

LATERAL DOMINANCE (Laterality)

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 11, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *LATERAL DOMINANCE (Laterality)*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES.
Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=41035>

LATERAL DOMINANCE (Laterality)

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Cognitive Psychology, Neuropsychology, Developmental Psychology

1. Core Definition

Lateral dominance, often synonymously referred to as laterality, is a fundamental biological and psychological concept defining the pervasive functional asymmetry observed in the human body. This phenomenon signifies the **predominance of one side of the body** over the other, leading to the preferred, more efficient, and generally superior functioning of either the left or the right side across various motor and sensory tasks. Laterality is not confined to simple motor preference, such as handedness, but represents a complex organizational structure of the nervous system, where one cerebral hemisphere assumes primary control for specific functions, subsequently dictating the dominance observed in the contralateral limbs and sensory organs. This neurological organization ensures efficient processing and coordinated action, establishing a baseline preference that significantly influences daily activities, learned skills, and cognitive processing patterns throughout an individual's lifespan. The establishment of dominance is typically viewed as a developmental process, solidifying during early childhood and remaining relatively stable thereafter, although individual variations and degrees of preference are widespread.

The concept of laterality arose from the consistent observation that preferences for the use of body parts are not isolated incidents but are interconnected. Researchers noticed positive correlations between hand preference, foot preference, and eye preference, suggesting a single, underlying systemic asymmetry rather than independent choices for each appendage or organ. Although these three modalities--manual, pedal, and ocular--are interrelated components of the overall laterality profile, the degree of their association is variable. For instance, studies robustly demonstrate that **hand and foot dominance** are more closely associated and correlated than are hand and eye dominance, suggesting that motor components of laterality share a stronger underlying neural substrate compared to the sensory component represented by ocular preference. Understanding this differential correlation is critical in clinical and academic settings when assessing an individual's complete lateral profile, moving beyond simple classification into 'left' or 'right' dominance and recognizing the intricate, multi-modal nature of laterality.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The study of laterality has historical roots extending into the 19th and early 20th centuries, primarily spurred by the observation of handedness and its potential link to cerebral organization. Early researchers noted that the vast majority of the human population exhibited right-sided dominance, particularly in skilled motor tasks such as writing and tool use. This observation led to intense

investigation into whether this physical asymmetry reflected an underlying cerebral specialization, which eventually paved the way for modern neuropsychology and the understanding of hemispheric specialization. The term **laterality** itself began to be systematically employed in psychological literature to encompass the full spectrum of asymmetries--motor and sensory--extending the focus beyond the highly visible trait of handedness to include less obvious components like foot and eye preference, recognizing that functional dominance is a whole-body phenomenon dictated by the brain's structure.

Historically, the development of reliable testing methods was crucial for moving the concept of laterality from simple anecdotal observation to quantifiable psychological constructs. The development of standardized batteries designed to test multiple aspects of body preference allowed researchers to categorize individuals and explore the clinical implications of atypical or inconsistent lateral profiles. This historical trajectory led to the recognition that inconsistent or mixed lateral dominance might correlate with specific cognitive or developmental challenges, thus establishing laterality as a key variable in developmental assessments. Early theories often simplistically linked laterality directly to intelligence or aptitude, but modern understanding recognizes it as a foundational aspect of neurological organization, critical for motor execution and spatial reasoning, and intimately tied to language processing, which is primarily lateralized in the left hemisphere for most individuals.

3. Components of Laterality

Lateral dominance is composed of several measurable components, each contributing uniquely to an individual's overall profile. The three most commonly studied and interconnected components are manual, pedal, and ocular dominance. **Manual dominance**, or handedness, is perhaps the most obvious and culturally significant component, determined by the hand used for complex tasks such as writing, drawing, and precise manipulation. Approximately 90% of the global population exhibits right-handedness, reflecting the dominance of the left cerebral hemisphere for motor control in these individuals. However, the degree of handedness can vary significantly, ranging from absolute preference to ambidexterity, which represents a lack of strong lateralization.

Pedal dominance, or foot preference, is defined by the foot used for tasks requiring speed, power, or precision, such as kicking a ball or initiating stamping movements. As noted in research on laterality, pedal dominance is generally more closely associated with manual dominance than ocular dominance is, suggesting a highly integrated motor system across the limbs. For example, a strongly right-handed individual is statistically far more likely to be right-footed than they are to be right-eyed. Finally, **ocular dominance**, or eye preference, refers to the eye that provides primary visual input, particularly when binocular vision is compromised, such as when sighting a rifle or looking through a monocular instrument like a kaleidoscope. Ocular preference is a sensory phenomenon and tends to show the least correlation with motor dominance, often leading to

profiles defined as "crossed" if the motor and sensory dominances oppose each other.

4. Variations in Dominance Profile

While a consistent profile (e.g., right hand, right foot, right eye) is common, variations in lateral preference are significant and fall into specific, clinically recognized categories. One such variation is **crossed dominance** (sometimes termed cross-laterality). This situation occurs when the preferred hand is on the opposite side from the preferred eye or foot. For example, an individual may be strongly right-handed but left-eyed, or strongly left-handed but right-eyed. Approximately one out of every three people exhibits this pattern. While crossed dominance is a normal variant and does not inherently imply dysfunction, its presence warrants clinical attention when evaluating related developmental issues, as it suggests a deviation from the most typical pattern of lateral organization where the dominant hemisphere controls both motor functions and visual processing.

Another significant variation is **incomplete dominance**. This term is applied when an individual shows no established, clear preference for either side across specific tasks or modalities. For instance, a person might switch hands or feet depending on the task's complexity or requirements, lacking the swift, automatic assignment of control to one side that characterizes strong laterality. Incomplete dominance suggests a less rigidly lateralized neurological organization, often leading to slower processing times when deciding which side to utilize. The term **mixed dominance** serves as an umbrella category, encompassing both crossed dominance and incomplete dominance. Historically, mixed dominance has attracted considerable attention in clinical psychology due to hypothesized links to specific developmental learning challenges, which suggests that the stability and consistency of lateralization are important factors in certain cognitive processes.

5. Testing and Measurement

The assessment of lateral dominance requires standardized methods to reliably quantify the preference across different body parts and functions. Because laterality is multi-modal, comprehensive testing batteries are necessary to establish a complete lateral profile rather than simply relying on a self-report of handedness. These tests are designed to be easily administered using **readily obtainable materials**, focusing on naturalistic tasks that elicit spontaneous side preference. For example, manual dominance is determined by examining a person's preferred side for unimanual skills like writing, cutting with scissors, dealing cards, and forceful actions such as ball-throwing or hammering a nail.

One historically significant and widely utilized assessment tool is the **Harris Tests of Lateral Dominance**. This battery provides a structured approach to measuring the consistency and strength of laterality across various functions. Within the Harris battery, specific tasks are designated for each modality. Hand dominance is assessed through activities such as writing and

the aforementioned tasks (ball-throwing, hammering, cutting, card dealing). Eye dominance is typically determined by tasks that necessitate using one eye for alignment or viewing, such as looking through a kaleidoscope or sighting a toy rifle. Foot dominance is assessed via dynamic activities like kicking a designated object or simulating an extinguishing movement, such as pretending to stamp out a small fire. While ear dominance has been occasionally identified in testing protocols (referring to the ear preferred for listening to a quiet stimulus), it is generally considered a **relatively unimportant** factor in the overall assessment of functional laterality compared to the motor and visual components.

6. Relationship to Cognitive Function and Learning

The consistency of lateral dominance has long been an area of significant investigation regarding its relationship with higher-order cognitive functions and specific learning difficulties. The observation that mixed dominance often correlates statistically with certain developmental issues has fueled theories proposing that inconsistent lateralization might reflect inefficient organization in the cerebral cortex, potentially affecting the smooth transfer of information between hemispheres. Specifically, the concept of mixed dominance--including both crossed and incomplete profiles--is historically thought to be one of the contributing factors to certain developmental challenges.

Two primary developmental issues historically associated with atypical laterality patterns are **reading disability** and **stuttering**. In the context of reading disability (often involving difficulties like directional confusion, or strephosymbolia, a term referring to perceiving reversed letters or symbols), the hypothesized link centers on the challenges of maintaining consistent spatial orientation and directionality, tasks heavily influenced by cerebral organization and laterality. If an individual lacks a strong, clear, and consistent lateral preference, it was theorized that they might struggle to establish the left-to-right scanning required for reading in many Western languages, leading to confusion and reversals. Similarly, in the case of stuttering, some early hypotheses suggested that poorly defined or mixed laterality could result in a lack of clear hemispheric dominance for language processing, leading to temporal dyssynchrony in speech motor control. While modern neuroscience provides a more complex multifactorial explanation for these conditions, acknowledging genetic and structural factors, the relationship between consistent lateralization and optimized cognitive performance, especially in tasks requiring temporal and spatial integration, remains an important historical context in neuropsychology.

7. Significance and Impact

The academic significance of lateral dominance lies in its role as a visible manifestation of **cerebral asymmetry**. Laterality provides a window into how the human brain organizes functional control, particularly the specialization of the left hemisphere for language and the right hemisphere for visual-spatial tasks in most individuals. The study of laterality informs clinical practices in fields

ranging from educational psychology, where assessment of dominance patterns can aid in identifying potential risk factors for learning challenges, to neurology, where understanding laterality is crucial for planning surgical interventions (e.g., assessing which hemisphere controls language before a procedure). Strong, consistent laterality is generally viewed as an indicator of efficient neurological organization, allowing for high-level motor skills and rapid, specialized processing.

In applied settings, understanding laterality impacts sports performance, instrumental music training, and even design ergonomics. For instance, equipment design often assumes right-sided dominance, which necessitates accommodations for left-dominant individuals. Furthermore, the systematic categorization of dominance variations (complete, crossed, incomplete, mixed) allows researchers to conduct rigorous studies correlating these physical organizational patterns with cognitive outcomes, furthering our understanding of the developmental milestones related to motor control, spatial awareness, and language acquisition. The consistent study of laterality, therefore, validates the principle that the brain is not a symmetric organ and that functional specialization is a key driver of human behavior and cognition.

Further Reading

[Laterality - Wikipedia](#)

[Handedness - Wikipedia](#)

[Reading Disability \(Dyslexia\) - Wikipedia](#)

[Stuttering - Wikipedia](#)