

COVERT RESPONSE

Authored by
mohammad looti

October 16, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *COVERT RESPONSE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=47514>

COVERT RESPONSE

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Psychology (Behaviorism, Cognitive Science, Psychophysiology)

The **covert response** refers to any reaction, activity, or event occurring within an organism that is not directly observable by an external party. These internal reactions--which may manifest as visual imagery, complex feelings, emotional states, or purely internal thoughts--are fundamental to understanding complex behavior, even though their presence must typically be deduced or gauged indirectly through physiological measurement or behavioral inference. The concept stands in direct contrast to the **overt response**, which is characterized by externally visible actions or speech.

Covert responses are often synonymous with the term **implicit response** and represent the private events of the organism. While early radical behaviorism struggled with the theoretical status of these unobservable phenomena, subsequent iterations of behavioral science and the emergence of cognitive psychology recognized their critical mediating role between external stimuli and final observable behavior. They function as intermediary steps in the processing chain, allowing for planning, analysis, and emotional preparation before a motor action is executed.

1. Core Definition and Distinction

A covert response is defined structurally by its lack of external manifestation. If a subject processes information, experiences an emotion, or rehearses a statement internally without any accompanying motor activity detectable by the naked eye, that event constitutes a covert response. These responses are integral to the organism's overall activity, despite their hidden nature, and are governed by the same principles of learning and conditioning that apply to overt behaviors.

The crucial distinction between covert and overt responses lies in observability, not reality. Overt responses (e.g., pressing a lever, speaking aloud, running) are publicly verifiable and can be measured directly. Covert responses (e.g., private problem-solving, anxiety-induced vasoconstriction, or subvocalization during reading) are private events, necessitating specialized equipment or intricate experimental designs for detection. Understanding this duality is essential, as many complex human actions are preceded and guided by a rapid sequence of internal, unobserved responses that filter, interpret, and prepare the individual for interaction with the environment.

Psychologically, the acknowledgment of covert responses bridges the gap between the purely environmental focus of classical radical behaviorism and the emphasis on internal states characteristic of cognitive science. For behaviorists, these responses are viewed as miniature, incomplete behaviors occurring below the threshold of observation; for cognitivists, they are critical components of information processing, reflecting the ongoing operation of memory, attention, and

executive function.

2. Theoretical Underpinnings in Behaviorism

Although the primary emphasis of B.F. Skinner's radical behaviorism was on observable contingencies, the existence of private events was never outright denied, but rather treated as behaviors themselves, albeit difficult to access. Skinner argued that thinking or feeling, while private, were still subject to environmental reinforcement and extinction, making them conceptually consistent with the overall behavioral framework. Covert responses, therefore, became known as "private events" or "behavior under the skin."

A significant theoretical mechanism developed to address covert behavior within the behavioral paradigm was the concept of the **mediating response**. Theorists like Clark Hull and Charles Osgood used mediating responses to explain phenomena such as symbolic representation and generalization. For instance, Hull's concept of the fractional anticipatory goal response (r-g) suggested a subtle, internal response elicited by environmental stimuli that anticipated the goal, thus linking a remote stimulus to the eventual overt behavior. These theoretical constructs were early attempts to operationalize and integrate internal psychological processes into a stimulus-response model without resorting to non-empirical mentalism.

The acceptance of covert responses fundamentally altered the scope of behavior analysis, allowing it to address complex human activities such as verbal behavior and problem-solving. When an individual engages in silent problem-solving, the intermediate steps--testing possible solutions, formulating hypotheses, or recalling relevant memories--are all considered covert verbal or cognitive responses that influence the final outcome. This perspective maintains that covert behavior, just like overt behavior, is learned, maintained, and modified by specific environmental consequences, even if those consequences are themselves internal (e.g., self-reinforcement or relief from tension).

3. Manifestations of Covert Responses (Types)

Covert responses can be categorized based on their primary form or system of manifestation, spanning the spectrum from pure cognition to autonomic physiology.

Covert Verbal Behavior (Inner Speech): This involves internal articulation, thinking in words, or subvocalization during reading. Inner speech is critical for sequential thought, planning, and self-instruction. For example, mentally rehearsing an argument or reciting a shopping list without making any audible sound constitutes covert verbal behavior.

Covert Emotional Responses: These include subjective feelings and internal visceral reactions associated with emotional states. When an individual experiences fear, the resulting increase in heart rate, subtle changes in breathing, or the sensation of "butterflies" in the stomach are covert

physiological responses that accompany the private feeling of anxiety. These responses are often studied using psychophysiological measures.

Covert Motor Responses: These are often referred to as "micromovements" or incipient movements. During mental practice of a physical skill (e.g., a musician imagining playing a piece), subtle, unobservable electrical impulses may be detected in the relevant muscle groups. These covert motor preparations often precede and facilitate the execution of the final overt action, playing a key role in motor learning and imagery practice.

The interaction between these types is particularly important. A stressful external stimulus might elicit a covert emotional response (anxiety), which, in turn, generates covert verbal behavior (negative self-talk), ultimately influencing the overt behavioral choice (e.g., avoidance). The study of these intertwined processes provides deep insight into psychological disorders where maladaptive covert responses, such as rumination or catastrophizing, perpetuate pathological overt behavior.

4. Measurement and Indirect Assessment Methods

Because covert responses are by definition unobservable, their scientific study relies heavily on indirect assessment techniques that measure correlated physiological or behavioral indicators. The methods employed often require sophisticated technology to capture subtle changes in bodily function.

One primary approach involves **psychophysiological assessment**. Equipment such as electroencephalography (EEG) can measure electrical activity in the brain, which correlates with processes like mental effort or visual imagery. Similarly, skin conductance response (SCR) or galvanic skin response (GSR) measures changes in the electrical conductivity of the skin, reflecting autonomic nervous system arousal associated with covert emotional responses like stress or excitement. The use of functional magnetic resonance imaging (**fMRI**) allows researchers to observe changes in blood flow within specific brain regions, providing a spatial map of neural activity associated with internal cognitive processes.

Another crucial, though methodologically contentious, method is the use of **verbal report** or introspection. While behaviorists traditionally distrusted subjective reports, cognitive psychology often relies on subjects detailing their internal thought processes during tasks (think-aloud protocols). When used carefully, these reports can provide converging evidence regarding the nature and sequence of covert responses. Furthermore, researchers can deduce covert processes through precise measurement of overt behavior, such as using response latency (the time taken to initiate an overt response) to infer the complexity and duration of the preceding covert cognitive processing.

5. Role in Cognitive Processes

In modern cognitive psychology, covert responses are not merely residual behaviors but are viewed as the fundamental building blocks of higher-order cognitive functions. They are essential for processes requiring planning, simulation, and abstract thought, acting as internal computational steps.

Planning is almost entirely reliant on covert processes. Before an individual embarks on a complex task, they engage in mental simulation--a covert rehearsal of potential actions and outcomes. This internal testing allows for error correction and optimization of the behavioral sequence without the cost of physical trial-and-error. Similarly, in working memory, the process of **covert rehearsal** (repeating information silently) is crucial for maintaining and manipulating data within the short-term memory system. Without this internal articulation, information rapidly decays.

Moreover, covert responses form the basis of symbolic thought. When humans use language to represent non-present objects or complex concepts, the internal mediation required is achieved through covert verbal behavior. This internal language system allows individuals to manipulate symbols, construct mental models, and engage in logical reasoning, highlighting the critical link between the internal, unobservable world and sophisticated, intelligent behavior.

6. Clinical and Therapeutic Significance

The identification and modification of problematic covert responses are central to many contemporary psychological therapies, particularly within the Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) tradition. Maladaptive covert responses--such as persistent negative self-talk, habitual worrying, or exaggerated emotional imagery--are often the primary drivers of anxiety, depression, and other mood disorders.

In therapy, techniques are specifically designed to bring covert responses under external control or to replace them with adaptive alternatives. For instance, **cognitive restructuring** directly targets covert verbal behavior (negative automatic thoughts). By identifying these internal responses and challenging their logical validity, therapists help patients modify their internal dialogue to produce healthier emotional and behavioral outcomes. Another behavioral technique, **systematic desensitization**, relies on inducing covert emotional responses (anxiety through imagination) and pairing them with relaxation responses to extinguish the phobic reaction.

The clinical focus is thus shifted from solely addressing the final overt symptom (e.g., panic attacks) to treating the antecedent covert processes (e.g., catastrophic interpretation of bodily sensations) that trigger the symptom. Recognizing that thoughts and feelings are forms of behavior that can be learned and unlearned is a cornerstone of effective cognitive-behavioral intervention, underscoring the practical utility of the covert response concept.

7. Debates Regarding Observability and Function

Despite its integration into mainstream psychology, the concept of the covert response remains a subject of ongoing philosophical and methodological debate, primarily concerning the problem of private events and scientific verification.

The most enduring criticism stems from the fundamental challenge of **operational definition**. Critics argue that relying on indirect measures or subjective reports compromises the scientific rigor of studying covert responses, as true intersubjective verification--the hallmark of science--is impossible when the primary event is private. While physiological measures correlate with internal states, they do not directly measure the subjective experience of the thought or feeling itself, leading to questions about the validity of inference.

Furthermore, debates persist regarding the functional status of covert responses. Are they causes, effects, or simply correlates of behavior? While cognitive models often treat them as causal mechanisms (e.g., "my plan caused me to act"), strict behaviorists argue that both covert and overt responses are ultimately effects of prior environmental conditioning. Modern psychophysiology attempts to resolve this by focusing on the functional relationship, acknowledging that covert neural and visceral activities serve as crucial mediating links that, once established, can influence subsequent behavioral chains.

Further Reading

[Behaviorism \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Implicit Response and Implicit Cognition \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Systematic Desensitization \(American Psychological Association\)](#)

[Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging \(fMRI\) \(National Institutes of Health\)](#)