

CONCORDANCE IN TWINS

Authored by
mohammad looti

November 7, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *CONCORDANCE IN TWINS*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES.
Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=66161>

CONCORDANCE IN TWINS

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Behavioral Genetics, Epidemiology, Developmental Psychology, Twin Studies

1. Core Definition

Concordance in twins refers to the statistical likelihood that if one twin in a pair possesses a specific trait, characteristic, behavioral pattern, or illness, the co-twin will also exhibit the identical feature. In the realm of genetic epidemiology and twin analyses, the concordance rate serves as a critical measure for assessing the extent to which a phenotype--the observable expression of a trait--is influenced by genetic factors as opposed to environmental ones. When applied to a pair of twins, the concept addresses the question of co-occurrence, typically measured for binary traits, meaning traits that are either present or absent, such as a specific disease diagnosis or the presence of a disorder like schizophrenia or diabetes.

The core utility of measuring **concordance** lies in the fundamental design of twin studies, which compare rates between two distinct groups: **monozygotic (MZ) twins**, who are genetically identical, sharing 100% of their DNA, and **dizygotic (DZ) twins**, who are fraternal and share, on average, 50% of their segregating genes, similar to standard non-twin siblings. By assuming that both MZ and DZ twin pairs share relatively similar rearing environments--an assumption known as the Equal Environments Assumption (EEA)--any substantial difference in the concordance rates between these two types of twins can be primarily attributed to genetic influence. If a trait is purely environmental, the MZ and DZ concordance rates should be statistically identical; conversely, if a trait is heavily genetic, the MZ concordance rate will be significantly higher than the DZ rate.

It is essential to differentiate **concordance** from correlation. While correlation measures the degree to which two measures vary together across a population (often used for continuous traits like height or IQ scores), concordance specifically measures the probability of co-occurrence for a categorical, or binary, outcome. High concordance rates suggest a strong familial or shared influence. For instance, if the MZ concordance rate for a complex disease is 70%, it means that if Twin A has the disease, there is a 70% chance that Twin B also has the disease. Comparing this to a DZ concordance rate of 35% strongly implicates **hereditary aspects** in the development or cultivation of that feature or illness, making concordance the bedrock metric for preliminary heritability estimates in behavioral genetics.

2. Mechanism in Genetic Research

The mechanism by which **concordance rates** inform genetic research is rooted in the decomposition of variance. Twin studies leverage the natural experiment provided by the birth of

twins to partition the total phenotypic variance observed in a population into three main components: Additive genetic effects (A), Shared environment effects (C), and Non-shared/Unique environment effects (E). The differential genetic overlap between MZ and DZ twins provides the necessary leverage to estimate these components using mathematical modeling, such as the classical **ACE model**. High concordance in MZ twins indicates strong influence from either A or C, while the drop in concordance from MZ to DZ twins isolates the genetic component (A), as the shared environment (C) is presumed to be constant across both twin types.

When scientists observe a scenario where MZ twins show a high degree of concordance for a specific psychiatric disorder--say, 60%--but DZ twins show a much lower rate, perhaps 30%, this differential suggests that genetic endowment accounts for a large portion of the liability to develop that disorder. If, however, both MZ and DZ twins exhibited a high and nearly identical concordance rate (e.g., both are 80%), this outcome would strongly suggest that the trait is predominantly driven by powerful **shared environmental factors** (C), such as shared upbringing, diet, or exposure to specific familial conditions, rather than genetic heritage. The crucial insight derived from concordance analysis is thus the relative weight of the genetic contribution versus the environmental contribution to the manifestation of a trait.

Furthermore, analyzing **discordant pairs**--where one twin has the trait and the other does not--is equally informative. In cases of high MZ concordance, discordant pairs offer critical opportunities to study the impact of unique environmental factors (E) or epigenetic differences. For example, if two MZ twins possess identical genetic risk for a disease but only one develops the condition, the researcher must look to factors that were not shared, such as differential exposure to pathogens, distinct life events, or subtle differences in intrauterine or postnatal developmental environments, reinforcing the understanding that even highly heritable traits are rarely 100% determined by genetics alone.

3. Types of Concordance

In practice, **concordance** can be calculated and reported in several ways, depending on the analytical goals, and the choice between these methods can significantly affect the resulting estimate, particularly for traits that are rare in the general population. The two primary methods are pairwise concordance and probandwise concordance, each providing a slightly different perspective on risk and genetic liability. These measures are essential tools for accurately assessing population risks and estimating heritability.

The first method is **Pairwise Concordance** (C_p), which is the simplest and most commonly cited measure in introductory literature. Pairwise concordance is defined as the proportion of twin pairs in which both members are affected by the trait, out of the total number of pairs studied. If N_c is the number of concordant pairs (both twins affected) and N_d is the number of

discordant pairs (only one twin affected), the formula is $C_{\{p\}} = N_c / (N_c + N_d)$. This measure reflects the percentage of pairs that "match" for the trait. However, this method can underestimate the true probability of liability transmission, especially when studies rely on ascertainment methods where researchers only recruit pairs through an affected individual, rather than screening entire populations.

The second and often more robust measure is **Probandwise Concordance** ($C_{\{pr\}}$), which is critical for estimating heritability accurately, particularly in clinical and epidemiological research. Probandwise concordance is defined as the probability that a co-twin is affected, given that the index twin (the one through whom the pair was identified, or "proband") is already affected. The formula is $C_{\{pr\}} = 2N_c / (2N_c + N_d)$. This method counts each affected twin (proband) separately and asks how many of their co-twins are also affected. Because it accounts for the potential bias introduced by the manner in which cases are selected, $C_{\{pr\}}$ typically yields a higher value than $C_{\{p\}}$ and is considered a better reflection of the underlying genetic liability shared by the twin pair. For instance, if a study on autism finds a high probandwise MZ concordance rate, it suggests a strong genetic component that increases the overall risk for the second twin once the first is diagnosed.

4. Calculating Heritability

The quantification of **heritability** (h^2) is the ultimate goal of comparing MZ and DZ concordance rates. Heritability, in this context, does not refer to the degree to which a trait is inherited by an individual, but rather the proportion of the variation of that trait observed across a population that is attributable to genetic differences among individuals. **Concordance data** provides the raw material for classic genetic modeling techniques, yielding empirical estimates of h^2 .

One of the most straightforward methods for estimating heritability based on concordance data is the use of basic algebraic formulas derived from the classical twin design, often associated with the work of L. Erlenmeyer-Kimling and L.F. Jarvik, or the later application of the **Falconer's formula**, adapted for categorical traits using tetrachoric correlations derived from the concordance rates. Conceptually, the difference between the correlation (or concordance rate) for MZ twins ($r_{\{MZ\}}$) and the correlation for DZ twins ($r_{\{DZ\}}$) is key. Since MZ twins share twice the genetic material difference compared to DZ twins, the calculation $h^2 \approx 2 \times (r_{\{MZ\}} - r_{\{DZ\}})$ provides a foundational estimate of additive genetic variance.

The interpretation of the resulting concordance estimates provides powerful insight into trait etiology. A high $r_{\{MZ\}}$ combined with a low $r_{\{DZ\}}$ (leading to a large difference) implies high heritability, characteristic of traits like certain types of severe bipolar disorder or schizophrenia. Conversely, if $r_{\{MZ\}}$ is high and $r_{\{DZ\}}$ is also high and very close to $r_{\{MZ\}}$, the

difference is small, suggesting a large contribution from the **shared environment** (C) and low heritability. An example of this pattern might be certain regional dialects or specific cultural eating habits. Finally, if both $r_{\{MZ\}}$ and $r_{\{DZ\}}$ are low, it implies that the trait is predominantly influenced by the **non-shared environment** (E), which includes measurement error and life events unique to each twin.

These calculations transform the binary outcome of concordance into a continuous measure of underlying liability, utilizing models that assume the liability for the trait follows a normal distribution in the population. The specific concordance rate for a given condition, therefore, acts as a measurable statistic that is mathematically converted into a correlation reflecting the degree of shared liability, allowing researchers to accurately decompose the roles of nature and nurture for a vast range of human phenotypes, from personality traits to complex physical illnesses.

5. Limitations and Interpretation

Despite the utility of **concordance rates**, interpreting these statistics requires careful consideration of the inherent limitations and assumptions underlying the twin study design. The most frequently cited critical assumption is the **Equal Environments Assumption (EEA)**, which posits that the shared environmental influences experienced by MZ twins are no more similar than those experienced by DZ twins. Critics argue that MZ twins, being genetically identical, are often treated more alike by parents, teachers, and peers, dressed similarly, and encouraged to engage in similar activities, thereby potentially inflating the observed MZ concordance rate and leading to an overestimation of heritability.

Another significant limitation concerns the generalization of findings. Concordance rates, and the heritability estimates derived from them, are population-specific and **time-specific**. The estimate of genetic contribution is influenced by the variability of environmental factors present in the studied population. For instance, if a population lives in a highly heterogeneous environment (wide variations in diet, wealth, or access to education), the environmental variance (E) will be high, potentially reducing the apparent proportion of variance attributed to genetics. Conversely, in a homogeneous, highly controlled environment, genetic differences are more easily expressed, and heritability estimates may increase. Thus, a concordance rate for a disease measured in one nation may not accurately reflect the rate in another nation with vastly different nutritional or healthcare landscapes.

Furthermore, concordance only reveals the collective influence of genes and environment; it does not identify specific genes, environmental risk factors, or the mechanisms of causation. High MZ concordance suggests a genetic factor is involved, but the specific molecular pathways remain obscured. Modern molecular genetics, such as **Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS)**, must be used in conjunction with concordance data to pinpoint specific genetic loci. Moreover,

concordance analysis often struggles to fully capture the complexities of **Gene-Environment Interaction (G x E)**, where the effect of a specific gene depends on the presence of a specific environmental trigger, or **Gene-Environment Correlation (rGE)**, where individuals with certain genes are more likely to select themselves into specific environments that reinforce those genes. These dynamic interactions make the simple partitioning provided by concordance data an approximation rather than a complete etiological explanation.

Further Reading

[Twin study - Wikipedia](#)

[Concordance Rate - ScienceDirect Topics](#)

[The Twin Study: A Powerful Tool in Genetic Research - National Library of Medicine](#)

[Heritability - Wikipedia](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM