

CREATIONISM

Authored by
mohammad looti

November 4, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *CREATIONISM*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=67648>

Creationism

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Theology, Biology, Philosophy of Science, Religious Studies

Creationism, in its broadest sense, is the philosophical and theological stance asserting that the universe and all life within it originated from specific acts of divine creation, typically orchestrated by a single higher power. This perspective inherently stands in opposition to naturalistic explanations, such as the view that the cosmos has always existed in its current form or that it developed entirely through undirected natural processes, like those described by evolutionary concepts. While the most prevalent forms of creationism are rooted deeply in specific spiritual or religious texts, the core idea--that an intelligent designer orchestrated existence--is fundamental to understanding the varying positions captured by the term.

1. Core Definition

The term **creationism** encompasses a wide spectrum of viewpoints regarding the origin of the cosmos and biological life. In its most expansive definition, creationism posits that existence resulted from a deliberate, supernatural act, fundamentally contradicting the notion that the universe became what it is without the intervention of such a phenomenon, or that matter and energy have simply been present eternally. This generalized view serves as the foundation for the majority of specific creationist doctrines worldwide, spanning various religions and mythologies.

More narrowly, particularly within Western religious contexts, creationism refers to a family of viewpoints centered on refuting modern scientific understandings of cosmology and biology, especially the theory of evolution, in preference of a literal approval of the Bible's foretelling of creation. These specific doctrines, often originating from interpretations of the Book of Genesis, postulate that the world was the direct creation of God. The most rigorous and widely debated form, Young Earth Creationism (YEC), specifically insists that this creation occurred over six literal 24-hour days, resulting in every animal species existing today being created simultaneously and separately. The ongoing intensity of the debate surrounding creationism stems from the inherent difficulty in reconciling faith-based narratives with empirical scientific evidence.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The concept of a deliberate, divinely guided creation is ancient, preceding written history in many cultures and functioning as a foundational element of religious cosmologies. However, the term **creationism** itself, particularly as an organized counter-movement to scientific naturalism, gained specific definition and prominence in the 19th and early 20th centuries. This rise was directly linked to the widespread acceptance of Charles Darwin's theory of natural selection and the subsequent establishment of evolutionary biology as a dominant scientific paradigm. Prior to Darwin's influence, the belief in biblical creation often held sway as the established scientific and cultural

explanation in the West, frequently supported by methods like natural theology.

The historical development of creationism as an explicit ideological movement accelerated following key legal and cultural flashpoints, notably the Scopes Trial of 1925, which publicly highlighted the conflict between fundamentalist religious beliefs and the teaching of evolution in public schools. This period saw the formalization of anti-evolutionary arguments and the establishment of dedicated organizations aimed at promoting specific creation models. In the latter half of the 20th century, creationist arguments strategically evolved, often attempting to adopt the nomenclature and methodology of science to present creation science or Intelligent Design (ID) as ostensibly viable scientific alternatives to evolutionary theory, thereby shifting the debate from purely theological grounds to educational and legal arenas concerning academic freedom and the separation of church and state.

3. Key Characteristics and Varieties

Creationism is fundamentally characterized by its reliance on a non-naturalistic explanation for origins and its systematic rejection of key aspects of the mainstream scientific consensus regarding geological deep time and the principle of common descent. The specific characteristics and tenets vary significantly depending on the particular strain of creationism adopted by adherents:

Young Earth Creationism (YEC): This is the most restrictive and literal interpretation, insisting on a recent, literal six-day creation event. YEC maintains that the Earth is only approximately 6,000 to 10,000 years old, that all species were created simultaneously and separately, and that most major geological features of the planet are the direct result of a single, catastrophic global flood event, such as the biblical account of Noah's Ark.

Old Earth Creationism (OEC): Unlike YEC, OEC accepts the scientific timeline for the age of the Earth and the universe (billions of years). However, proponents still maintain that God intervened periodically throughout cosmic history to create new species or major life forms. OEC utilizes various reconciliation strategies, such as the "gap theory" (positing a massive time gap between the initial creation described in Genesis 1:1 and the subsequent events) or "day-age creationism" (interpreting the six creation days not as literal 24-hour periods but as vast geological epochs).

Theistic Evolution (Evolutionary Creationism): While generally viewed as a reconciliation model rather than a strictly anti-evolutionary form of creationism, this perspective holds that God used the fully natural process of biological evolution to bring about the diversity of life. Theistic evolutionists fully accept the scientific facts of mutation, natural selection, and common descent, viewing these established mechanisms as the instruments of divine creation, thereby allowing for the acceptance of both scientific findings and theological faith.

Intelligent Design (ID): ID is a contemporary variant that consciously attempts to avoid direct reference to specific biblical texts or theological doctrines. Its central argument is that certain

irreducible complexities and highly specified structures found in the universe and in living things cannot be explained by undirected natural processes and are thus best attributed to an unspecified intelligent cause or designer. ID is often criticized by the scientific community for lacking empirical testability and being a form of creationism presented in secular language.

4. Significance and Impact

The significance of creationism resides primarily in its persistent role as a cultural, educational, and political challenge to scientific consensus and institutional authority, particularly within nations exhibiting high levels of religious fundamentalism. The **creationism debate** frequently becomes highly polarized, manifesting in legal and political struggles over educational policies concerning the curriculum taught in public schools. For countless religious adherents globally, creationism provides an essential metaphysical framework that successfully reconciles their cherished faith traditions with the empirical reality of existence, thereby offering deep meaning, purpose, and moral authority derived from a direct relationship with a divine creator.

Furthermore, creationism significantly impacts the philosophy of science by continually forcing detailed discussions regarding the appropriate boundaries between verifiable empirical inquiry (science) and untestable metaphysical belief (religion). The philosophical commitment inherent in creationism, especially its literal interpretations, necessitates the explicit rejection of established scientific methodologies, including radiometric dating and uniformitarian geology, whenever they conflict with short timelines. This posture challenges the very foundations of modern Earth science, cosmology, and biology. This enduring tension illustrates the deep-seated cultural conflict between methodologies that rely solely on scientific materialism and those that integrate supernatural explanations for universal origins.

5. Debates and Criticisms

Creationism faces extensive and consistent criticism from the overwhelming majority of the global scientific community, which universally regards evolutionary theory as the central, robust, and unifying principle of modern biology. Scientists argue forcefully that creationist models, particularly Young Earth Creationism and Intelligent Design, demonstrably lack necessary empirical evidence, fail to produce meaningful testable predictions, and frequently rely on misrepresenting or fundamentally misunderstanding established scientific principles. The core scientific critique is that creation science does not adhere to the rigorous standards of the scientific method and is therefore formally classified as pseudoscience.

From a philosophical perspective, critics often invoke the "God of the gaps" argument, suggesting that creationist explanations merely fill current lacunae in scientific knowledge with assertions of divine intervention rather than proposing mechanisms that can be objectively investigated and

falsified. Legally, creationism has faced repeated challenges and defeats in U.S. courts. Landmark cases, such as the 1987 Supreme Court ruling in *Edwards v. Aguillard* and the influential 2005 decision in *Kitzmiller v. Dover Area School District*, established crucial precedent by ruling that teaching creation science or Intelligent Design as purported scientific alternatives to evolution in public school science classes violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment, definitively classifying these concepts as religious, not scientific, doctrines.

Further Reading

[Creationism \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Young Earth Creationism \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Intelligent Design \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Evolution \(Wikipedia\)](#)