

KENNARD PRINCIPLE

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 14, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *KENNARD PRINCIPLE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=48191>

KENNARD PRINCIPLE

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Neuropsychology, Developmental Neuroscience, Cognitive Science

1. Core Definition

The **Kennard Principle** is a foundational tenet in developmental neuropsychology asserting that functional outcomes following focal brain damage are generally less severe when the injury is sustained early in life compared to damage sustained during later adolescence or adulthood. This principle posits an inverse correlation between the age at which an insult to the central nervous system occurs and the degree of permanent functional impairment that results. Essentially, the younger the brain, the greater its capacity for recovery and reorganization following trauma, infection, or vascular event.

This differential recovery capacity is fundamentally attributed to the highly malleable nature of the juvenile brain, a characteristic known as enhanced **neural plasticity**. In early developmental stages, the brain possesses a surplus of synaptic connections and a less rigid functional specialization, providing robust mechanisms for compensation. When a specific region, such as a motor cortex area, is damaged, adjacent or homologous regions--often in the opposite hemisphere--can gradually assume the functions originally performed by the damaged tissue. This ability to recruit alternative neural pathways diminishes substantially as the brain matures, undergoes synaptic pruning, and establishes fixed functional modules.

It is crucial to understand that the Kennard Principle does not imply complete immunity from deficits; early brain damage invariably results in some form of functional alteration. Rather, the principle highlights a relative sparing of function, meaning the observable or measurable behavioral deficit is less debilitating than an equivalent lesion would produce in an adult. The recovery process often involves significant reorganization, sometimes leading to subtle, delayed cognitive costs (known as the Kennard Paradox), but the initial functional recovery, particularly for basic motor and sensory skills, is markedly superior in the young subject.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The principle is named after **Dr. Margaret Kennard** (1899-1975), an American neurophysiologist and pioneering researcher whose experiments in the 1930s and 1940s provided the most compelling early evidence for age-dependent recovery following brain lesions. Kennard's work was crucial in challenging the then-prevalent view that the brain was structurally and functionally fixed early in development. Her research introduced empirical evidence supporting the concept that the timing of neural insult is a critical determinant of functional prognosis.

Kennard's seminal studies involved producing controlled unilateral lesions of the motor cortex in primates (rhesus monkeys) at different developmental stages--infancy, juvenility, and adulthood. She systematically tracked the motor recovery of these subjects. She observed that infant monkeys, when compared to their adult counterparts with identical lesions, exhibited a remarkably swift and thorough recovery of skilled motor movements. While adult animals displayed permanent paralysis or severe spasticity, infant animals often regained near-normal motor capacity, demonstrating a striking capacity for functional substitution within the remaining brain tissue.

Although the initial findings were robust and quickly adopted by the field of neurosurgery and pediatric neurology, the principle gained widespread recognition and was formally attached to her name decades after her original publication. Her work paved the way for modern developmental neuroscience and established the critical link between brain development, age, and plasticity. Her research provided the necessary scientific foundation for understanding critical periods and the differential vulnerabilities and compensatory abilities of the developing nervous system, impacting the treatment and rehabilitation strategies for childhood neurological disorders.

3. Mechanisms of Recovery: Neural Plasticity

The efficacy of the Kennard Principle rests entirely upon the robust mechanisms of **neural plasticity** available during infancy and early childhood, which are largely unavailable or severely constrained in the mature brain. Developmental plasticity encompasses several processes that allow the young brain to modify its structural organization and connectivity in response to environmental demands or injury. These processes include exuberant synaptogenesis, axonal sprouting, and the formation of novel neural circuits, all of which facilitate the reassignment of function to undamaged regions.

One primary mechanism involves **functional compensation** by the homologous areas of the contralateral hemisphere. For instance, if the primary motor cortex in the left hemisphere is damaged early in life, the corresponding area in the right hemisphere may assume control over the motor functions typically governed by the left side, often through developing or strengthening ipsilateral motor pathways that are typically suppressed in healthy adults. This high degree of equipotentiality--the idea that many regions retain the potential to perform functions not yet specialized--is maximal in the early years and declines rapidly following the completion of critical periods for various skills.

Furthermore, the less differentiated nature of the young brain means that functions are often represented in a more diffuse manner across cortical areas. This redundancy provides a safety net: when a specific area is lost, other areas that share overlapping functional capacity can take over the processing load without significant loss of efficiency, a phenomenon often referred to as **vicariation of function**. This reorganization capacity is fueled by continuous myelination and high

levels of neurotrophic factors available in the developing brain, which promote the survival and connectivity of neurons engaged in compensatory circuit formation. The mature brain, having undergone extensive synaptic pruning and structural specialization, lacks this broad potential for large-scale functional transfer.

4. Clinical Evidence and Applications

The Kennard Principle holds significant implications for clinical neurology and pediatric neurorehabilitation, providing a framework for understanding and predicting recovery trajectories in children who suffer brain insults. One of the most dramatic forms of evidence supporting the principle comes from patients undergoing **hemispherectomy**, a radical surgical procedure performed primarily in children with intractable epilepsy (such as those suffering from Rasmussen's encephalitis) where one entire hemisphere is either removed or functionally disconnected from the rest of the brain.

Children who undergo hemispherectomy, particularly before the age of six, often demonstrate astonishing functional recovery. While they inevitably experience weakness on the side of the body controlled by the removed hemisphere (hemiparesis), their cognitive development, including language and intellectual function, can proceed surprisingly normally, illustrating the profound capacity of the remaining hemisphere to assume control over functions typically divided between both sides. This level of functional transfer would be virtually impossible in an adult, who would be left with devastating, permanent cognitive and motor impairment.

Additionally, the principle informs therapeutic interventions. Since plasticity is maximal in early childhood, the Kennard Principle strongly advocates for **early and intensive rehabilitation** following childhood brain injury, such as neonatal stroke or traumatic brain injury (TBI). Therapies like Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT) or targeted cognitive interventions are designed to capitalize on the ongoing reorganization processes, thereby maximizing the use of undamaged pathways and promoting functional substitution while the brain remains highly receptive to experience-dependent structural change.

5. Criticisms, Limitations, and the 'Kennard Paradox'

Despite its historical significance and general validity, the Kennard Principle is not universally applicable and has faced significant refinement based on decades of subsequent research. The most pervasive critique centers around the so-called **Kennard Paradox**, which recognizes that while early lesions may lead to better *immediate* recovery (sparing of fundamental motor or sensory functions), they often result in latent or delayed deficits that only become apparent later in development, particularly during adolescence or early adulthood.

The Paradox arises because higher-order cognitive functions--such as executive planning,

complex reasoning, social cognition, and subtle linguistic nuances--mature much later than basic sensorimotor functions. When reorganization occurs following an early injury, the healthy brain region that assumes the lost function may struggle later to fully develop its own primary, more complex functions. This phenomenon, known as **crowding**, means that the compensatory mechanisms tax the finite neural resources of the remaining brain tissue, leading to subtle, diffuse intellectual impairments that may not be noticeable until the child enters demanding academic environments.

Furthermore, the relationship between age and outcome is not a simple linear progression. Research has indicated that damage sustained during the *perinatal period* (around the time of birth) can sometimes lead to a worse outcome than damage sustained slightly later, in infancy (e.g., between 1 and 3 years). This suggests that the timing of the injury relative to specific, highly vulnerable developmental windows (such as periods of rapid axonal growth or circuit formation) is more critical than the absolute chronological age, complicating the straightforward interpretation of the principle. These nuances necessitate a shift from viewing the principle as an absolute rule to understanding it as a general statement regarding the *potential* for enhanced plasticity during the juvenile period.

Further Reading

[Margaret Kennard \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Neural Plasticity and Recovery \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[The Kennard Principle: Early Brain Damage and Functional Outcome \(Academic Review\)](#)