonment.

Even with the advancements in modern psychosurgery, ethical concerns remain at the forefront of the debate. The irreversible nature of lesioning procedures raises fundamental questions about patient autonomy and the right to an intact brain. While current protocols mandate rigorous evaluation and informed consent, the capacity of severely mentally ill patients to provide truly autonomous consent is often scrutinized, particularly when facing extreme suffering and limited alternatives. Critics emphasize the potential for therapeutic misconception, where patients may overestimate the likelihood of success and underestimate the risks, especially in a context where they are desperate for relief. The "last resort" status of psychosurgery, while intended as a safeguard, can also be seen as placing immense pressure on patients to undergo a procedure with significant risks, potentially compromising their ability to make a truly free choice.

Another significant area of debate concerns the **efficacy and long-term outcomes** of psychosurgical procedures. While modern techniques are far more precise and report higher success rates with fewer severe side effects compared to historical lobotomies, the evidence base, particularly for long-term follow-up studies, is still considered limited due to the rarity of the procedures and the challenges of conducting large-scale, controlled trials in this population. Critics argue that reported success rates, while encouraging for some, may not apply broadly, and that the impact on specific cognitive functions, personality, and quality of life can be subtle and complex to measure. There is ongoing discussion about the optimal target sites for various disorders, as well as the balance between lesion size and therapeutic benefit versus potential side effects, with continuous research aiming to refine these aspects for better patient outcomes.

Finally, the very principle of surgically altering the brain to treat mental illness continues to spark philosophical and moral debate. Some critics view psychosurgery as an overly mechanistic approach to complex human suffering, potentially overlooking psychological and social factors contributing to mental illness. The interventions raise questions about the nature of the self, identity, and the extent to which medical science should intervene in the most intimate aspects of human experience. While proponents argue that severe mental illness is a brain disease requiring biological intervention, and that surgical options are justified for profound suffering, these procedures will always require robust ethical frameworks, transparent data, and continuous critical evaluation to maintain their legitimacy within medical practice, ensuring they are only considered under the most extreme and carefully deliberated circumstances.

## Further Reading

[História da Psicocirurgia - Instituto de Psiquiatria, UFRJ \(Portuguese\)](#)

[Psychosurgery - Wikipedia](#)

[Lobotomy - Wikipedia](#)

[Trepanation - Wikipedia](#)

[Gottlieb Burckhardt - Wikipedia](#)

[António Egas Moniz - Wikipedia](#)

[Walter Freeman II - Wikipedia](#)

[Deep Brain Stimulation - Wikipedia](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM