MANUAL DOMINANCE

Authored by

mohammad looti

November 2, 2025

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *MANUAL DOMINANCE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=62574

Manual Dominance

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Neuroscience, Developmental Psychology, Cognitive Science

1. Core Definition

Manual dominance, often utilized interchangeably with the term **handedness**, refers to the consistent and preferred use of one hand over the other for skilled unimanual tasks. This preference dictates which hand an individual will habitually utilize for activities requiring fine motor control, precision, and strength, such as writing, eating with utensils, throwing, or cutting. The concept fundamentally describes a asymmetry in motor skill execution, where the dominant hand typically exhibits superior speed, dexterity, and endurance compared to the non-dominant hand. The source content defines this succinctly as the "tendency for individuals to use and therefore prefer writing with one hand instead of favouring both equally." This preference is a manifestation of **cerebral lateralization**, the specialization of the brain's hemispheres for specific functions, particularly motor control and language processing. While the majority of the global population demonstrates right-hand dominance, the mechanisms underlying the establishment of this preference remain a complex area of biological and psychological inquiry, encompassing both genetic predisposition and environmental pressures.

The observed bias in manual performance is not simply a matter of learned behavior but is rooted in neurological structures. The contralateral control mechanism dictates that the left cerebral hemisphere governs motor functions on the right side of the body, and vice versa. Therefore, right-hand dominance is typically associated with the dominance of the left hemisphere. Crucially, manual dominance is best understood as a spectrum rather than a simple binary choice. While some individuals exhibit extreme right or left preferences, others display **mixed-handedness** or **ambidextrous** tendencies, indicating varying degrees of functional asymmetry between the two hands.

2. Terminology and Related Concepts

Understanding manual dominance requires differentiating it from several closely related concepts in laterality research. **Handedness** is the general term describing the side an individual favors, categorized primarily into **dextrality** (right-handedness, representing approximately 90% of the population) and **sinistrality** (left-handedness, representing the remaining 10%). However, manual dominance specifically addresses the functional hierarchy of the hands in task performance. For example, a person might write predominantly with their right hand (demonstrating manual dominance for writing) but prefer their left hand for tasks requiring strength, such as hammering or throwing, leading to a classification of mixed dominance or inconsistent handedness.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

The broader context is **laterality**, which refers to the preference or specialization of one side of the body over the other, encompassing not only manual preference but also foot dominance, eye dominance (ocularity), and ear dominance (oticity). These various forms of laterality are not always perfectly correlated; an individual might be right-handed but have a dominant left eye. Furthermore, **cerebral lateralization** is the neurological foundation, referring to the differential specialization of the two brain hemispheres. In most people (including the vast majority of right-handers and a significant portion of left-handers), the left hemisphere is dominant for language processing (Broca's and Wernicke's areas), which correlates strongly with motor control of the dominant hand. The intricate relationship between manual dominance and brain asymmetry provides crucial insights into human cognitive architecture.

3. Measurement and Classification

Accurate classification of manual dominance is critical for research, particularly in psychological and neurological studies investigating the link between brain structure and function. Measurement typically relies on behavioral observation and standardized questionnaires, rather than solely on self-reporting. One of the most widely used instruments is the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI), developed by Oldfield in 1971. The EHI asks participants to indicate their preference for performing a variety of tasks (e.g., writing, drawing, throwing, using scissors) with their left or right hand. These responses are scored to yield a laterality quotient, which places the individual on a continuum ranging from extreme right dominance to extreme left dominance.

Classification systems move beyond the simple dichotomy of right versus left:

Consistent Right-Handedness (Dextrality): Strong preference for the right hand across almost all tasks. This group is typically highly lateralized in terms of cerebral function.

Consistent Left-Handedness (Sinistrality): Strong preference for the left hand across almost all tasks. While less common, this group is crucial for studying the flexibility of brain organization.

Mixed-Handedness (Cross-Dominance): Individuals who use different hands for different tasks (e.g., writing with the right but throwing with the left). This suggests a less pronounced or more inconsistent degree of motor lateralization.

Ambidexterity: A rare condition where an individual can perform skilled tasks equally well with either hand. True ambidexterity is often distinguished from learned ambidexterity, which may result from intensive training or necessity following injury to the dominant hand.

The reliability of these classifications is paramount, as subtle differences in manual dominance have been linked to variations in cognitive processing speed and susceptibility to certain developmental disorders. Therefore, research protocols often require multiple measures, including

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

observational measures of performance speed and accuracy, to confirm the self-reported preference score.

4. Etiology: Biological and Genetic Factors

The origins of manual dominance are complex and highly heritable, though not in a simple Mendelian fashion. Genetic studies, particularly those involving twins and families, consistently demonstrate that handedness has a significant genetic component. If both parents are right-handed, the probability of their child being left-handed is relatively low (around 10%); if both parents are left-handed, this probability rises substantially (to 20-30%), though it never reaches 100%, indicating that genetics alone cannot fully explain the phenomenon.

Researchers have sought specific genetic loci responsible for determining manual preference. A notable candidate gene is *LRRTM1*, identified in a 2007 study, which appeared to be associated with both handedness and brain asymmetry. However, subsequent replication studies have yielded mixed results, suggesting that manual dominance is a highly polygenic trait, controlled by the cumulative effect of many genes, each contributing a small influence. The genetic landscape likely influences the development of early brain asymmetry, which then dictates the motor system's final organization. Other biological factors considered include hormonal influences, particularly the role of prenatal testosterone levels, which some theories suggest may correlate with higher rates of left-handedness.

Furthermore, evidence suggests that manual preference may begin manifesting remarkably early, possibly prenatally. Ultrasound studies have shown a strong correlation between the hand fetus's prefer to suck their thumb and their eventual manual dominance after birth. This suggests that the fundamental motor bias is established during fetal development, potentially determined by genetic programming influencing the motor cortex and spinal cord development, long before environmental learning plays a major role.

5. Etiology: Environmental and Cultural Influences

While biological factors lay the foundational predisposition for manual dominance, environmental and cultural pressures exert a significant influence, particularly on the expression and visibility of left-handedness. Historically and culturally, **sinistrality** has often been stigmatized, associated with negative connotations (the Latin root for 'left,' *sinister*, reflects this bias), and sometimes actively suppressed. In many educational systems throughout the 19th and early 20th centuries, left-handed children were forcibly trained or encouraged to switch to using their right hand for writing, a practice known as "conversion" or "switching."

This compulsory switching highlights the powerful role of environment in shaping manual performance, even when the underlying neurological bias remains unchanged. While such

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

coercive practices are rare today in most Western societies, cultural bias persists. The physical world, from scissors and tin openers to computer mouse designs and industrial machinery, is overwhelmingly built to accommodate the right-handed majority. This right-hand-centric design subtly reinforces the dominance of the right hand, influencing children's choices during task learning.

It is important to distinguish between true, neurologically determined manual dominance and learned manual proficiency. An individual might be genetically predisposed to left-handedness but, due to constant use and practice with right-handed tools (an environmental factor), might display mixed or slightly right-biased performance in adulthood. The continuous interplay between genetic potential and the demands of a right-hand-dominant society shapes the final expression of manual dominance.

6. Neurological Correlates and Asymmetry

Manual dominance is one of the most visible indicators of **cerebral lateralization**, the specialization of the brain's hemispheres. For roughly 95% of right-handers, language functions (including syntax, grammar, and speech production) are primarily controlled by the left hemisphere. Since the left hemisphere also controls the motor functions of the right hand, a strong correlation exists between right-handedness and left-hemisphere language dominance.

However, this relationship is more varied in left-handed individuals. While about 70% of left-handers still exhibit left-hemisphere language dominance, a significant minority (15-20%) show right-hemisphere language dominance, and another minority (10-15%) display **bilateral language representation**, where language is distributed across both hemispheres. This variability suggests that the brains of left-handers may be structurally and functionally organized differently, often showing less pronounced asymmetry overall compared to their right-handed counterparts.

Structural differences have also been noted. Studies utilizing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have occasionally found morphological asymmetries in brain areas related to motor control, such as the planum temporale (an area critical for language) and parts of the primary motor cortex. These neurological differences underscore the fact that manual dominance is not merely a preference but a reflection of fundamental, widespread differences in brain organization related to human cognition and motor specialization.

7. Developmental Trajectory

The path toward established manual dominance is a predictable, though variable, developmental process that unfolds from the prenatal stage through early childhood. As mentioned previously, fetal thumb-sucking preference is the earliest sign, often predictive of later handedness. During infancy, infants initially use both hands symmetrically when reaching for objects. This stage, known

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

as **bilateral symmetry**, gradually gives way to a preference.

The transition to consistent dominance typically begins around six to nine months of age, though early preferences may still be transient. Between the ages of two and four, children begin demonstrating more consistent use of one hand for fine motor tasks, such as drawing or stacking blocks. By the time a child enters formal schooling (age five or six), manual dominance is usually well-established, particularly for highly skilled tasks like writing. Significant changes in manual dominance after this age are rare, usually occurring only in response to injury or neurological events. Understanding this developmental timeline is crucial for identifying potential motor delays or issues related to atypical lateralization.

8. Debates and Current Research

Despite decades of research, several core debates surrounding manual dominance persist. The primary debate revolves around the specific genes and mechanisms that maintain the approximately 9:1 ratio of right- to left-handers globally. Why is this ratio so stable across different populations and eras? One hypothesis suggests that the dominance of the left hemisphere for language and motor control confers a significant evolutionary advantage, perhaps related to communication efficiency and cognitive specialization, thereby maintaining the high prevalence of right-handedness.

Another area of intense research focuses on the relationship between atypical manual dominance (left-handedness or mixed-handedness) and developmental conditions. Older, now largely debunked, theories sometimes linked sinistrality to neurological pathology. Contemporary research, however, explores subtle statistical associations. For example, left-handedness is slightly more prevalent among individuals with certain developmental learning disorders, such as dyslexia, and conditions like autism spectrum disorder. Researchers are attempting to determine whether these associations reflect common underlying neural organizational patterns (such as reduced or altered lateralization) rather than left-handedness being a cause of the disorder itself. The current consensus holds that left-handedness is a normal variation of human behavior and cognitive organization, but its study continues to shed light on the intricacies of human brain asymmetry.

Further Reading

Handedness (Wikipedia)

Cerebral Lateralization and Handedness: A Comprehensive Review

Manual Dominance and Motor Control (ScienceDirect Topics)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM