Harvard Group Scale (HGSS)

Authored by

mohammad looti

September 27, 2025

Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility (HGSS)

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Clinical Psychology, Experimental Psychology, Psychometrics, Hypnosis Research

1. Core Definition

The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility (HGSS) is a widely recognized and extensively validated psychometric instrument designed to objectively measure an individual's responsiveness to hypnotic suggestion, often referred to as hypnotizability or hypnotic susceptibility. Developed primarily for efficient administration in group settings, the HGSS provides a quantitative assessment of an individual's capacity to experience suggested phenomena, ranging from simple motor responses to more complex cognitive and perceptual alterations, following a standardized hypnotic induction. Its fundamental purpose is to quantify the extent to which a person can voluntarily and involuntarily respond to a series of specific suggestions, thereby yielding a reliable indicator of their inherent aptitude for hypnotic experience.

Unlike earlier individually administered scales, the HGSS was conceived to facilitate large-scale research studies by allowing multiple participants to be tested simultaneously, making the assessment process significantly more resource-efficient without compromising scientific rigor. The scale operates on the premise that hypnotizability is a relatively stable, enduring trait distributed normally across the general population, rather than merely a transient state. Thus, the HGSS serves as a crucial tool for researchers to identify individuals with varying levels of this trait, enabling comparative studies between high, medium, and low hypnotizable groups to explore the underlying mechanisms and applications of hypnosis.

The resultant score from the HGSS provides a numerical index, typically ranging from 0 to 12, directly corresponding to the number of successfully experienced suggestions. This score allows for a standardized comparison of hypnotizability across different individuals and cohorts, which is vital for empirical investigations into the psychological and neurobiological underpinnings of hypnotic phenomena. The scale's systematic approach to both induction and suggestion delivery, coupled with a clear scoring protocol, underscores its value as a foundational instrument in the scientific study of hypnosis, contributing significantly to its legitimacy as a field of academic inquiry.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility was primarily developed by Dr. Martin T. Orne, a renowned psychiatrist and researcher in the field of hypnosis, in collaboration with Ronald E. Shor and Emily F. B. Orne, at Harvard University in the early 1960s. Its creation was a direct response to the growing need within the scientific community for a more efficient and standardized method to assess hypnotic responsiveness, particularly as interest in experimental hypnosis

research began to expand. Prior to the HGSS, the most prominent and reliable measures of hypnotizability were the Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scales (SHSS), which, while highly effective, required individual administration, making large-scale studies time-consuming and labor-intensive.

The HGSS emerged as a direct adaptation and refinement of the Stanford Hypnotic Susceptibility Scale, Form C (SHSS:C), which was also developed by Orne and Shor. Recognizing the logistical constraints of individual testing for broader research endeavors, Orne and his colleagues meticulously redesigned the SHSS:C to be administered collectively. This adaptation involved carefully selecting and reordering items, optimizing the verbal script for group delivery, and developing a self-report scoring mechanism that participants could complete anonymously. The goal was to maintain the psychometric integrity and reliability of the Stanford scales while dramatically increasing the efficiency of data collection.

The official publication of the HGSS in 1962 marked a significant milestone in hypnosis research. Its introduction democratized the assessment of hypnotizability, allowing researchers to gather data from hundreds or even thousands of participants in a fraction of the time previously required. This innovation paved the way for more comprehensive studies on the correlates, mechanisms, and applications of hypnosis, solidifying the HGSS's place as one of the most frequently cited and utilized instruments in experimental and clinical hypnosis research globally. Its historical trajectory reflects a broader movement within psychology towards standardization, empirical measurement, and the application of rigorous scientific methods to complex psychological phenomena.

3. Key Characteristics and Structure

The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility is characterized by several distinct features that contribute to its widespread adoption and psychometric robustness. Foremost among these is its design for **group administration**, which distinguishes it from earlier, individually administered scales. This format allows a single examiner to assess the hypnotizability of numerous participants simultaneously, typically within a classroom or auditorium setting. Participants receive a standardized printed form on which they record their subjective responses to each suggestion, making the process efficient and often self-scored, although observer scoring can also be integrated.

Structurally, the HGSS comprises a brief, standardized **hypnotic induction** followed by a series of **12 specific suggestions**. These suggestions are carefully sequenced, generally progressing from easier to more challenging phenomena, encompassing a diverse range of hypnotic experiences. The items typically include a mix of **motor suggestions**, such as "head falling forward," "hand lowering," "arm rigidity," and "finger lock," which involve involuntary physical movements or sensations. Interspersed with these are **cognitive and perceptual suggestions**, which challenge

sensory experience and memory, including items like "fly buzzing hallucination," "arm immobilization," "amnesia for numbers," and "post-hypnotic suggestion." Each item is presented with a clear description of the expected response and a specific period for the participant to attempt the suggestion.

The scoring of the HGSS is straightforward and objective, relying on a binary "pass" or "fail" criterion for each of the 12 items. Participants are instructed to truthfully indicate whether they experienced the suggested phenomenon. A participant's total score is simply the sum of the items they successfully passed, resulting in a score ranging from 0 (no suggestions experienced) to 12 (all suggestions experienced). This aggregate score is interpreted as the individual's level of hypnotizability. The scale's high internal consistency and strong test-retest reliability underscore its ability to consistently measure the same underlying construct across different administrations and over time, affirming its psychometric soundness for research purposes.

4. Administration Protocol and Scoring Details

The administration of the Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility adheres to a highly standardized protocol to ensure consistency and minimize variability across different testing environments and administrators. The process begins with a carefully scripted verbal induction, delivered by a trained experimenter, designed to facilitate a state of relaxation and focused attention conducive to hypnotic responsiveness. This induction typically guides participants through a series of progressive relaxation exercises and imagery, aiming to deepen their engagement with the hypnotic process. The exact wording, pacing, and tone of voice of the administrator are critical and are meticulously detailed in the HGSS manual to ensure uniformity.

Following the induction, the administrator proceeds to read each of the 12 hypnotic suggestions from the standardized script. For each suggestion, participants are given sufficient time to attempt to experience the suggested phenomenon. After the allotted time for each suggestion, participants are prompted to record their response on a self-scoring booklet. This booklet contains a brief description of each suggestion and a simple "yes" or "no" option (or similar binary indicator) for participants to mark whether they genuinely experienced the suggested effect. It is crucial that participants understand they are reporting their subjective experience, not merely attempting to comply or "perform" the action. Clear instructions are provided to emphasize honest reporting, regardless of whether they "pass" or "fail" a particular item.

The self-scoring mechanism is a hallmark of the HGSS's efficiency, allowing for rapid data collection. While designed for participants to score themselves, the HGSS also often incorporates an element of observer scoring, where a trained experimenter or assistant discreetly notes overt behavioral responses (e.g., whether an arm actually lowered or remained rigid). Comparisons between self-report and observer-report scores typically show high concordance, reinforcing the

objective nature of the scale's measurement of observable hypnotic behaviors. The final score, a simple tally of successfully passed items, provides a straightforward quantitative measure that can be readily used for statistical analysis, enabling researchers to categorize individuals into levels of hypnotizability (e.g., low, medium, high) and correlate these levels with other psychological or physiological variables. Rigorous adherence to this protocol is essential for the valid and reliable application of the HGSS in research settings.

5. Applications and Research Utility

The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility serves as an indispensable tool across various domains of psychological and medical research, primarily due to its capacity to efficiently stratify individuals based on their hypnotizability. Its most significant application lies in **experimental research**, where it is routinely employed to select participants for studies investigating the nature and mechanisms of hypnotic phenomena. Researchers often compare groups of high, medium, and low hypnotizable individuals to determine how responsiveness to suggestion mediates psychological processes, cognitive functions, or neurological activity. This allows for controlled investigations into questions such as why some people are more susceptible to pain relief through hypnosis, or how hypnotic suggestions can modulate sensory perception.

Beyond participant selection, the HGSS is instrumental in advancing our understanding of the **cognitive and neurophysiological underpinnings of hypnosis**. By categorizing individuals according to their hypnotic capacity, researchers can utilize advanced techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), electroencephalography (EEG), and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) to observe brain activity during hypnotic states. This has led to insights into brain regions associated with focused attention, altered perception, and executive control, and how these differ in highly hypnotizable individuals experiencing suggested effects compared to those who are less hypnotizable or merely simulating hypnotic responses.

In **clinical psychology and medicine**, while not a diagnostic tool, the HGSS provides valuable predictive utility. Studies have consistently demonstrated a correlation between an individual's HGSS score and their responsiveness to clinical hypnosis interventions for conditions such as chronic pain, anxiety disorders, phobias, and habit cessation. For instance, patients with higher hypnotizability scores tend to achieve greater reductions in pain intensity or show more significant improvements in anxiety symptoms when treated with hypnosis. This allows clinicians and researchers to better understand patient profiles that might benefit most from hypnotic interventions, tailoring treatment strategies more effectively. The HGSS thus bridges the gap between empirical research and practical application, ensuring that clinical practice is informed by robust scientific evidence.

6. Significance and Broader Impact

The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility has exerted a profound and lasting impact on the field of hypnosis research, fundamentally transforming its methodology and elevating its scientific standing. Its primary significance lies in its role as a powerful instrument for the **standardization and quantification** of hypnotic responsiveness. Before the HGSS, assessments of hypnotizability were often subjective, varied widely across practitioners, and lacked empirical rigor. By providing a reliable, objective, and standardized metric, the HGSS enabled researchers to move beyond anecdotal observations, fostering a new era of empirical investigation into hypnosis.

One of its most critical contributions is the empirical support it provided for the conceptualization of **hypnotizability as a stable individual trait**, rather than merely a transient state induced by a hypnotist. The consistent scores obtained through the HGSS across repeated administrations and its correlation with various psychological and physiological markers reinforced the idea that individuals possess an inherent, relatively stable capacity for hypnotic experience. This understanding has profound implications for both research and clinical practice, influencing how hypnotic phenomena are studied and how hypnotic interventions are tailored to individuals.

Furthermore, the HGSS's group administration format significantly expanded the **accessibility and scope of hypnosis research**. Prior to its development, large-scale studies were logistically challenging and resource-intensive. The HGSS enabled researchers to efficiently assess hypnotizability in vast populations, facilitating comprehensive epidemiological studies, cross-cultural comparisons, and investigations into the genetic and environmental factors influencing hypnotizability. This methodological advancement has been pivotal in solidifying hypnosis as a legitimate area of scientific inquiry within psychology and neuroscience, fostering a greater understanding of altered states of consciousness, suggestion, and the mind-body connection. Its legacy continues to influence contemporary research design and theoretical advancements in the field of psychological science.

7. Debates and Criticisms

Despite its widespread acceptance and utility, the Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility, like any psychometric instrument, has been subject to various debates and criticisms. A prominent concern revolves around **demand characteristics**, a concept that suggests participants in an experiment may respond in ways they believe are expected of them, rather than genuinely experiencing the suggested phenomena. Critics argue that participants, especially in a group setting, might unconsciously or consciously "play the role" of a hypnotized subject, leading to inflated scores that do not reflect true hypnotic responsiveness but rather compliance or a desire to please the experimenter. While Orne himself extensively researched and controlled for such factors through "real-simulator" designs, the debate about the genuine nature of responses versus

mere compliance persists in some corners of the field.

Another area of criticism pertains to the **reductionist nature** of quantifying a complex psychological phenomenon like hypnotizability into a single numerical score. Skeptics argue that a score from 0 to 12 might oversimplify the multifaceted dimensions of hypnotic experience, potentially overlooking qualitative aspects, individual differences in subjective phenomenology, or alternative forms of responsiveness not captured by the specific set of 12 suggestions. The scale primarily focuses on observable behavioral responses or clear subjective reports, which might not encompass the full breadth of cognitive, emotional, and perceptual alterations that can occur during a hypnotic state.

Furthermore, while the HGSS has demonstrated robust reliability and validity, certain limitations exist regarding its **scope and cultural specificity**. The standardized suggestions are largely rooted in Western psychological contexts, and their equivalence or relevance might vary in different cultural settings, potentially impacting the generalizability of scores across diverse populations. Additionally, the scale measures responsiveness to a predefined set of suggestions, which might not fully represent an individual's potential to respond to other types of hypnotic suggestions or therapeutic applications. Despite these criticisms, researchers continue to refine and adapt the HGSS, and it remains a cornerstone of empirical hypnosis research, consistently proving its value as a reliable and efficient measure of hypnotic susceptibility, while prompting ongoing critical examination and methodological advancements in the field.

Further Reading

Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility - Wikipedia
Shor, R. E., & Orne, M. T. (1962). The Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility: Form A. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
Hilgard, E. R., & Hilgard, J. R. (1994). *Hypnosis in the Relief of Pain* (Rev. ed.). Brunner/Mazel.
Lynn, S. J., Rhue, J. W., & Kirsch, I. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of Clinical Hypnosis* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.