

# LOVE

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 10, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *LOVE. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES*. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=41061>

# LOVE

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Psychology, Sociology, Philosophy

## 1. Core Definition and Components

Defining **love** presents a significant challenge across academic disciplines, yet it is generally described as a complex, unified emotion characterized by **tenderness**, **affection**, and a profound **devotion to the well-being** of another person or persons. This foundational emotion transcends simple attraction, incorporating deep cognitive, emotional, and behavioral investments aimed at fostering the growth and happiness of the loved one. Psychological conceptualizations often break down love into specific, interconnected components that delineate its active and relational nature.

According to the conceptual framework established by Prescott (1957), four essential elements comprise the experience of mature love. These include: (a) **Empathy**, defined as the capacity to enter into and share the feelings and experiences of the loved one; (b) a **profound concern** for the welfare, happiness, and personal growth of the individual; (c) the active **devotion of resources**, including thought, energy, and time, which inherently generates pleasure for the giver; and (d) the **full acceptance** of the loved one's uniqueness and individuality, acknowledging their absolute right to self-determination and self-expression.

To these four elements, Erich Fromm, in his influential work, The Art of Loving (1956), added a crucial fifth component that addresses the necessary balance within the relationship: the ability to **retain one's separateness and integrity**. For Fromm, true love is defined as an experience of sharing and communion that simultaneously permits the full unfolding of one's own inner abilities. This component emphasizes that love should not lead to the dissolution of the self into the other, but rather maintain the autonomy and identity of both partners, fostering a healthy, non-dependent bond.

## 2. Typology of Love Relationships (Erich Fromm)

Love is not monolithic; it expresses itself in diverse forms depending on the object and context of the relationship. Erich Fromm delineated five distinct yet interconnected relationships through which love manifests, illustrating its scope from the interpersonal to the spiritual:

**Brotherly Love:** This form is universal, oriented toward all fellow human beings. It encompasses a fundamental sense of **responsibility**, care, respect, and knowledge concerning any other person, alongside a sincere wish to further that person's life and existence.

**Parental Love:** Characterized as a willing, **unconditional**, and nonpossessive assumption of responsibility for a child's well-being and growth. It carries the crucial necessity that the parent must eventually accept that the child's life is their own, requiring a gradual release towards

independence.

**Erotic Love:** Defined primarily by the **craving for complete fusion** and union with one specific person, making it exclusive by nature. Erotic love involves the greatest possible investment of the self in the partner's happiness and welfare, consequently offering the maximum opportunity for profound mutual growth.

**Self-Love:** Distinct from pathological narcissism or conceit, genuine self-love is deemed an essential prerequisite for healthy social relationships. It represents a necessary form of **self-acceptance and self-esteem** that grants an individual the confidence and psychological capacity required to engage with and love others productively.

**Love of God (or Ultimate Being):** This form arises from the human need to **overcome separateness** and achieve union with the totality of Being. It is an identification with the highest conceivable purposes, serving as a psychological mechanism to fortify the individual against existential anxiety, despair, and meaninglessness.

### 3. Developmental Aspects of Affectional Capacity

Psychological studies have demonstrated that the ability to both give and accept love is not innate but develops primarily through consistent **nourishment during the formative years** of childhood. This developmental process requires that positive feelings of affection and approval must clearly predominate in the early environment. Conversely, a person who has lived with pervasive attitudes of rejection, suspicion, egocentricity, and hostility is likely to experience significant difficulty in forming deep and enduring attachments throughout their life. For such individuals, subsequent relationships, particularly marriage, may often function as a refuge from an unhappy childhood or a defense against a threatening world, rather than as a natural extension of a healthy capacity for intimacy.

The expression of **parental love** must dynamically adapt as the child matures to ensure a healthy and fruitful relationship. In infancy, love takes the form of physical care, cuddling, and unwavering attention to the child's general well-being. As the child develops, it transitions to expressions of admiration for new skills and encouragement of the child's attempts to test themselves and explore the world independently. During the school years, parental love manifests as a generally approving attitude (mixed with necessary reproof), genuine interest in the child's activities, and providing help in times of difficulty or distress.

Later, during adolescence, the emphasis of parental affection shifts substantially toward **reassurance**, profound respect for privacy, active encouragement of independent thought and action, and fundamental trust in the young person's basic goodness and ability to learn from experience. However, it is important to note that early relational deficits are not always insurmountable. A predominance of negative early feelings can sometimes be counteracted by outside experiences--such as meaningful relationships with mentors or supportive social groups--

that restore confidence, assure acceptance by others, and stimulate self-understanding and insight into other people. Through such corrective experiences, individuals may succeed in overcoming their early handicap and become increasingly capable of both giving and responding to genuine love.

#### 4. Differentiation of Erotic Love and Infatuation

Psychologists and social scientists have focused intensely on **erotic love**, necessitating clear distinctions from phenomena like infatuation. While infatuation may ripen into enduring love, and genuine love should retain some initial thrill, H. A. Bowman (1951) summarized key points of distinction between the two, primarily revolving around the factors of time, scope, focus, and security.

The distinction highlights that **infatuation** may strike suddenly, whereas genuine **love takes time** to mature. Infatuation is often based narrowly on one or two prominent traits, usually heavily weighted toward sexual appeal, and the person is conceptually "in love with love" itself. In infatuation, the partner is often unconsciously thought of as a separate entity primarily employed for self-gratification, leading to feelings of insecurity and wishful thinking. Behaviorally, infatuation may cause loss of ambition or appetite, and the physical element is notably more pronounced, contributing to its tendency to change quickly.

In contrast, **genuine love** is based on the recognition and acceptance of many diverse traits of the partner, focusing specifically on being in love with that individual person. Real love fosters a feeling of **identity and union** with the other person, generating a vital sense of security. Instead of inducing passivity, love motivates active effort, compelling the individual to work and plan diligently to please the loved one. Genuine love grows not only out of a deepening sexual relationship but also fundamentally out of shared interests, experiences, and aspirations, evoking a powerful urge to foster the loved one's well-being and continued growth as an independent individual.

#### 5. Further Reading

[Fromm, E. \(1956\). The Art of Loving. Harper & Row.](#)

[Love \(General Overview\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Love - Psychology Today](#)