Egoism

Authored by
mohammad looti

September 26, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Egoism*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=28965

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Egoism

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Philosophy (Ethics, Metaphysics), Psychology, Economics, Sociology

1. Core Definition

Egoism is a profound philosophical concept that posits that the motivations and instincts for an individual's behavior are fundamentally rooted in their own **self-interest** and welfare. At its heart, it is the belief that all human behaviors and actions, regardless of their apparent benevolence, are ultimately driven by the perceived benefit that the individual will receive from them. This perspective stands in stark contrast to notions like psychological altruism, which suggests that some human actions are genuinely motivated by an unselfish desire to help other people, even at a personal cost.

The concept of egoism is not monolithic; it encompasses several distinct but related theories, primarily categorized into descriptive or normative forms. Descriptive egoism, often referred to as psychological egoism, is a empirical claim about human nature, asserting that all human actions are, as a matter of fact, motivated by self-interest. Normative egoism, on the other hand, makes a prescriptive claim about how individuals ought to act. This category includes ethical egoism, which argues that moral agents ought to act in their own self-interest, and rational egoism, which contends that acting in one's self-interest is rational.

To illustrate, consider a scenario where a person witnesses a child in the street with a vehicle speeding towards them. The individual instinctively runs and grabs the child, saving them from imminent danger. A psychological altruist would interpret this action as a caring, selfless deed performed purely for the child's well-being, with no regard for the rescuer's own safety. Conversely, the egoism viewpoint would suggest that the person saved the child for their own intrinsic or extrinsic benefit. This could involve being heralded as a hero, potentially receiving an award, avoiding the severe social judgment and guilt they would experience had they not attempted the rescue, or even experiencing a profound sense of self-satisfaction.

This example highlights the core tenet of egoism: even acts that appear outwardly selfless can be reinterpreted as ultimately serving the agent's own interests, whether those interests are tangible (rewards, reputation) or intangible (avoidance of guilt, fulfillment of personal values, psychological comfort). The challenge for egoism often lies in demonstrating that all actions, without exception, fit this mold, a claim that invites significant philosophical debate and empirical scrutiny.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The term "egoism" derives from the Latin word "ego," meaning "I," underscoring its focus on the

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

individual self. While the precise term is more modern, the philosophical ideas underpinning egoism can be traced back to antiquity. Ancient Greek philosophers grappled with the nature of the good life and human motivation. While many, like Plato and Aristotle, emphasized virtue and the pursuit of flourishing (eudaimonia) which often involved communal well-being, their theories implicitly acknowledged the individual's pursuit of their own ultimate good. Some Sophists, such as Thrasymachus in Plato's *Republic*, expressed views that might be construed as early forms of egoism, arguing that justice is merely the interest of the stronger.

A pivotal figure in the development of egoistic thought was Thomas Hobbes in the 17th century. In his seminal work *Leviathan* (1651), Hobbes famously argued that human beings are fundamentally driven by self-preservation and the pursuit of power. He depicted the "state of nature" as a "war of all against all," where individuals, acting purely out of self-interest, lead to a chaotic and brutal existence. For Hobbes, the social contract and the establishment of an absolute sovereign were necessary not because humans are naturally good, but precisely because their inherent egoism makes cooperation impossible without a strong external force to compel it. His psychological egoism was a foundational premise for his political philosophy.

During the Enlightenment, the concept of self-interest continued to be explored, though often with a more optimistic lens. Adam Smith, in *The Wealth of Nations* (1776), argued that individuals pursuing their own economic self-interest, guided by an "invisible hand," could inadvertently lead to the greater good of society. While not advocating ethical egoism in a moral sense, Smith's economic theories provided a powerful framework where individual egoistic actions could have beneficial collective outcomes, separating moral intent from societal benefit. This marked a significant shift in how self-interest was viewed, moving beyond mere survival to include productive and wealth-generating endeavors.

In the 19th and 20th centuries, egoism found new expressions. Friedrich Nietzsche, though not a straightforward ethical egoist, challenged conventional morality and celebrated the "will to power" and the individual's self-overcoming, which often involved prioritizing one's own values and development above herd morality. Later, in the 20th century, Ayn Rand explicitly championed ethical egoism in her philosophy of Objectivism, arguing that the pursuit of one's own rational self-interest is the highest moral purpose. These thinkers contributed to a more nuanced understanding of egoism, expanding its scope beyond simple survival to encompass personal growth, achievement, and the pursuit of individual excellence.

3. Key Characteristics

A fundamental characteristic of egoism across its various forms is the assertion that **self-interest** serves as the ultimate, or at least primary, motivator for all human actions. This doesn't necessarily imply crude selfishness, but rather that the underlying reason for any choice or behavior, no matter

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

how outwardly benevolent, can be traced back to some benefit or desire of the individual agent. This benefit could be direct, such as financial gain or social praise, or indirect, such as avoiding guilt, achieving personal satisfaction, or aligning with one's deeply held values. The crucial point is that the "other" is served only insofar as it ultimately serves the "self."

Another essential distinction within egoistic thought is between its **descriptive and normative forms**. Psychological egoism is a descriptive theory, offering an empirical claim about human nature. It states that humans are, as a matter of fact, always motivated by self-interest, making it a psychological observation rather than a moral recommendation. Ethical egoism, by contrast, is a normative theory. It prescribes how people ought to behave, asserting that individuals should act in their own self-interest. This distinction is vital because psychological egoism, if true, would mean that ethical altruism is impossible, whereas ethical egoism actively promotes self-interest as a moral duty. Rational egoism, another normative form, argues that it is rational to act in one's own self-interest, focusing on prudence and logical consistency rather than moral obligation.

Furthermore, ethical egoism can be categorized into various types. **Individual ethical egoism** asserts that everyone ought to act in *my* self-interest (the speaker's self-interest). This is rarely defended seriously due to its inherent solipsism and lack of universalizability. More commonly discussed is **personal ethical egoism**, which states that *I* ought to act in my own self-interest, but makes no claims about what others should do. The most significant form is **universal ethical egoism** (or impersonal ethical egoism), which states that everyone ought to act in their own self-interest. This latter form attempts to provide a universal moral principle, albeit one centered on the individual, and faces the greatest philosophical challenges regarding consistency and conflict resolution.

The practical implications of egoism often manifest in how individuals make decisions. An egoist, when faced with a choice, would ideally weigh the potential outcomes based on how they would maximize their own well-being, happiness, or advantage. This often leads to a focus on personal responsibility and self-reliance, as the individual is seen as the ultimate arbiter and beneficiary of their own actions. While this might sometimes align with actions that benefit others, the primary justification for such actions would always be the ultimate benefit accrued to the self. This framework informs not only ethical reasoning but also economic models, particularly rational choice theory, which assumes individuals act to maximize their own utility.

4. Significance and Impact

Egoism holds significant sway within the realm of ethical theory and moral philosophy. By challenging the very notion of selfless action, psychological egoism forces moral philosophers to rigorously examine the foundations of altruism and universal moral duties. If all actions are ultimately self-interested, then ethical systems that demand sacrifice for others appear to be either

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

impossible or founded on a misunderstanding of human nature. This has led to extensive debates about the empirical validity of psychological egoism and the conceptual possibility of genuine altruism, impacting theories of motivation, virtue, and obligation. Ethical egoism, as a normative theory, provides a stark alternative to utilitarianism and deontology, advocating for a morality centered on the individual's well-being.

The influence of egoistic thought extends profoundly into economic theory, particularly in the form of rational choice theory. This dominant paradigm in economics and political science posits that individuals are rational agents who consistently act to maximize their own utility or self-interest. This assumption underpins models of market behavior, consumer choices, and political decision-making, where individuals are presumed to weigh costs and benefits to achieve the most favorable outcome for themselves. Adam Smith's concept of the "invisible hand," where individual self-interest in a free market inadvertently leads to collective prosperity, is a classic example of how egoistic assumptions can be integrated into theories of societal functioning.

In psychology, egoism contributes to the understanding of human motivation. Psychological egoism, in particular, prompts research into the ultimate drivers of human behavior, exploring whether empathy, compassion, and apparent acts of kindness can indeed be reduced to underlying self-serving mechanisms, such as avoiding distress, seeking internal rewards, or upholding one's self-image. This line of inquiry has stimulated various theories on prosocial behavior, challenging researchers to find definitive empirical evidence for or against truly selfless motives, and influencing therapeutic approaches that consider the client's self-interest in achieving personal growth and well-being.

Sociological perspectives also engage with egoism, particularly concerning the dynamics of individual and collective action. The challenge for societies, if individuals are primarily self-interested, is to create institutions and norms that align individual pursuits with collective welfare. This involves mechanisms like laws, social contracts, and incentive structures designed to channel egoistic desires into socially beneficial outcomes, as seen in Hobbesian political philosophy or modern game theory models. The study of social dilemmas, where individual rational self-interest conflicts with collective rationality, is directly informed by egoistic assumptions, exploring how cooperation can emerge in a world of self-serving actors.

5. Debates and Criticisms

Psychological egoism faces significant empirical and conceptual challenges. Critics argue that it often commits the "fallacy of self-deception" or a tautology: if an individual acts, they must have desired to act that way, and thus they acted on their desire, which is by definition "self-interested." This makes the theory unfalsifiable and trivial. Furthermore, many philosophers and psychologists point to instances of genuine altruism, such as heroic self-sacrifice or unconditional parental love,

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

where the actor appears to gain no direct personal benefit and may even suffer severe loss. Proponents of psychological egoism attempt to reinterpret these actions as ultimately self-serving (e.g., avoiding guilt, gaining posthumous fame, fulfilling a deep-seated personal value), but critics contend that such reinterpretations often stretch the definition of "self-interest" to the point of vacuity, failing to account for the agent's immediate motivation.

Ethical egoism, particularly universal ethical egoism, faces severe logical inconsistencies and practical dilemmas. A primary criticism revolves around its inability to be universalized without contradiction. If everyone ought to pursue their own self-interest, then it would be in my self-interest to prevent others from pursuing their self-interest if it conflicts with mine. However, it would also be in their self-interest to prevent me from doing so. This leads to a situation where the theory advocates for a state of conflict, making cooperative moral principles impossible. Moreover, ethical egoism cannot provide solutions to conflicts of interest, as it simply advises each party to pursue their own benefit, offering no impartial ground for resolution. It also seems to imply that morally wrong actions, such as theft or murder, are justifiable if they serve one's self-interest, which clashes with widely held moral intuitions.

The "free-rider problem" is another significant critique, especially in the context of collective action and social goods. If everyone acts purely in their own self-interest, individuals might be incentivized to benefit from common resources (like clean air, public safety, or national defense) without contributing their fair share, assuming others will bear the cost. If enough people adopt this egoistic strategy, the common good collapses, ultimately harming everyone, including the free-riders themselves. This suggests that a purely egoistic society is unstable and self-defeating for achieving collective benefits that require cooperation and sacrifice.

Finally, egoism is often criticized for its conflict with common moral intuitions and the concept of genuine empathy and compassion. Most ethical systems recognize a duty to others, a sense of fairness, and the inherent value of alleviating suffering. Ethical egoism fundamentally dismisses these as primary moral drivers, reducing them to mere instruments for personal gain or psychological comfort. Critics argue that this view impoverishes human relationships and denies the possibility of true moral concern for others, which many consider to be a hallmark of advanced moral reasoning. The idea that all apparent kindness is a disguised form of selfishness often feels cynical and unreflective of the complexity of human motivation.

Further Reading

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy: Egoism

Wikipedia: Egoism

Britannica: Egoism

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy: Hobbes's Moral and Political Philosophy

Wikipedia: The Wealth of Nations

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM