

Incongruence

Authored by
mohammad looti

September 29, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Incongruence*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=31019>

Incongruence

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Humanistic Psychology, Psychotherapy

1. Core Definition

In the realm of humanistic psychology, particularly within the framework developed by the influential psychologist Carl Rogers, **incongruence** stands as a pivotal concept describing a state of psychological distress. This discomfort arises fundamentally from a significant discrepancy or mismatch between an individual's **perceived self** and their **ideal self**. The perceived self, often referred to as the "self-concept," encompasses how an individual currently views themselves, including their self-image, attributes, and abilities. It is the personal answer to the question, "Who am I?" In contrast, the ideal self represents the person an individual aspires to be--their desired traits, values, and accomplishments. This ideal self is often shaped by societal expectations, personal ambitions, and deeply held beliefs about what constitutes a "good" or "successful" individual.

When the perceived self and the ideal self are largely aligned and overlap substantially, an individual experiences a state known as **congruence**. This state is associated with psychological health, authenticity, and a sense of inner peace, as the person's self-perception closely matches their aspirations. However, complete and absolute overlap is an elusive, perhaps even impossible, ideal for human beings; there will always be some aspects of ourselves that we wish were different or that we are striving to improve. It is the natural human condition to experience minor differences between who we are and who we wish to be, and this healthy tension often fuels personal growth and development.

The critical distinction, according to Rogers, lies in the *degree* of the gap. **Incongruence** emerges when the distance between the perceived self and the ideal self becomes too vast, creating an internal conflict that the individual finds difficult to reconcile. This significant disparity leads to a host of unpleasant and often debilitating psychological feelings, including profound **discomfort**, pervasive **anxiety**, chronic **stress**, and intense **frustration**. These feelings are not merely superficial but rather indicative of a deeper psychological tension, signalling that the individual's inner world is not in harmony, and their self-concept is clashing with their aspirations or external demands.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The term "incongruence" itself is derived from Latin roots, where "in-" signifies "not" or "opposite of," and "congruere" means "to agree" or "to coincide." Thus, "incongruence" literally implies a state of not agreeing or not coinciding, perfectly capturing the psychological phenomenon it

describes. While the concept of internal conflict or self-discrepancy has roots in various philosophical and psychological traditions, its formal articulation and central role in a therapeutic model were pioneered by Carl Rogers in the mid-20th century. Rogers, a prominent figure in the development of humanistic psychology, introduced incongruence as a cornerstone of his person-centered approach, also known as client-centered therapy.

Rogers's work emerged as a significant alternative to the prevailing psychodynamic and behavioral theories of his time. Unlike Freudian psychoanalysis, which focused on unconscious drives and past traumas, or behaviorism, which emphasized observable behaviors and external conditioning, humanistic psychology championed the inherent goodness of individuals and their innate potential for growth. In this context, incongruence provided a clear, accessible explanation for psychological distress that centered on the individual's subjective experience of self, rather than pathology or environmental determinism. It offered a framework to understand why individuals might feel unhappy or unfulfilled despite seemingly favorable external circumstances, pinpointing the internal misalignment as the primary source of their suffering.

The development of incongruence as a core concept was intrinsically linked to Rogers's broader theory of personality, which posited that individuals possess an "actualizing tendency"--an inherent drive towards growth, self-maintenance, and enhancement. When this actualizing tendency is obstructed, often by external pressures or internalized conditions of worth, it can lead to a divergence between the perceived and ideal selves, thereby fostering incongruence. Rogers believed that understanding and addressing this internal split was crucial for facilitating psychological healing and enabling individuals to move towards a more integrated and fulfilling existence.

3. Key Characteristics and Manifestations

The Dynamic Nature of the Self: Both the perceived self and the ideal self are not static entities but rather dynamic constructs that evolve throughout an individual's life. The perceived self is continually updated by new experiences, feedback from others, and self-reflection. Similarly, the ideal self can shift in response to new aspirations, life changes, or a re-evaluation of values. Incongruence, therefore, is not a fixed state but a fluctuating one, requiring ongoing self-awareness and adjustment to maintain psychological equilibrium. The degree of incongruence can vary based on life circumstances, personal development stages, and the specific domains in which the discrepancy is most pronounced.

Rooted in Conditions of Worth: A primary driver of incongruence, according to Rogers, is the internalization of "conditions of worth." These are external standards or judgments that individuals believe they must meet to be considered worthy of love, approval, or acceptance from others, particularly from significant figures like parents, teachers, or peers. When individuals internalize

these conditions, they begin to distort or deny aspects of their true self that do not conform to these external standards. For example, a child who only receives praise for academic achievements might develop an ideal self that values intellectual prowess above all else, potentially leading to incongruence if their natural inclinations lie elsewhere or if they face academic challenges.

Psychological Defense Mechanisms: To cope with the overwhelming anxiety and discomfort associated with significant incongruence, individuals often employ defensive behaviors. Rogers identified two main forms: **distortion** and **denial**. Distortion involves twisting or reinterpreting reality to make it consistent with the perceived self, even if it deviates from objective truth. For instance, someone struggling with academic performance might blame external factors rather than acknowledging their own difficulties. Denial, on the other hand, involves completely blocking out experiences that are threatening to the self-concept. Both mechanisms serve to temporarily reduce the awareness of incongruence but ultimately prevent genuine self-understanding and growth, perpetuating the cycle of distress and hindering self-actualization.

Manifestation of Distress: The unpleasant feelings identified in the core definition--discomfort, anxiety, stress, and frustration--are the direct experiential manifestations of incongruence. This internal conflict can lead to generalized feelings of unease, a sense of not being "right," or a profound dissatisfaction with oneself and one's life. In more severe cases, chronic incongruence can contribute to the development of various psychological disorders, as the individual struggles to maintain a coherent and integrated sense of self in the face of conflicting internal and external demands. The energy expended in maintaining these defensive postures can also lead to exhaustion and a diminished capacity for genuine engagement with life.

4. Significance and Impact in Therapy

The concept of incongruence is central to Carl Rogers's person-centered therapy, where the primary goal is to help clients reduce the discrepancy between their perceived and ideal selves, thereby fostering greater congruence. Rogers believed that individuals possess an inherent capacity for self-healing and personal growth, which can be unleashed in a therapeutic environment characterized by specific core conditions. The therapist's role is not to direct or interpret but to provide a facilitative atmosphere where the client can safely explore their self-concept and begin to integrate previously denied or distorted experiences.

The three core conditions offered by the therapist are paramount in addressing incongruence: **unconditional positive regard**, **empathy**, and **genuineness (or congruence)**. Unconditional positive regard involves accepting the client entirely for who they are, without judgment or conditions. This non-judgmental acceptance allows the client to explore their deepest fears, insecurities, and true feelings without fear of rejection, thereby counteracting the internalized conditions of worth that often fuel incongruence. Empathy, the therapist's ability to deeply

understand and share the client's feelings from their perspective, helps the client feel understood and validated, reducing feelings of isolation and alienation that often accompany internal conflict.

Finally, genuineness, or the therapist's own congruence, means being authentic and transparent in the therapeutic relationship. A genuine therapist models healthy congruence, demonstrating that it is possible to be open and real without pretense. These core conditions create a psychological space where the client can gradually dismantle their defenses, acknowledge aspects of their perceived self they had previously denied or distorted, and begin to realign their self-concept with their actual experiences. As incongruence diminishes, the individual moves towards a more integrated self, experiences reduced psychological distress, and gains a greater sense of authenticity and personal power, ultimately paving the way for self-actualization.

5. Relationship with Self-Actualization

In humanistic psychology, the reduction of incongruence is not merely an end in itself but a crucial pathway towards self-actualization, a core concept initially proposed by Abraham Maslow and further elaborated by Carl Rogers. Self-actualization represents the highest level of psychological development, where an individual realizes their full potential, lives authentically, and continuously strives for growth and meaning. A key characteristic of a self-actualized individual, often referred to by Rogers as a "fully functioning person," is a high degree of congruence between their perceived self and their ideal self.

When an individual is highly incongruent, the internal conflict consumes significant psychological energy, diverting resources away from growth-oriented pursuits. The anxiety, stress, and discomfort associated with incongruence create a barrier to exploring new experiences, expressing genuine emotions, and pursuing one's true passions. By working through incongruence, often with the aid of person-centered therapy, individuals become more open to their experiences, develop a more accurate and integrated self-concept, and are better able to trust their own organismic valuing process--their innate ability to discern what is genuinely good for them.

Thus, achieving greater congruence frees the individual to engage more fully with their actualizing tendency. They become more spontaneous, creative, and adaptable, living in the present moment with greater authenticity. The fully functioning person is not without challenges or imperfections, but their experience of self is largely consistent and integrated, allowing them to navigate life's complexities with a strong sense of self and an ongoing commitment to personal growth. In essence, resolving incongruence is fundamental to unlocking one's innate potential and embarking on the journey of continuous self-discovery and fulfillment that defines self-actualization.

6. Debates and Criticisms

While the concept of incongruence and Rogerian theory in general have had a profound impact on

psychotherapy and our understanding of personality, they are not without their criticisms. One common critique often directed at humanistic approaches, including Rogers's work, is a perceived lack of empirical rigor. Critics argue that concepts like the "self," "perceived self," and "ideal self" are highly subjective and therefore difficult to define, measure, and operationalize in a scientifically verifiable manner. This makes it challenging to conduct objective research that definitively proves the existence or impact of incongruence, leading some to question its scientific validity compared to more empirically driven theories.

Another point of contention revolves around the potentially over-optimistic view of human nature. Rogers's theory assumes an innate drive towards self-actualization and goodness, suggesting that individuals, when provided with the right conditions, will naturally move towards positive growth. Critics argue that this perspective may overlook the darker aspects of human nature, such as aggression or destructive impulses, and may not adequately account for individuals who resist growth or engage in antisocial behaviors even in supportive environments. Consequently, the concept of incongruence might be seen as too simplistic in explaining all forms of psychological distress without fully addressing the complexities of human motivation and behavior.

Furthermore, the cultural applicability of incongruence and person-centered therapy has been a subject of debate. The emphasis on individual self-expression, authenticity, and the pursuit of an "ideal self" might be more aligned with Western, individualistic cultures. In collectivistic cultures, where group harmony, social roles, and external expectations often take precedence over individual desires, the concept of a strong, independent "self" and the pursuit of an individual ideal might be less relevant or even counterproductive. Some critics suggest that the universal effectiveness of reducing incongruence across all cultural contexts may be limited, requiring culturally sensitive adaptations to the therapeutic approach.

Further Reading

[Wikipedia: Incongruence \(psychology\)](#)

[Simply Psychology: Carl Rogers](#)

[American Psychological Association \(APA\): Person-Centered Therapy](#)

[GoodTherapy: Incongruence](#)