

MINIMAL AUDIBLE FIELD (MAF)?

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 31, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *MINIMAL AUDIBLE FIELD (MAF)?*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES.
Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=63835>

MINIMAL AUDIBLE FIELD (MAF)

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Audiology, Psychoacoustics, Sensory Psychology

1. Core Definition

The **Minimal Audible Field (MAF)** represents the absolute threshold of hearing for the human auditory system when sound is presented in a free-field setting. Defined precisely, MAF is the lowest sound pressure level (SPL) at which a pure tone can be detected by an average, young, otologically normal listener 50% of the time. This measurement is foundational to psychoacoustics, establishing the theoretical limit of sensitivity against which all other hearing measurements are compared.

Crucially, the MAF is measured in decibels (dB) referenced to 20 micropascals (μPa) and is determined by presenting the auditory stimulus via a loudspeaker in an acoustically controlled environment, such as an anechoic chamber. The use of a free field, where sound originates from a source distant from the listener, is intended to **better emulate hearing something in a real life situation**. This methodology contrasts sharply with clinical assessments that utilize headphones, ensuring that the natural acoustic interactions between the sound wave and the listener's head, pinna (outer ear), and ear canal are fully incorporated into the measurement.

The resulting MAF data is not a single value but rather a frequency-dependent curve that plots the minimum required SPL for detection across the audible frequency range (typically 20 Hz to 20,000 Hz). This curve serves as the fundamental standard for the 0 dB Hearing Level (HL) reference point used on clinical audiograms worldwide. Therefore, the MAF provides the essential baseline for diagnosing and quantifying hearing impairment, as hearing loss is fundamentally defined by the elevation of an individual's actual thresholds relative to this standard MAF curve.

2. Methodology of Measurement

The precise determination of the MAF requires strict control over the testing environment and stimulus presentation. Participants are positioned in an **anechoic chamber**--a room specifically designed to absorb nearly all sound reflections--to ensure that the measured sound pressure level accurately represents the direct sound incident upon the listener without interference from echoes or standing waves. The sound stimulus is delivered through a high-fidelity loudspeaker positioned at a specific angle, typically 0 degrees azimuth (directly in front of the listener).

To maintain validity, the sound pressure level is measured using a calibrated microphone placed precisely where the center of the listener's head will be located, known as the test position. This measurement is taken *before* the listener is introduced into the sound field, as the presence of the head and body would inevitably perturb the sound field. The use of psychophysical methods, such

as the Method of Limits or Adaptive Procedures, is employed to systematically determine the detection threshold. The participant signals when they can just barely perceive the faint tone, allowing researchers to home in on the minimum audible SPL.

The core distinction of MAF methodology, as highlighted in the source material, is the avoidance of headphones. By using a free-field presentation, the experiment accounts for the complex transfer function of the outer ear, including the natural resonance and directional filtering provided by the pinna and ear canal. This results in a measurement that reflects the true acoustical input to the tympanic membrane in a non-occluded, natural state, yielding thresholds that are often significantly lower (more sensitive) than those measured using pressure devices.

3. Minimal Audible Field vs. Minimal Audible Pressure (MAF vs. MAP)

A crucial distinction in audiology exists between the Minimal Audible Field (MAF) and the **Minimal Audible Pressure (MAP)**. While MAF measures thresholds in an open sound field using loudspeakers, MAP measures thresholds using occluding devices, typically standard supra-aural or insert earphones. The sound pressure level for MAP is measured either in a standardized coupler (Coupler MAP) or directly in the ear canal (Real-Ear MAP).

Historically, audiologists have observed a systematic difference between MAF and MAP thresholds, often referred to as the "**missing 6 dB**" phenomenon. MAF thresholds are generally found to be 6 to 10 dB lower (meaning hearing is more sensitive) than MAP thresholds, particularly in the critical mid-frequency range (around 2 kHz to 5 kHz). This discrepancy underscores the physiological and acoustical differences between free-field and enclosed-field stimulation.

This difference is primarily attributed to two factors: first, the **natural acoustic gain** provided by the external ear structures (pinna and ear canal resonance) is fully utilized during MAF measurement, boosting the incoming sound level before it reaches the eardrum; this gain is often absent or minimized when using supra-aural headphones (MAP). Second, MAP measurements using headphones introduce **physiological noise**, such as the sounds of blood flow or joint movement, trapped within the enclosed ear cup, which acts as a masking noise and slightly elevates the measured threshold. Understanding this MAF/MAP differential is vital for accurate interpretation of both clinical audiograms (based on MAP thresholds) and psychoacoustic research (often referencing MAF standards).

4. Key Frequency Characteristics

The MAF curve illustrates the dynamic sensitivity of the human ear across the frequency spectrum. The ear is not uniformly sensitive; rather, it exhibits peak sensitivity--requiring the least amount of sound pressure to detect the tone--in the high-mid frequency range, typically between 2,000 Hz and 5,000 Hz. This region of maximal sensitivity aligns perfectly with the primary resonant

frequency of the average adult external ear canal, demonstrating the powerful role of acoustic filtering in enhancing our ability to hear faint sounds.

Conversely, sensitivity decreases significantly at the extremes of the frequency range. At low frequencies, below approximately 100 Hz, the MAF values rise dramatically, meaning a much higher sound pressure level is required for detection. This reduction in sensitivity is due to the inherent mechanical properties of the middle ear ossicles and the stiffness-dominated nature of the cochlear partition at these low frequencies. Additionally, the perception of low-frequency sound is heavily influenced by **temporal integration**, requiring slightly longer stimulus duration for successful detection compared to mid-range tones.

At high frequencies, typically above 10,000 Hz, the MAF curve also shows increasing thresholds. This reduction is linked to the physical constraints of the basilar membrane movement and the filtering characteristics of the middle ear. The entire shape of the MAF curve, often standardized as the Absolute Threshold of Hearing, provides essential data for establishing acceptable levels of environmental noise, designing acoustic environments, and calibrating all audio equipment to match human perception.

5. Historical Development and Standardization

The systematic measurement of the Minimal Audible Field dates back to the foundational studies of psychoacoustics in the early 20th century. Pioneers like Harvey Fletcher and Wilden A. Munson conducted extensive experiments in the 1930s, utilizing early audiometric equipment and standardized methodologies to map out the average hearing thresholds of cohorts of young, non-impaired listeners. Their work, focusing on both MAF and equal-loudness contours (often referred to as Fletcher-Munson curves), was pivotal in establishing the first internationally recognized standards for sound level measurement and human perception.

These early MAF findings were instrumental in the development of the international standards used today to define the reference zero for audiometry. Organizations such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) utilized MAF data to create specific standards, including ISO 226, which standardizes equal-loudness level contours, and various ISO standards that define the required sound pressure levels corresponding to 0 dB HL at discrete frequencies.

It is important to note that the exact MAF reference standard has undergone periodic revisions throughout the decades. Initial studies often suffered from methodological limitations, including small sample sizes or insufficiently calibrated equipment, leading to slightly inaccurate estimations of the true average threshold. Subsequent revisions corrected these errors, ensuring that the current definition of audiometric zero accurately reflects the best available empirical data for the hearing sensitivity of the general population under free-field conditions.

6. Significance and Practical Applications

The concept of the MAF holds enormous significance across multiple disciplines, acting as the ultimate reference point for acoustic design and clinical assessment. In audiology, the MAF curve is the gold standard for defining **normal hearing sensitivity**; any threshold measured above the MAF curve signifies a degree of hearing loss. It is the invisible boundary between silence and auditory perception.

In the field of environmental acoustics and noise control, MAF data is essential for setting regulatory limits on background noise. Engineers rely on the MAF to design quiet spaces, such as recording studios or specialized clinical environments, ensuring that the ambient noise floor is maintained below the minimum audible level for most frequencies, thereby minimizing masking effects. Conversely, in the design of alerting systems, MAF data ensures that warning signals are always placed sufficiently above the MAF threshold to guarantee detection.

Furthermore, the understanding of the MAF versus MAP difference is vital for the development and fitting of hearing aids. Because MAF reflects natural, open-ear amplification, prescriptive fitting rules for hearing aids must compensate for the loss of natural ear canal resonance that occurs when the ear is occluded by an earmold or device. MAF provides the benchmark to ensure that amplified signals are delivered to the user at sound pressure levels appropriate for their individual hearing loss relative to the natural threshold.

7. Limitations and Influencing Variables

While MAF provides a robust standard, it is fundamentally an average derived from an idealized population under highly controlled conditions. The most significant limitation is that **individual hearing thresholds** can deviate substantially from the standard MAF curve based on various factors, including age (presbycusis naturally elevates thresholds), gender, and lifetime noise exposure history.

Another inherent limitation lies in the strict definition of the sound field. To achieve a true MAF measurement, the listener must be tested in a flawless anechoic chamber. In any real-world acoustic environment--even a heavily damped room--the presence of acoustic reflections, standing waves, and minor environmental masking noises will elevate the practical detection threshold above the theoretical MAF.

Finally, MAF measures only the threshold of **audibility**--the simple detection of sound presence. It does not provide information regarding the quality of perception, the ability to discriminate between complex sounds, or the threshold of pain (tolerance level). Therefore, MAF must always be interpreted alongside other psychoacoustic metrics, such as speech discrimination scores and uncomfortable loudness levels (UCLs), to provide a comprehensive assessment of auditory

function.

Further Reading

[Auditory Threshold \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[ISO 226: Normal equal-loudness level contours](#)

[American Speech-Language-Hearing Association \(ASHA\) on Audiometry Basic Principles](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM