

Materialistic Feminism

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 1, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Materialistic Feminism*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=32223>

Materialistic Feminism

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Feminist Theory, Sociology, Political Economy, Gender Studies

Proponents: Christine Delphy, Monique Wittig, Colette Guillaumin

1. Core Definition

Materialistic feminism is a school of thought within feminist theory that posits women's subordination is primarily rooted in their material conditions and economic exploitation, rather than in biological differences, psychological factors, or purely ideological constructs. It fundamentally envisions women being treated as economically and socially the same as men, advocating for systemic changes that dismantle the material bases of gender inequality. This perspective challenges the notion that women's roles are naturally confined to domesticity, child-rearing, and supportive spousal functions. Instead, it argues that these roles are socially imposed and economically disadvantageous, serving to maintain a patriarchal system where men benefit from women's labor and limited access to resources.

At its heart, materialistic feminism analyzes how gender is constructed through specific economic and social relations that assign women to subordinate positions, thereby enabling their exploitation. It emphasizes the need to scrutinize the accessibility of education, career advancement, and other economic opportunities for women, seeing these as crucial avenues for liberation. Material feminists believe that women should not be compelled to perform such restricted roles, instead advocating for a society where women can pursue leadership, achieve financial independence, and possess the autonomy to choose their own future roles and paths, free from the constraints of gendered expectations and economic dependency.

This theoretical framework distinguishes between "sex" (biological attributes) and "gender" (socially constructed roles and identities), asserting that the latter is the primary site of women's oppression. It critiques societal structures that convert biological differences into social hierarchies, revealing how economic disparities and the organization of labor perpetuate these hierarchies. By focusing on the tangible realities of women's lives - their work, their wages, their property rights, and their access to social capital - materialistic feminism provides a robust lens through which to understand and challenge the pervasive nature of gender inequality.

2. Historical Roots and Development

Materialistic feminism largely emerged in France during the 1970s, establishing itself as a distinct and influential current within the broader feminist movement. Key figures such as Christine Delphy and Monique Wittig were instrumental in shaping its foundational principles, building upon and critically engaging with existing Marxist and socialist feminist analyses. While sharing with Marxist feminism a focus on economic structures, materialistic feminism diverged by arguing that women's

oppression is not merely a secondary effect or byproduct of capitalism but an independent and primary system of exploitation that predates and often coexists with capitalism.

This school of thought developed partly as a critique of both orthodox Marxist theories, which tended to subsume gender oppression under class struggle, and some radical feminist perspectives, which focused predominantly on universal patriarchal ideology or biological essentialism. Materialistic feminists sought to bridge these gaps by providing a theoretical framework that recognized the specific, material nature of women's oppression while acknowledging its historical and economic contingency. They argued that patriarchy is not just an ideology, but a system of material practices and institutions that systematically exploit women's labor, both paid and unpaid, for the benefit of men.

The intellectual landscape of the 1970s was ripe for such a synthesis. The rise of second-wave feminism had brought issues of women's liberation to the forefront, while post-structuralist thought challenged essentialist understandings of identity. Materialistic feminism capitalized on these intellectual currents, developing sophisticated analyses of the social construction of gender and the economic dimensions of patriarchy. Its development was also spurred by the practical experiences of women in political activism, who recognized that legal equality alone was insufficient to address the deep-seated economic disadvantages faced by women globally.

3. Key Theoretical Constructs

Central to materialistic feminism is the rigorous distinction between sex and gender. Materialistic feminists assert that while sex refers to biological differences, gender is a social construct, a system of social roles, behaviors, and expectations imposed upon individuals based on their perceived sex. This distinction is crucial because it allows them to argue that women's subordinate position is not a natural outcome of biology but a product of societal arrangements that create and enforce gendered divisions, particularly in the economic sphere. Gender, in this view, is a tool for organizing society in a way that benefits one group (men) at the expense of another (women).

Another pivotal concept is the understanding of patriarchy as an economic system. Unlike some other feminist theories that might view patriarchy primarily as an ideological or cultural phenomenon, materialistic feminists see it as a concrete system of material practices that organize labor and resources to exploit women. This includes the appropriation of women's labor, their reproductive capacities, and their bodies. For instance, Christine Delphy's influential work introduced the concept of the "mode of production of sex," arguing that marriage and the family constitute an economic system in which men exploit women's domestic labor and services, akin to how capitalists exploit workers.

Furthermore, materialistic feminism extensively analyzes the division of labor, both within the household and in the broader economy. It highlights how women are disproportionately assigned

to low-wage, precarious, or unpaid care work, while men often dominate higher-paying, more prestigious professions. This gendered division of labor is seen not as a natural inclination but as a structured outcome that perpetuates women's economic dependency and limits their autonomy. These theoretical constructs collectively provide a framework for understanding how material conditions shape gender relations and how economic liberation is integral to women's overall emancipation.

4. The Economic Dimension of Patriarchy

Materialistic feminism provides a profound analysis of how patriarchal structures are maintained and reinforced through economic mechanisms. It meticulously details the various ways in which women are economically exploited and disadvantaged, arguing that these material realities are fundamental to their overall oppression. This includes a critical examination of the gender wage gap, which persists across most economies, reflecting not just discrimination but also the systemic undervaluation of work typically performed by women or the types of professions women are channeled into. Such disparities demonstrate that women's labor, even when paid, is often compensated at a lower rate than comparable male labor, perpetuating economic dependency.

Beyond direct wages, the economic dimension of patriarchy also manifests in women's restricted access to property, credit, and other vital economic resources. In many societies, historical and ongoing legal and social barriers have prevented women from owning land, inheriting wealth, or accessing financial services on equal terms with men. This lack of independent economic power severely limits women's ability to make autonomous decisions, exit abusive relationships, or pursue opportunities that could enhance their well-being and social standing. Materialistic feminists highlight how these structural impediments create a cycle of economic vulnerability that is deeply intertwined with gender.

Moreover, the theory extensively critiques the capitalist system for its complicity in perpetuating gender-based economic exploitation. While not solely attributing women's oppression to capitalism, materialistic feminists argue that capitalism often reinforces existing patriarchal structures by relying on and benefiting from women's unpaid or underpaid labor. The market economy frequently externalizes the costs of social reproduction onto women, expecting them to perform essential care work and domestic tasks without remuneration, thereby subsidizing the labor force. This symbiotic relationship between patriarchy and capitalism ensures that women remain a cheap, flexible labor source, both inside and outside the formal economy.

5. Social Reproduction and Gendered Labor

A critical area of focus for materialistic feminism is the concept of social reproduction and its gendered implications. Social reproduction refers to the daily and generational processes that

maintain society and the labor force, encompassing activities such as child-rearing, domestic work, caregiving for the elderly or sick, and emotional labor. Materialistic feminists argue that these activities, disproportionately assigned to women, are essential for the functioning of both families and the broader capitalist economy, yet they are largely unpaid, undervalued, and unrecognized within conventional economic frameworks.

The source explicitly addresses this by stating that "women's roles are not merely bearing children, doing house chores, and being a doting wife." This reflects the materialistic feminist critique of the naturalization of women's involvement in reproductive labor. They contend that the expectation for women to perform these roles is not biological destiny but a social and economic arrangement that places women in a subordinate position. By performing these tasks without compensation, women effectively subsidize the male workforce and the capitalist system, allowing for the reproduction of labor power at minimal cost to employers and the state.

The impact of this gendered division of reproductive labor extends far beyond the household. It limits women's participation in the formal labor market, restricts their career advancement, and contributes to the gender pay gap. Women often face the "double shift" or "triple burden" of paid work, unpaid domestic work, and caregiving, leading to burnout and hindering their ability to achieve economic independence and leadership roles. Materialistic feminists advocate for the socialization of reproductive labor, meaning that society as a whole, rather than individual women, should bear the responsibility for childcare, elder care, and other essential services, thus freeing women from these restrictive and economically debilitating roles.

6. Applications and Activism

The theoretical insights of materialistic feminism have profound implications for practical applications and feminist activism. By grounding women's oppression in material conditions, the theory provides a clear mandate for action aimed at economic and social transformation. One primary area of application is the advocacy for equal pay for equal work and equal pay for work of equal value, challenging the systemic undervaluation of feminized labor. Activists informed by materialistic feminism push for legislative changes, transparent wage structures, and collective bargaining agreements that address the gender wage gap.

Furthermore, the emphasis on accessibility to education, career advancement, and other economic opportunities translates into concrete policy demands. This includes advocating for universal, affordable, and high-quality childcare and elder care services, which would enable women to participate more fully in the workforce without facing overwhelming domestic burdens. It also involves pushing for reforms in parental leave policies, flexible work arrangements, and anti-discrimination laws to ensure women have equitable opportunities for professional growth and leadership, aligning with the idea that women should have the "freedom to choose their future

roles."

Materialistic feminism also informs critiques of gendered property rights, pushing for legal frameworks that ensure women's equal access to land ownership, inheritance, and financial capital. This perspective encourages grassroots movements and policy initiatives that challenge discriminatory practices in housing, credit, and entrepreneurship, empowering women to achieve financial independence. By focusing on the material base of oppression, materialistic feminism offers a strategic framework for dismantling patriarchal structures through targeted economic and social reforms, fostering environments where women can indeed become leaders, achieve financial autonomy, and empower other women, as highlighted in the source content.

7. Criticisms, Debates, and Evolution

Despite its significant contributions, materialistic feminism has faced several criticisms and engaged in ongoing debates within feminist scholarship. One common critique is that it can sometimes lean towards economic determinism, potentially overemphasizing economic factors at the expense of other crucial dimensions of oppression, such as cultural, psychological, or symbolic aspects. Critics argue that reducing gender solely to an economic relationship might overlook the complex interplay of ideology, discourse, and individual agency in shaping gender identities and inequalities. This debate often revolves around the extent to which economic structures are primary drivers versus being mutually constitutive with cultural and ideological forces.

Another area of contention concerns its relationship with other feminist schools, particularly Marxist feminism and radical feminism. While materialistic feminism sought to differentiate itself, some scholars argue that its distinctions are not always clear-cut or that it risks falling back into similar theoretical pitfalls. Debates have also arisen regarding the universality of the "mode of production of sex" concept, with questions about its applicability across different cultures, socio-economic contexts, and historical periods. Critics sometimes argue that the theory, particularly in its earlier formulations, may not adequately account for intersectional differences among women based on race, class, sexuality, and nationality, potentially presenting a somewhat homogenized view of women's experiences.

In response to these criticisms, materialistic feminism has continued to evolve, incorporating more nuanced understandings of intersectionality and the complex interplay between material conditions and other forms of oppression. Contemporary materialist feminists often engage with post-structuralist and queer theories to refine their analysis of gender construction and power dynamics, moving beyond potentially binary or essentialist interpretations of sex and gender. This ongoing evolution demonstrates the theory's capacity for self-reflection and adaptation, ensuring its continued relevance in analyzing the multifaceted nature of gender inequality in a rapidly changing world.

8. Legacy and Contemporary Relevance

The legacy of materialistic feminism is substantial, having profoundly influenced feminist thought and activism, particularly in Europe. Its rigorous focus on the material bases of women's oppression provided a robust analytical framework that moved beyond purely ideological or biological explanations. By emphasizing economic exploitation, the division of labor, and the social construction of gender, it offered a powerful lens for understanding how gender inequality is embedded in the very fabric of societal organization, rather than being a natural or incidental phenomenon. This analytical precision has enabled feminists to identify concrete targets for social and political change.

In contemporary discussions, the insights of materialistic feminism remain highly relevant. Issues such as the persistent gender pay gap, the precariousness of feminized labor, the global care crisis, and the economic impacts of reproductive rights policies are all fruitfully analyzed through a materialist lens. The theory continues to inform advocacy for policies aimed at achieving economic justice for women, including demands for living wages, universal social provisions like childcare and healthcare, and equal access to resources and opportunities. Its insistence on linking personal experiences of oppression to broader economic and social structures remains a powerful call to action.

Moreover, materialistic feminism's emphasis on the social construction of gender has become a cornerstone of modern gender studies, influencing analyses of gender identity, sexuality, and the fluidity of gender roles. Its analytical tools are crucial for understanding how economic globalization and neoliberal policies impact women differently, often exacerbating existing inequalities. The theory encourages a critical examination of the economic implications of every aspect of gender, from domestic labor to global supply chains, solidifying its place as an indispensable framework for both academic inquiry and political movements striving for comprehensive gender equality.

Further Reading

[Materialist feminism - Wikipedia](#)

[Christine Delphy - Wikipedia](#)

[French Feminism \(Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy\)](#)

[Oxford Bibliographies: Materialist Feminism](#)