EXTENDED-STAY REVIEW

Authored by

mohammad looti

November 3, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *EXTENDED-STAY REVIEW*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=62146

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

EXTENDED-STAY REVIEW

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Healthcare Quality Management, Utilization Review, Health Information Management

1. Core Definition and Context

The **Extended-Stay Review** constitutes a critical administrative and clinical process within healthcare facilities, specifically targeting patient admissions where the actual duration of hospitalization exceeds the predicted or acceptable norms established by clinical pathways or third-party payer guidelines. This procedure is an integral component of the broader function known as utilization review (UR), designed to ensure that hospital resources are used judiciously, efficiently, and with appropriate medical necessity. Unlike an initial admission review, which assesses the necessity of the initial inpatient stay, the Extended-Stay Review focuses on the ongoing justification for continued care within the acute setting.

The initiation of this review process is generally triggered automatically when a patient's length of stay (LOS) surpasses a specific threshold--often referred to as the **outlier threshold** or the **expected discharge date (EDD)**. These thresholds are typically calculated based on Diagnosis-Related Group (DRG) statistics, severity of illness indexes, or pre-established protocols negotiated between the hospital and insurance providers. The core objective is not merely to expedite discharge but to medically validate that the patient continues to require the intensity of services (nursing care, diagnostic testing, monitoring) only available in an acute care hospital, rather than in a lower level of care, such as skilled nursing or home health.

Failure to successfully justify the continued stay through this review process can result in significant financial repercussions for the hospital. If the extended stay is deemed medically unnecessary by the payer, the hospital may face denial of reimbursement for those extra days, leading to potential revenue loss and necessitating the meticulous documentation of clinical complications or unexpected slow recovery, such as the instance where a patient is "taking longer to recover from his operation than usual."

2. Regulatory Basis and Historical Development

The requirement for formal utilization review, including the Extended-Stay Review, gained substantial momentum following the implementation of Medicare and Medicaid in the 1960s. As governmental and private payers bore increasing responsibility for healthcare costs, mechanisms were needed to control unnecessary services. This led to the establishment of regulatory bodies and requirements mandating hospitals to perform continuous monitoring of care. Early models focused heavily on retrospective review, but the inefficiencies of this approach soon spurred the

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

development of concurrent review processes.

The introduction of the Prospective Payment System (PPS) under Medicare in the 1980s, which utilized DRGs, formalized the expectations for length of stay. Since hospitals were paid a fixed rate per admission based on the DRG, regardless of the actual LOS (within certain limits), the financial incentive shifted toward minimizing unnecessary days while maintaining quality. This system necessitated robust utilization review protocols to identify and manage stays that either significantly exceeded the DRG norms or failed to demonstrate required medical necessity for specialized services.

Today, federal guidelines, often administered through organizations like the Quality Improvement Organizations (QIOs), mandate that hospitals maintain an active Utilization Review Committee (URC) responsible for overseeing these checks. The necessity of the Extended-Stay Review is fundamentally rooted in the principle that payers should only cover services that are clinically appropriate, efficient, and delivered at the most suitable level of care.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

3. Procedural Triggers and Mechanism

The mechanism for triggering an Extended-Stay Review is highly standardized within modern hospital information systems. Upon admission, the patient is assigned an expected LOS based on their primary diagnosis, comorbidities, and initial treatment plan. This target length of stay acts as a countdown marker for utilization management staff.

A trigger event occurs when the patient remains hospitalized as the expected discharge date approaches or is surpassed. This may happen because of unforeseen surgical complications, slow response to pharmacological treatment, new secondary infections, or delays in securing post-acute care placement. Once triggered, the review process demands immediate attention from the assigned case manager or UR specialist.

The initial step in the mechanism involves the case manager synthesizing the latest clinical documentation, including physician progress notes, nursing assessments, laboratory results, and imaging reports. This documentation must explicitly detail the patient's ongoing acute clinical instability or the specific, complex services they are currently receiving that cannot be safely performed outside the hospital environment. Crucially, the documentation must show active treatment plans that require daily physician monitoring and coordination of multiple specialized services, proving that the continued stay is **medically necessary**.

4. Key Stakeholders and Review Participants

Effective implementation of the Extended-Stay Review requires coordinated effort among several key healthcare disciplines, each playing a defined role in documentation and determination.

The Case Manager/Utilization Review Specialist: This individual is the central coordinator, responsible for identifying the trigger, collecting the necessary clinical evidence, communicating with the treating physician, and packaging the documentation for payer review or internal committee determination. They serve as the critical link between clinical services and financial justification.
The Treating Physician: The physician holds the primary responsibility for providing clear, comprehensive, and timely documentation that justifies the continued acute care. If the stay is extended due to complications, the physician's notes must explicitly describe the complication, the treatment plan, and why discharge would pose an unacceptable risk to the patient.
The Utilization Review Committee (URC): Often composed of physicians, nurses, and administrative staff, the URC performs internal audits. If the payer challenges the extension, the URC may review the case to determine if the clinical documentation supports the hospital's claim of necessity before proceeding with an appeal.
The Third-Party Payer (e.g., Insurance Company or Medicare Contractor): The payer employs their own review staff (often registered nurses or physician advisors) who use clinical criteria sets (such as InterQual or Milliman Care Guidelines) to assess the appropriateness of the continued stay based on the documentation submitted by the hospital.

5. Evaluation Criteria and Determination Process

The decision to approve or deny an extension of stay is based on rigorous, standardized criteria designed to measure the intensity of service required versus the stability of the patient. The central criterion is always **medical necessity**.

The evaluation process typically follows an algorithmic path:

Clinical Status Assessment: Reviewers assess vital signs, level of consciousness, stability of the primary condition, and the presence of any new, acute problems. Criteria often look for conditions requiring hourly monitoring, immediate availability of surgical intervention, or complex, intravenous medication management.
Treatment Plan Assessment: Reviewers ensure that the patient is receiving active, documented treatment specific to the acute phase of illness. If the patient is primarily awaiting placement or receiving routine maintenance care, the stay is likely to be denied.
Discharge Barriers: The criteria examine documented barriers to discharge. If the only barrier is a logistical issue (e.g., transportation, family availability), the continued acute hospitalization will usually be deemed inappropriate. If the barrier is clinical (e.g., wound infection requiring continuous IV antibiotics and specialized nursing), the stay may be approved.
Payer Criteria Mapping: The collected clinical data is mapped against proprietary commercial criteria sets. For the continued stay to be approved, the patient must meet specific clinical indicators (e.g., heart failure requiring continuous titration of vasopressors, or complex surgical

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

recovery requiring daily specialized debridement).

A favorable determination results in the payer authorizing coverage for a defined number of additional days. An unfavorable determination, often termed a **denial of continued stay**, requires the hospital to issue a formal notification to the patient, detailing the decision and explaining the patient's right to appeal the discharge decision.

6. Outcomes and Administrative Implications

The outcome of an Extended-Stay Review carries profound administrative and financial implications for both the hospital and the patient. If the review results in a non-certification, the hospital faces the challenge of managing an unpaid stay.

In cases of denial, the hospital must decide whether to absorb the financial loss for the continued days, appeal the payer's decision, or formally change the patient's status. A frequent administrative action following a denial is the notification of the patient that the stay is no longer medically necessary, thereby triggering the possibility of the patient becoming financially responsible for subsequent days unless they are transitioned to a different status, such as observation or custodial care, if appropriate.

If the denial is upheld upon internal review, the hospital may pursue an external administrative or judicial appeal process, arguing that the patient's unique clinical circumstances necessitated the continued high level of care despite the inability of the documentation to precisely match the standardized payer criteria. This appeal process often requires the input of physician specialists and external legal counsel, increasing administrative overhead. Successful management of the Extended-Stay Review process is therefore crucial for maintaining the financial health of the institution and minimizing lost revenue from denied claims.

7. Distinction from Related Reviews

The Extended-Stay Review exists within a spectrum of utilization management procedures and must be clearly differentiated from related review types, particularly the Continued-Stay Review and the concurrent review process.

Continued-Stay Review (Routine Concurrent Review): This is typically a review performed at regular, scheduled intervals (e.g., every two or three days) throughout the patient's hospitalization, ensuring medical necessity is maintained from admission onward. While functionally similar, the Extended-Stay Review is usually reserved for cases that have already surpassed the expected norms and are now flagged as outliers, requiring greater scrutiny.
Admission Review: This is performed at or near the time of admission to confirm that the patient meets the criteria for inpatient hospitalization rather than outpatient or observation status. It

focuses on the severity of the initial presenting condition.

Retrospective Review: This review occurs after the patient has been discharged and the bill has been submitted. Payers may conduct this audit to claw back payments if they determine, upon later examination of the full medical record, that services rendered were unnecessary or inappropriate. The goal of the Extended-Stay Review (which is concurrent) is to prevent retrospective denials by justifying the care while the patient is still hospitalized.

8. Significance for Quality and Cost Control

The implementation of rigorous Extended-Stay Reviews is vital for achieving the dual healthcare goals of cost containment and quality improvement. From a financial perspective, the review prevents prolonged, costly utilization of acute hospital resources when a lower, less expensive setting is clinically appropriate. This efficient use of resources directly impacts the financial sustainability of the healthcare system.

Furthermore, the review process serves as a quality metric. An excessive rate of extended stays often signals underlying systemic issues within the hospital, such as delays in diagnostic testing, slow consultation response times, inefficient care coordination, or inadequate discharge planning. By identifying cases that breach LOS norms, hospitals can initiate performance improvement projects focused on streamlining care pathways, thereby improving patient flow, reducing the risk of hospital-acquired conditions associated with long stays (e.g., infections), and ultimately improving patient outcomes. The review thus acts not just as a financial gatekeeper, but as a mechanism for identifying operational inefficiencies that compromise quality.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

9. Further Reading

Utilization Review (Healthcare) - Wikipedia
Medicare State Operations Manual (Utilization Review Requirements)
Length of Stay - StatPearls