

PASSIVE

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 26, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *PASSIVE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=61546>

Passive

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Psychology, Linguistics, Sociology, Philosophy

1. Core Definition and Conceptual Context

The concept of **passive** fundamentally describes a state of being acted upon rather than initiating action. In its broadest application across disciplines, passivity denotes receptivity, non-initiative, or a lack of self-determination where an entity or individual allows external forces, stimuli, or agents to dictate their condition or behavior. This stands in direct contrast to agency or activity. In psychological terminology, passivity characterizes a behavioral trend marked by agreement, submission, and a pronounced reliance on external people or circumstances for direction and validation. The individual exhibiting a passive demeanor frequently finds themselves simply impacted by exterior forces, lacking the internal impetus to challenge or alter their environment.

This definition extends beyond mere inaction; it implies a structured disposition where the individual consciously or unconsciously avoids taking responsibility for outcomes, preferring to yield to the will of others or to overwhelming situational pressures. While often viewed negatively in Western psychological models focusing on self-efficacy and assertiveness, the state of passivity is complex, residing on a spectrum. It can range from temporary compliance motivated by social harmony to a pervasive, deeply ingrained personality structure that severely limits independent functioning and goal pursuit. Understanding passivity requires analyzing the locus of control--whether the individual believes their fate is determined internally (active) or externally (passive).

Furthermore, the term **passive** is essential in philosophy and sociology when discussing notions of freedom, determinism, and the nature of human existence. Philosophical debates often center on the extent to which humans are fundamentally passive recipients of sensory data or actively construct meaning from experience. In sociological contexts, passivity might describe the compliance of a population with established power structures, or the lack of mobilization in response to injustice, often attributed to factors like learned helplessness or systemic oppression that diminish the perceived utility of active engagement. The psychological definition provided in the source--a character trend that is "agreeable, submissive, simply impacted by exterior forces, and reliant on other people"--serves as the primary focus for behavioral analysis.

2. Etymological and Linguistic Origins

The term **passive** originates from the Latin word *passivus*, derived from *pati*, meaning "to suffer" or "to endure." This etymological root underscores the core meaning of being subjected to an external action or influence rather than being the initiator of the action. This historical connection to suffering or enduring aligns perfectly with the psychological interpretation, where the passive

individual often endures circumstances or relational dynamics without protest or proactive intervention.

In linguistics, the concept is formalized through the **passive voice**. A sentence constructed in the passive voice focuses the grammatical subject on the recipient of the action, rather than the agent performing the action. For instance, in the active sentence, "The manager approved the report," the manager (agent) is active. In the passive voice, "The report was approved by the manager," the report (recipient) becomes the focus, rendering the agent either secondary or omitted entirely. This linguistic structure mirrors the psychological state, where the passive individual becomes the object or recipient of actions initiated by others, effectively minimizing their perceived role as an autonomous agent.

The enduring influence of the linguistic framework means that when describing personality, "passive" inherently carries the connotation of diminished agency. Whether discussing a submissive interpersonal style or an economic model where consumers are merely passive recipients of products, the underlying structure remains consistent: the subject lacks the initiating force. The historical development of the term thus cemented its dual meaning as both a neutral descriptive state (linguistics) and a critical assessment of behavioral deficiency (psychology).

3. Psychological Manifestations: Passive Personality

A **passive personality**, as defined by clinical psychology, is characterized by a consistent pattern of behavior where the individual avoids conflict, suppresses their own needs, and fails to express honest feelings, particularly disagreement or dissatisfaction. This character trend is often driven by an underlying fear of rejection, abandonment, or confrontation. Individuals with this orientation prioritize the approval and comfort of others above their own self-interest, leading to frequent self-denial and emotional resignation.

This personality structure is deeply interwoven with issues of dependency. The reliance on other people, as noted in the source definition, becomes a central organizing principle of their lives. Passive individuals may struggle immensely with independent decision-making, constantly seeking guidance, reassurance, and validation from authoritative figures, partners, or friends. This dependency acts as a psychological mechanism to offload responsibility; if outcomes are poor, the passive individual can rationalize that they were merely following the instructions of others, thus shielding their fragile self-esteem from failure.

Moreover, a key manifestation of the passive personality is profound difficulty in establishing and maintaining personal boundaries. Because the passive person fears disrupting harmony, they frequently allow others to encroach upon their time, resources, and emotional space. This pattern often leads to feelings of resentment and chronic stress, which are frequently internalized or expressed indirectly through passive-aggressive behaviors rather than being confronted directly.

Over time, this submission can reinforce a self-fulfilling prophecy, as the individual becomes genuinely incapable of autonomous functioning, relying entirely on the exterior forces they initially feared challenging.

4. Behavioral Patterns: Passivity vs. Assertiveness

In the realm of communication and social behavior, passivity is typically contrasted with two other styles: aggression and assertiveness. The passive behavioral style is characterized by avoiding the direct expression of one's opinions, feelings, or rights. When faced with a situation requiring self-advocacy, the passive individual retreats, often allowing their rights to be violated or their opinions dismissed. This avoidance is not necessarily due to a lack of feeling or opinion, but rather a profound incapacity or unwillingness to articulate them forcefully or directly.

The crucial distinction between passivity and assertiveness lies in respect--both for the self and for others. While the aggressive style respects neither the self (by damaging relationships) nor others (by dominating them), and the passive style respects others (by yielding) but severely disrespects the self (by self-denial), the assertive style respects both. Assertive individuals express their needs clearly and respectfully, without infringing upon the rights of others. The passive individual, conversely, believes that their needs are less important or that expressing them will inevitably lead to conflict and negative consequences.

This behavioral pattern manifests in specific communicative habits: soft tone of voice, avoidance of eye contact, self-deprecating humor, and excessive apologizing. Although intended to preserve harmony and avoid confrontation, this passive behavior often results in the individual being overlooked, manipulated, or taken advantage of. Over time, this suppression of natural self-expression can lead to an accumulation of internalized anger, which may periodically erupt into inappropriate aggressive outbursts--a phenomenon known as the passive-aggressive cycle, where hostility is communicated subtly or indirectly rather than through transparent confrontation.

5. Psychoanalytic and Clinical Perspectives

Within psychoanalytic theory, passivity is often explored in relation to early developmental stages and the concept of libidinal drives. Sigmund Freud discussed passivity primarily in the context of gender roles, although the broader application involves the orientation toward pleasure and reality. More clinically relevant is the conceptualization of passivity as a component of **defense mechanisms**, particularly those involving denial, suppression, and intellectualization used to cope with overwhelming anxiety or trauma.

Clinically, extreme passivity is a hallmark feature of certain personality disorders, most notably **Dependent Personality Disorder (DPD)**. Individuals diagnosed with DPD exhibit a pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of, which leads to submissive and clinging behavior and fears of

separation. This clinical passivity is so ingrained that the individual relies on others to make major life decisions, initiate projects, and manage basic responsibilities, fulfilling the definition of being "reliant on other people" to an extreme degree.

Furthermore, passivity can be linked to the concept of **learned helplessness**, a psychological condition where an individual has learned to behave passively and feel powerless in the face of adverse stimuli due to repeated exposure to situations where they could not exercise control. In a therapeutic setting, overcoming passivity requires cognitive restructuring to challenge the belief that one is powerless and behavioral training to develop effective assertive communication skills, thereby shifting the individual's locus of control from external reliance to internal self-efficacy.

6. Key Characteristics of Passivity

Submissiveness: A readiness to yield to the authority, demands, or wishes of others, even when it conflicts with personal judgment or well-being.

Conflict Avoidance: An intense tendency to suppress disagreement, dissatisfaction, or negative emotions to maintain perceived peace and harmony in relationships.

External Locus of Control: The pervasive belief that external forces, chance, fate, or powerful others primarily determine life outcomes, reducing the motivation for personal initiative.

Dependence: A pronounced reliance on other individuals for emotional support, decision-making, and often practical execution of life tasks.

Emotional Suppression: The frequent internalization or masking of genuine feelings, particularly anger or frustration, leading to potential passive-aggressive expression or somatic symptoms.

7. Passive Resistance and Non-Violent Action

It is crucial to distinguish psychological passivity--a lack of agency--from the strategic and deliberate use of non-action in political and social contexts, often termed **passive resistance** or non-violent action. In this political framework, passivity is transformed from a weakness into a powerful, proactive strategy. Figures like Mahatma Gandhi and Martin Luther King Jr. utilized methods of non-cooperation and civil disobedience that are technically "passive" in that they avoid aggressive physical retaliation, yet are profoundly assertive and highly active in their moral and strategic intent.

In the context of passive resistance, the refusal to comply, pay taxes, or cooperate with an unjust system is a deliberate act of will--an ultimate expression of agency. While the behavior appears submissive or inactive on the surface, the internal state is one of determined refusal and moral clarity. This highlights that "passive" behavior must always be evaluated by the underlying intent: is it born of fear and psychological inability (psychological passivity), or is it born of strategic choice and moral conviction (political non-violence)?

Therefore, the political application of passivity is antithetical to the psychological definition. While the passive personality is agreeable and submissive out of weakness, the practitioner of passive resistance is fundamentally non-agreeable and non-submissive concerning core values, employing a strategic refusal to act aggressively as their primary method of engagement. This strategic non-action asserts power by compelling the aggressor to acknowledge the moral weight of their actions and the determination of the resistor.

8. Debates and Critical Reappraisal

Despite the generally negative clinical view of passivity, critical reappraisals have led to debates regarding its absolute condemnation. Some philosophical and cultural perspectives, particularly those influenced by Eastern traditions like Taoism or certain forms of Buddhism, value a type of non-striving or acceptance that superficially resembles passivity but is rooted in profound internal strength and detachment. This state involves accepting the flow of events (Wu Wei) without the aggressive imposition of will, which is distinct from the fear-driven submission of a passive personality.

Critics also argue that Western psychology overemphasizes aggression and assertiveness as ideal behavioral models, often failing to account for cultural contexts where indirect communication, deference to elders, or group harmony are highly valued. In these contexts, behavior labeled as "passive" might simply be socially appropriate humility or respect, not a sign of underlying psychological pathology or weakness. The pathologization of passivity can thus be seen as culturally biased, prioritizing individualistic autonomy over collectivist harmony.

A final point of debate concerns the nuanced relationship between passive behavior and emotional intelligence. While extreme passivity limits emotional expression, a moderate capacity for passivity--the ability to listen, receive information, and temporarily yield to a partner's needs--is essential for empathy, negotiation, and successful cooperative ventures. Therefore, the goal of therapeutic intervention is not the eradication of all passive behavior, but the development of behavioral flexibility, allowing the individual to choose assertiveness when necessary and healthy receptivity when appropriate.

Further Reading

[Passive voice \(Linguistics\)](#)

[Assertiveness \(Behavioral Psychology\)](#)

[Dependent personality disorder](#)

[Passive resistance](#)