

# DONDERS'S METHOD

Authored by  
**mohammad looti**

October 30, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *DONDERS'S METHOD*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=64492>

## Donders's Method (The Subtraction Method)

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Experimental Psychology, Cognitive Psychology, Cognitive Neuroscience, Mental Chronometry.

### 1. Core Definition and Objective

Donders's Method, often referred to as the **Subtraction Method**, is a foundational technique in experimental psychology designed to empirically measure the duration of specific, unobservable mental processes. It operates under the fundamental assumption that complex mental activities can be decomposed into a series of discrete, sequential processing stages, each requiring a measurable amount of time. The primary objective of this method is to isolate these hypothetical stages--such as perception, discrimination, decision-making, and response selection--by comparing the reaction times (RTs) derived from tasks that differ only by the inclusion or exclusion of one specific mental operation. By looking at the difference in **reaction time** between a task requiring N stages and a task requiring N+1 stages, the duration of the inserted stage is supposedly revealed. This methodology represents one of the earliest systematic attempts to quantify the speed of thought, moving psychological inquiry from philosophical speculation to quantifiable scientific measurement.

The technique relies on manipulating the complexity of the stimulus-response mapping required of the participant. For instance, a simple task might only require detection and execution, while a slightly more complex task might require detection, discrimination, and execution. By precisely controlling the stimuli and measuring the resulting reaction times down to the millisecond, Donders provided a crucial framework for early cognitive research. This ability to quantify the temporal aspects of internal mental events--which had previously been inaccessible--established the groundwork for modern cognitive chronometry. The method thereby aimed to create a 'mental physics' by establishing standard units for the elements of consciousness, enabling the formal study of how quickly the human nervous system could process information.

### 2. Historical Origin and the Work of F.C. Donders

The method was pioneered by the Dutch physiologist and ophthalmologist Franciscus Cornelis Donders (1818-1889) in the mid-19th century. Donders was deeply influenced by earlier work on measuring the speed of nerve impulses conducted by figures like Hermann von Helmholtz, and he sought to apply similar physiological precision to the study of central nervous system processes. Prior to Donders, the measurement of time in mental acts was largely confined to astronomers dealing with the "personal equation"--the slight difference in observation time between different individuals recording celestial events. Donders recognized that this variation was not merely noise, but an opportunity to measure internal mental processing time itself. His seminal work, published

primarily in 1868, introduced the formal experimental framework that defined the subtraction method.

Donders's experiments utilized instruments like the chronoscope and the Hipp chronometer, which were necessary to capture the very short durations involved in mental processing. He systematically designed different task variations performed by participants, moving beyond the simple reaction time tasks previously employed. His goal was not just to measure overall speed, but to functionally decompose the entire chain of cognitive events occurring between stimulus presentation and response execution. This marked a profound shift, transforming reaction time from a mere measure of motor speed into an analytic tool for isolating distinct mental operations. The work was foundational to the establishment of experimental psychology as a distinct discipline, influencing contemporaries like Wilhelm Wundt, who would later set up the first formal psychological laboratory.

### 3. The Logic of Pure Insertion

The conceptual foundation of Donders's Method rests heavily on the principle known as the **Logic of Pure Insertion**. This principle mandates that when a new mental process or stage is added to a task sequence, it must be possible to insert this stage without affecting the duration or nature of the processing stages that precede or follow it. For example, if a discrimination stage is inserted between the perception stage and the response selection stage, the time taken for perception and response selection must remain identical regardless of whether the discrimination stage is present. This assumption allows the duration of the newly inserted stage to be cleanly isolated via simple subtraction.

Mathematically and conceptually, pure insertion simplifies the analysis of complex cognitive architecture. It treats the mental system like a linear assembly line where components (cognitive stages) operate independently and sequentially. If Stage A takes  $t_A$  and Stage B takes  $t_B$ , then a task requiring A followed by B takes  $t_A + t_B$ . If a new stage, C, is inserted (A, C, B), the total time is assumed to be  $t_A + t_C + t_B$ . Therefore, the duration of Stage C is calculated as  $t_C$ . This logical framework was revolutionary at the time, providing a clear and testable hypothesis about the organization of the mind.

However, the pure insertion assumption is also the primary source of criticism for Donders's method. Modern cognitive science suggests that mental processing stages are rarely independent. Instead, they often exhibit interaction, overlap, and cascading properties--meaning the presence of a choice requirement might fundamentally alter how quickly or thoroughly the initial perception stage is executed. If stages interact, the simple subtraction yields a value that does not represent the duration of the isolated stage  $t_C$ , but rather the combined duration of  $t_C$  plus the change in duration it caused in  $t_A$  and  $t_B$ , violating the purity of the measurement.

## 4. Structure of the Reaction Time Tasks (A, B, and C Reactions)

Donders defined three specific task paradigms, referred to as the A, B, and C reactions, designed to isolate the components of perception, discrimination, and volitional choice. These three task types form the experimental backbone of the subtraction method, allowing for the construction of mental equations.

The simplest task is the **A-reaction**, or Simple Reaction Time (SRT). In this task, the participant is instructed to respond immediately upon the presentation of a single, predetermined stimulus (e.g., press a key when any light appears). The A-reaction measures the time required for basic sensory registration, neural transmission, and simple motor execution. It serves as the baseline measurement against which more complex tasks are compared. The measured time,  $RT(A)$ , includes perception and motor execution stages.

The next complexity level is the **C-reaction**, or Go/No-Go Reaction Time (sometimes called Discrimination Reaction Time). Here, the participant is presented with multiple stimuli (e.g., a red light and a green light) but is instructed to only respond to one specific stimulus (e.g., press the key only if the green light appears). If the other stimulus appears, no action is taken. The C-reaction adds a mandatory mental stage of stimulus discrimination compared to the A-reaction. The participant must perceive the stimulus and then decide whether it is the target stimulus before proceeding to motor execution. Thus,  $RT(C)$  measures perception, discrimination, and execution, but not response selection among multiple options.

The most complex task is the **B-reaction**, or Choice Reaction Time (CRT). In this paradigm, multiple stimuli are presented, and the participant must make a unique response corresponding to each stimulus (e.g., press the left key for the red light and the right key for the green light). The B-reaction incorporates all mental stages: perception, discrimination, and crucially, **volitional choice** or response selection among alternatives, followed by motor execution. By systematically comparing the reaction times from these three task variants, Donders believed he could mathematically separate the duration of the internal cognitive stages.

## 5. Mathematical Formulation: The Subtraction Principle

The power of Donders's method lies in its elegant mathematical implementation, which uses the difference between measured reaction times to estimate the duration of the hypothesized intermediate stages. The principle dictates that the time required for a specific mental process is the difference between the total reaction time of a task that includes that process and the total reaction time of a task that omits only that process.

The first derivation involves calculating **Discrimination Time**. This is achieved by subtracting the simple reaction time (A-reaction) from the discrimination reaction time (C-reaction). Since  $RT(C)$

includes perception, discrimination, and execution, and RT(A) includes perception and execution, the difference isolates the time necessary for the cognitive stage of discrimination:

$$\text{Discrimination Time} = \text{RT(C)} - \text{RT(A)}$$

The second, and perhaps most historically significant, derivation involves calculating **Choice Time** (or Response Selection Time). This is achieved by subtracting the discrimination reaction time (C-reaction) from the choice reaction time (B-reaction). The B-reaction includes perception, discrimination, response selection, and execution, while the C-reaction includes all of these except the explicit selection of one response from multiple alternatives. Thus, the difference isolates the time required to make a volitional selection:

$$\text{Choice Time} = \text{RT(B)} - \text{RT(C)}$$

This straightforward arithmetic allowed Donders and subsequent researchers to assign precise duration values--often in the range of tens of milliseconds--to complex processes like decision-making. For instance, if RT(A) was 200 ms, RT(C) was 250 ms, and RT(B) was 300 ms, Donders would conclude that the brain requires 50 ms for discrimination and an additional 50 ms for response selection. This formulation provided a quantitative tool for the nascent field of experimental psychology.

## 6. Significance and Impact

Donders's Method holds immense historical and conceptual significance, establishing mental chronometry as a viable field of scientific inquiry. By providing a rigorous methodology for measuring the speed of mental operations, it demonstrated that internal cognitive events were measurable and subject to experimental investigation. This validation was crucial for separating psychology from philosophy and establishing it as an empirical science capable of generating quantitative data about the human mind. The method served as the foundational paradigm for decades of reaction time studies, inspiring researchers to pursue increasingly sophisticated ways to map the temporal architecture of cognition.

Furthermore, the conceptual framework of breaking down complex tasks into sequential stages remains highly influential in cognitive psychology and computer science, even if the strict subtraction rule is rarely applied today. The core idea that mental processing is hierarchical and that different types of tasks load different processing stages is central to modern cognitive modeling. It allowed early psychologists to begin building the first mechanistic models of internal information processing, anticipating the development of the information-processing approach that dominates modern cognitive science.

The legacy of Donders's work extended directly into the 20th century. For example, Saul

Sternberg's influential work in the 1960s on memory scanning, which introduced the Additive Factors Method, was a direct response to and refinement of Donders's initial approach. Sternberg retained the idea of sequential stages but developed a more robust statistical method to test for stage independence (pure insertion), thus providing a more sophisticated tool for cognitive decomposition. Even in modern cognitive neuroscience, where brain imaging techniques like fMRI and EEG are dominant, Donders's logic is often invoked when interpreting the timing of neural events corresponding to specific task components.

## 7. Criticisms, Limitations, and Modern Alternatives

While historically important, Donders's Subtraction Method faces significant theoretical and methodological limitations that limit its use in contemporary research. The most pervasive criticism, as previously noted, concerns the assumption of **pure insertion**. Critics argue that adding a stage, such as "discrimination" in the C-reaction, does not simply add time, but fundamentally changes the nature of the preceding stage (e.g., perception might become more detailed or exhaustive if discrimination is known to be required) or the subsequent stage (e.g., execution preparation might be delayed or altered). When stages interact, the duration derived from subtraction is contaminated, failing to represent the true duration of the isolated mental element.

A second major limitation is the issue of inter-subject and intra-subject variability. Reaction times are inherently noisy measures, susceptible to factors like attention, motivation, and motor skill differences. Simple mean subtraction, as employed by Donders, is sensitive to small changes in these confounding variables. Furthermore, the method offers no mechanism for testing the validity of the sequential stage model itself; it assumes sequentiality is correct rather than testing it. If processing occurs in parallel, or if stages cascade (i.e., partial information moves from one stage to the next before the first stage is complete), the subtraction calculation becomes conceptually meaningless.

Modern cognitive research has largely adopted more sophisticated chronometric methods that address these limitations. The aforementioned Additive Factors Method (AFM), developed by Sternberg, allows researchers to test the assumption of stage independence by manipulating two factors simultaneously (e.g., stimulus quality and memory set size) and observing whether their effects on RT are additive or interactive. Interactive effects violate pure insertion, indicating that the cognitive stages are not independent. Furthermore, the integration of chronometry with neuroimaging techniques (such as EEG event-related potentials) allows researchers to observe the neural markers associated with processing stages, providing physiological evidence that complements temporal measurement, moving beyond reliance on purely behavioral subtraction.

## Further Reading

[Mental Chronometry \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Franciscus Cornelis Donders \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Additive Factors Method \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[A Reassessment of Donders' Subtraction Method](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM