MUSIC AND WORK

Authored by

mohammad looti

October 10, 2025

MUSIC AND WORK

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Industrial Psychology, Organizational Behavior, Ergonomics

1. Core Definition and Historical Context

The relationship between music and labor, often termed Industrial Music or work music, refers to the practice of playing structured musical programs in manufacturing and office environments with the intent of influencing employee performance, morale, and safety. Historically, work has been accompanied by music since the inception of organized labor, primarily through the use of traditional work songs and chants designed to synchronize effort, pace tasks, and alleviate the monotony of highly repetitive actions. However, the scientific and psychological investigation into the controlled use of music in industrial settings is a relatively recent phenomenon, dating back only to the early to mid-twentieth century. Initial research efforts, starting approximately three decades prior to the comprehensive reviews of the 1960s, sought to move beyond anecdotal evidence and establish quantitative links between programmed background music and tangible outcomes like worker morale and increased **productivity**.

These foundational studies emerged during a period of intense focus on optimizing human factors in manufacturing, influenced by earlier movements like scientific management and human relations approaches. The core hypothesis driving this research was that music could act as a psychological countermeasure against fatigue and boredom inherent in assembly line work. While early reports often enthusiastically supported the efficacy of music in boosting output, subsequent, more sophisticated investigations introduced necessary skepticism, refining the understanding of when and why music influences work performance. The sheer practical appeal of music as a low-cost intervention, however, led to its rapid adoption, regardless of definitive scientific consensus.

2. Early Research and Productivity Claims

Early psychological research, often conducted in the 1940s and 1950s, frequently reported significant positive effects attributable to the introduction of music programs. These effects were claimed to extend not only to subjective measures, such as improvements in worker morale and perceived job satisfaction, but also to objective, quantifiable metrics like increased output rates. This enthusiasm fueled the widespread corporate adoption of background music systems. A notable survey conducted by Scott and others in 1961 indicated the scale of this practice, revealing that approximately **one-third** of all sizable manufacturing companies had successfully implemented some form of music program within their facilities.

Despite this high rate of industrial adoption, the scientific community began to scrutinize the robustness of these early claims. Factors such as the Hawthorne Effect--where subjects improve performance simply because they know they are being observed or given special attention--were

difficult to control in factory settings, leading some researchers to question the true causative link between the music itself and the reported productivity gains. As the field matured, the focus shifted from a simple 'does it work?' question to a more nuanced 'under what conditions does it work, and for which tasks?' perspective, necessitating more rigorous experimental designs.

3. Influential Case Studies

To properly assess the status of music in the workplace, researchers often differentiated their methodology based on the nature of the task being performed. The consensus began to emerge that music might impact simple, routine, or monotonous tasks differently than complex, cognitively demanding, or highly skilled tasks. Two influential studies from the late 1940s effectively illustrate this distinction, providing crucial foundational data for later theoretical frameworks. These studies involved careful scheduling of music programs and comparing performance metrics during music periods versus music-free periods, often over extended durations to assess the longevity of the effect.

The first experiment examined workers engaged in highly complex operations, where established, stable activity patterns were likely already in place. The second, contrasting study focused on production-line tasks characterized by repetition and simplicity, where the risk of boredom and attentional drift was significantly higher. The divergent results of these two approaches were instrumental in shaping the subsequent recommendations regarding the appropriate deployment of industrial music.

4. McGehee and Gardner (1949) Study: Complex Tasks

One key investigation, published by McGehee and Gardner (1949), centered on 142 women employed in a rug manufacturing facility. The work demanded extensive training and required a high degree of sophisticated cognitive and manipulative skills, specifically involving fine motor coordination, substantial visual memory, and acute color discrimination ability. The experimental protocol involved playing music for eighty minutes per day, four days a week, across a five-week period. Crucially, the investigators varied both the types of musical programs used and which day of the week was designated as music-free, ensuring a dynamic environment.

The primary finding of this study was the absence of a statistically significant change in objective output levels, observed on either the days when music was played or the designated music-free days. The researchers hypothesized that the workers' proficiency was so ingrained--having already established highly stable and optimized activity patterns--that the introduction of background music was insufficient to exert a measurable influence on their physical output rates. Despite the lack of an output increase, the subjective response was overwhelmingly positive: when asked whether the music program should be continued, 84.5 per cent of the women responded affirmatively, 14.5 per

cent felt it made no difference to them, and only a marginal 1 per cent stated they preferred it discontinued. This outcome highlighted a significant divergence: music strongly improved **worker satisfaction and morale**, even when it failed to enhance raw productivity in skilled, complex tasks.

5. Smith (1947) Study: Repetitive Tasks

In sharp contrast to the rug factory investigation, the study conducted by Smith (1947) involved forty-two women engaged in highly repetitive, monotonous production-line tasks, specifically the manufacture of terminals for radios. These tasks required minimal cognitive involvement but high consistency, making them highly susceptible to the effects of boredom and fatigue. The subjects were divided evenly between day and night shifts, allowing for comparative analysis across different working conditions. The experiment involved carefully structured music programs played over a twelve-week period, with productivity compared between shifts with and without music.

The results demonstrated a highly significant positive correlation between the music and increased output. Smith reported that "Production under varying conditions of music increased from 4 to 25%." Specifically, the average increase observed on the day shift was 7 per cent, while the night shift experienced an even more dramatic average increase of 17 per cent. Furthermore, unlike concerns that the novelty of music might wear off, the experiment showed no decline in the effectiveness of the music programs over the entire three-month duration. Interviews conducted post-experiment confirmed that the employees' initial enthusiasm also remained high, suggesting that music served as a sustained and effective counter-stimulus to industrial monotony.

6. Uhrbrock's Comprehensive Review (1961)

By the early 1960s, the body of literature surrounding industrial music had grown sufficiently large to warrant systematic review and synthesis. Richard S. Uhrbrock conducted a thorough analysis of all major investigations up to that point, synthesizing the findings and drawing conclusions that tempered the initial enthusiasm with scientific caution. His findings advised companies considering the implementation of music programs to first conduct rigorous internal investigations into several key variables: the specific **work situation**, the precise **type of job** being performed, and the pre-existing **attitudes of the employees**. Uhrbrock stressed that while music could be beneficial, no one should harbor expectations of "miraculous results" or universal productivity boosts across all organizational contexts.

Uhrbrock's detailed conclusions provided a robust framework for understanding the mechanisms and limitations of music as a psychological tool in the industrial context, moving the field beyond simple correlation toward contingency theory. His review highlighted the necessity of tailored approaches, recognizing that generic music programs would likely yield inconsistent or even detrimental results depending on the application. The primary takeaway was that success

depended heavily on matching the musical intervention to the cognitive demands of the task and the demographic profile of the workforce.

7. Key Findings on Employee Preference and Task Type

Uhrbrock's review yielded several highly specific and actionable major points regarding the application and effects of industrial music, strongly differentiating results based on employee experience and task complexity.

Scientific Validity: Many of the initial, highly publicized claims regarding massive increases in production attributed solely to music were not scientifically established through rigorous experimental controls.

Simple, Repetitive Tasks: At least three major investigators reported consistent findings that young, inexperienced employees engaged in simple, highly repetitive, and monotonous tasks significantly increased their output when stimulated by music. Music effectively combats the detrimental effects of boredom in these environments.

Complex, Stabilized Tasks: Conversely, experienced factory workers whose work patterns were highly stabilized and who were performing complex, high-skill tasks did not show measurable increases in production, reinforcing the findings of the McGehee and Gardner study.

General Preference: Notwithstanding the varied effects on output, factory employees in general exhibited a clear and strong preference for working in environments where music was played, confirming its efficacy as a morale booster.

8. Physiological and Qualitative Effects

Beyond direct output measures, Uhrbrock also cataloged the physiological and qualitative impacts of industrial music, offering insights into the underlying psychological mechanisms at play.

Physiological Basis: Changes measured in the blood pressure of some subjects indicated that the reported feelings of euphoria and mood elevation experienced during periods of musical stimulation had a demonstrable physiological foundation. This suggests that music triggers genuine biological responses related to stress reduction or mild arousal.

Musical Preference: The majority of workers expressed a strong preference for **instrumental music** over vocal music while working. This is likely due to the potential distraction caused by lyrics, which can interfere with attention, particularly in tasks requiring verbal or numerical processing.

Negative Impact: Despite general approval, music is not universally liked. Between one and ten

per cent of workers reported being actively annoyed by work music. Furthermore, Uhrbrock noted that the **quality of work** could be adversely affected by music, and output might even be lowered in specific circumstances, even when the worker reported the experience was "quite pleasant," indicating a potential trade-off between subjective enjoyment and objective performance quality.

Demographic Factors: Finally, data suggested that the older the worker, the less likely they were to be positively affected by or receptive to background music programs, indicating age and established work habits as significant moderating variables in the music-work relationship.

9. Modern Perspectives and Criticisms

The foundational research into music and work established the critical differentiation between task complexity (simple vs. complex) and the employee's role (experienced vs. inexperienced). Modern research continues to build upon these distinctions, often utilizing advanced neuroscientific techniques to track attentional load and cognitive processing while music is played. A key criticism of the early studies revolves around methodological rigor; specifically, the potential for confounding variables and the challenge of isolating the musical effect from the social or environmental changes surrounding the experiment.

Contemporary industrial psychology accepts that music primarily benefits performance in situations characterized by low cognitive demand, where the music serves to maintain arousal and prevent underload. Conversely, in high-demand, complex tasks, music--particularly music with fast tempos, high volume, or lyrical content--tends to function as an environmental stressor, distracting from the primary task and negatively impacting working memory capacity. Therefore, the legacy of the 1940s and 1950s research is the understanding that the effectiveness of music in the workplace is highly contextual and requires careful management to optimize its positive effects on morale without compromising work quality or focus in demanding jobs.

Further Reading

Industrial Music (Background)
Organizational Behavior
McGehee, W., & Gardner, J. E. (1949). Music in a complex industrial job.
Smith, P. C. (1947). The effect of music in an industrial production setting.
Hawthorne Effect