# Clitoral Circumcision

Authored by

**mohammad looti**

September 25, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Clitoral Circumcision*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=27615

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

# Clitoral Circumcision

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Anthropology**, **Sociology**, **Public Health**, **Ethics**, **Human Rights Law**, **Gender Studies**

## 1. Core Definition and Terminology

Clitoral circumcision refers to the partial or total removal of the clitoris, or other injury to the female genital organs for non-medical reasons. It is a deeply entrenched practice within specific cultural contexts, often viewed as a rite of passage or a means to control female sexuality and ensure a girl's eligibility for marriage. This procedure is widely recognized as a form of **female genital mutilation (FGM)**, a term coined by international organizations to emphasize its harmful nature and distinguish it from male circumcision, which is distinct in its medical risks, social implications, and lack of consent. The terminology itself reflects a critical shift in understanding, moving away from more euphemistic terms like "female circumcision" to highlight the severe human rights violations and health consequences associated with the practice.

The procedure is inherently invasive and carries significant risks, performed predominantly on young girls before puberty, often during infancy or childhood, without their consent. The absence of medical necessity, combined with the profound physical and psychological trauma inflicted, underscores its classification as a harmful traditional practice rather than a legitimate medical or cultural ritual. The understanding of clitoral circumcision as a component of FGM emphasizes the lack of health benefits and the numerous detrimental effects it has on girls' and women's well-being throughout their lives. This critical distinction is vital for global advocacy and intervention efforts aimed at eradicating the practice.

While the term "clitoral circumcision" specifically points to the removal or cutting of the clitoris, it is important to recognize that this practice falls under the broader umbrella of female genital mutilation, which encompasses a range of procedures. International bodies, including the World Health Organization (WHO), have established a comprehensive classification system for FGM to facilitate clearer understanding and targeted interventions. This classification helps in distinguishing between different severities and types of procedures, all of which share the common characteristic of non-medical harm to female genitalia. The recognition of clitoral circumcision as a form of FGM is crucial for legal frameworks and public health campaigns globally.

## 2. Typology and Procedural Variations

Clitoral circumcision manifests in various forms, primarily categorized within the WHO's classification system for FGM. One common form is **clitoridectomy**, which involves the partial or total removal of the clitoris and, in very rare cases, only the prepuce (clitoral hood). This procedure,

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

often classified as Type I FGM, can range from a minor nip to a more extensive removal of the clitoral glans and shaft. The extent of removal is often dictated by local traditions and the expertise, or lack thereof, of the practitioner, who is typically an untrained traditional cutter. The immediate impact includes intense pain, bleeding, and potential infection, while long-term consequences can include chronic pain, difficulty with urination, and significant psychological distress.

Another prevalent and often more severe form is **excision**, which goes beyond the clitoris to include the removal of the clitoris (part or whole) along with the inner labia (labia minora). This procedure is classified as Type II FGM. In some instances, it may also involve the removal of the labia majora. The anatomical structures removed in excision play critical roles in sexual function, protection of the vaginal opening, and urinary health. The greater extent of tissue removal in excision correlates with an increased risk of severe immediate complications, such as hemorrhage and septic shock, and exacerbated long-term issues like painful intercourse, recurrent urinary tract infections, and obstetric complications during childbirth due to scarring and tissue damage.

Beyond these two primary forms, clitoral circumcision practices can sometimes be a component of more extensive procedures, such as infibulation (Type III FGM), where the clitoris and labia minora/majora are removed, and the remaining raw edges are sewn together to narrow the vaginal opening, leaving only a small orifice for urine and menstrual blood. While the source specifically mentions clitoridectomy and excision, it is important to understand them within the broader continuum of FGM types. These procedures are typically performed using rudimentary instruments such as razors, knives, or broken glass, often without anesthesia or sterile conditions, further compounding the risks of infection, tetanus, and permanent disfigurement. The lack of medical training among practitioners significantly elevates the danger associated with each type of clitoral circumcision.

## 3. Geographical Prevalence and Historical Roots

The practice of clitoral circumcision, as a component of female genital mutilation, is concentrated primarily in specific geographical regions, particularly across parts of Africa, the Middle East, and Asia. As highlighted in the source, countries such as **Egypt** and **Somalia** are known to have a particularly high prevalence, reflecting deeply ingrained cultural and social norms. Other countries in sub-Saharan Africa, including Ethiopia, Sudan, Eritrea, Mali, and Guinea, also report significant rates. While the practice is less common in other parts of the world, diaspora communities originating from these regions may continue the practice in their new homelands, often discreetly, posing challenges for enforcement and public health interventions.

The historical roots of clitoral circumcision are complex and varied, often predating major religions and spanning centuries, if not millennia. It is not tied to a single religion but rather to diverse cultural, social, and economic factors within specific communities. Anthropological studies suggest

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

that motivations have historically included traditions related to rites of passage, the pursuit of perceived beauty standards, hygiene, or social cohesion. The practice has been passed down through generations, often perpetuated by women themselves who believe they are upholding tradition, protecting their daughters' honor, and ensuring their social acceptance within the community. This intergenerational transfer of the practice makes it particularly resilient and challenging to eradicate.

Despite global efforts, the prevalence remains alarmingly high in some areas, driven by a combination of factors including deeply entrenched social conventions, lack of awareness about its harms, and the absence of strong legal frameworks or their effective enforcement. Economic disparities, limited access to education, and patriarchal societal structures often contribute to its persistence. The global movement against FGM recognizes the need for context-specific approaches that address these underlying socio-cultural, economic, and political determinants to achieve sustainable abandonment of the practice. Understanding the precise geographical concentrations and the historical and cultural narratives that sustain it is crucial for developing effective strategies for change.

## 4. Socio-Cultural Drivers and Rationales

The decision to perform clitoral circumcision is rarely a purely individual one; rather, it is deeply embedded within complex socio-cultural frameworks that provide various rationales for its perpetuation. A primary driver, as indicated in the source, is the belief that women should not experience sexual pleasure. This notion is often linked to the desire to control female sexuality, ensure virginity before marriage, and maintain fidelity within marriage. By reducing or eliminating a woman's capacity for sexual pleasure, the practice is thought to safeguard her purity, making her a more desirable and respectable bride in communities where female chastity is highly valued. This belief system underscores a patriarchal control over women's bodies and autonomy, positioning female pleasure as a potential threat to social order.

Beyond the control of sexuality, other socio-cultural justifications include the promotion of perceived hygiene, aesthetics, and social integration. Some communities believe that the external female genitalia are "unclean" or "ugly" and that their removal or modification enhances cleanliness and beauty. The practice may also be seen as an initiation rite into womanhood, a crucial step for a girl to be considered a full member of her community and eligible for marriage. In these contexts, girls who are not circumcised may face social ostracism, ridicule, and difficulty finding a husband, creating immense pressure on families to conform to the practice, even if they privately harbor reservations about its safety or ethics. The fear of social exclusion often outweighs concerns about the physical and psychological harm inflicted.

While often mistakenly associated with religious requirements, clitoral circumcision is not

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

mandated by any major religion, including Islam or Christianity. Religious leaders and scholars in many affected countries have publicly condemned the practice, clarifying that it is a cultural tradition, not a religious imperative. However, local religious interpretations or misinterpretations can sometimes be used to justify the practice, further entrenching it within communities. The perpetuation of such practices highlights the profound influence of traditional beliefs, community pressure, and gender norms that prioritize conformity and perceived honor over the health, well-being, and human rights of girls and women.

## 5. Profound Health and Psychological Consequences

Clitoral circumcision, regardless of its specific type or extent, inflicts serious and often lifelong **physical harm** on those subjected to it. Immediately following the procedure, girls experience excruciating pain, severe bleeding that can lead to hemorrhagic shock, and a high risk of infection due to unsterile instruments and environments. Complications such as tetanus, sepsis, and HIV transmission (if instruments are shared) are not uncommon and can be fatal. Long-term physical consequences are pervasive and debilitating, including chronic pain in the pelvic area, recurrent urinary tract infections, difficulties with menstruation, formation of cysts and abscesses, keloids, and damage to surrounding tissues. These conditions can significantly impair daily life and overall health.

The impact on **reproductive health** is particularly severe. Women who have undergone clitoral circumcision often face considerable challenges during childbirth. Scar tissue around the vaginal opening can make labor prolonged and excruciating, increasing the risk of obstetric fistula, severe perineal tears, and postpartum hemorrhage. These complications not only endanger the mother's life but also increase the risk of stillbirth and neonatal death. The need for deinfibulation (surgical opening of the narrowed vaginal orifice) before or during labor is common for women with Type III FGM, adding another layer of trauma and medical intervention. The alterations to genital anatomy can also lead to infertility, further contributing to distress and social stigma.

Beyond the physical realm, the **psychological and mental health** consequences of clitoral circumcision are profound and enduring. Survivors often grapple with anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and other psychological disorders stemming from the traumatic experience. The forced nature of the procedure, often performed on young, unsuspecting girls, constitutes a severe violation of bodily autonomy and trust. This can lead to difficulties in forming intimate relationships, chronic sexual dysfunction, including painful intercourse (dyspareunia) and an inability to experience orgasm (anorgasmia), and a diminished sense of self-worth. The trauma can persist for decades, affecting overall quality of life and requiring specialized psychological support and counseling to mitigate its long-term effects.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

## 6. Legal Prohibitions and International Advocacy

Recognizing the severe harm and human rights violations inherent in the practice, clitoral circumcision is largely **illegal in most countries** today, particularly those with robust legal frameworks against violence against women and children. National legislation prohibiting female genital mutilation has been enacted in many countries across Africa, Europe, North America, and Australia, often carrying severe penalties for perpetrators, including imprisonment. These laws reflect a global consensus that the practice constitutes a form of abuse and a violation of fundamental human rights, including the right to health, bodily integrity, freedom from torture, and non-discrimination. The criminalization aims to deter the practice and protect vulnerable girls from undergoing such procedures.

There is a robust and continuously growing **global movement to ban such barbaric practice**, spearheaded by international organizations, governments, civil society groups, and grassroots activists. The United Nations has been a pivotal force, with bodies like UNICEF, UNFPA, and WHO actively working towards its eradication. The UN General Assembly adopted Resolution 67/146 in 2012, calling for intensified global efforts to eliminate FGM, recognizing it as a violation of human rights that harms the health of millions of girls and women. This resolution urged states to condemn all harmful practices that affect women and girls and to take all necessary measures, including enacting and enforcing legislation, to prohibit FGM.

Beyond legal prohibitions, international advocacy efforts focus on comprehensive approaches, including community engagement, education, and the empowerment of women and girls. These strategies aim to shift social norms, raise awareness about the harms of FGM, and support alternative rites of passage that do not involve mutilation. The goal is to foster a societal change where communities abandon the practice collectively, rather than through coercion. Despite significant progress in raising awareness and reducing prevalence rates in some regions, the global movement continues to face challenges, including clandestine practices, cross-border procedures, and the persistence of deep-seated cultural beliefs, necessitating sustained and collaborative efforts from all stakeholders.

## 7. Rare Medical Circumstances

It is crucial to differentiate clitoral circumcision as a harmful traditional practice from medically necessary surgical interventions on the female genitalia. The source explicitly notes that "clitoral circumcision may only be medically done during rare conditions such as **cancer** and **intersex**." This distinction is paramount, as medically indicated procedures are performed by qualified healthcare professionals to address specific health concerns, with informed consent, and aim to improve a patient's health and well-being, rather than causing harm or violating human rights.

In cases of cancer affecting the clitoris or surrounding genital tissues, surgical removal of part or all

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

of the clitoris (clitoridectomy) may be a necessary component of a broader oncological treatment plan. Such procedures are performed to remove cancerous growths, prevent their spread, and save the patient's life. These interventions are clinically justified, guided by medical necessity, and undertaken only after thorough diagnosis and consideration of all available treatment options, prioritizing the patient's health outcomes. The intent and context of such medical procedures are fundamentally different from non-medical clitoral circumcision.

Similarly, for individuals born with **intersex variations** (formerly known as hermaphroditism), where there may be atypical development of sexual anatomy, surgical interventions might sometimes be considered. However, even in intersex cases, there is an evolving ethical debate, with growing consensus that interventions should be delayed until the individual is old enough to participate in the decision-making process, unless there is an immediate health risk. Early, non-consensual genital surgeries on intersex infants have been heavily criticized for violating bodily autonomy and causing psychological harm. Therefore, while "intersex" is mentioned as a potential medical context, any such intervention must strictly adhere to the highest ethical standards, prioritize the individual's well-being, and respect their right to self-determination, fundamentally distinguishing it from the abusive nature of non-medical clitoral circumcision.

## 8. Ethical Frameworks and Human Rights Implications

Clitoral circumcision stands as a grave violation of fundamental human rights, challenging core ethical principles related to bodily integrity, autonomy, and non-maleficence. The practice is inherently non-consensual, as it is typically performed on minors, often infants or young girls, who are incapable of providing informed consent. This lack of consent directly infringes upon a girl's right to make decisions about her own body, which is a cornerstone of individual autonomy. The procedures inflict severe and lasting pain, injury, and trauma, constituting a clear violation of the right to health and freedom from torture, cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment, as enshrined in numerous international human rights instruments.

Moreover, the practice is a manifestation of profound gender inequality and discrimination. It targets girls and women specifically, often under the guise of cultural tradition, reinforcing patriarchal structures that control female sexuality and diminish women's status in society. This violates the principle of non-discrimination and women's rights to equality, as recognized in instruments like the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). The enduring health consequences, psychological trauma, and sexual dysfunction resulting from clitoral circumcision prevent women from realizing their full potential and enjoying their human rights, including their sexual and reproductive rights.

Ethical debates surrounding clitoral circumcision often involve discussions of cultural relativism versus universal human rights. While some argue that such practices are part of a community's

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

cultural heritage and should be respected, the overwhelming consensus within human rights frameworks is that harmful traditional practices, particularly those that inflict severe physical and psychological damage and violate fundamental human rights, cannot be justified on cultural grounds. The global movement against clitoral circumcision emphasizes that human rights are universal, inalienable, and indivisible, transcending cultural specificities when they entail severe harm and abuse. This position underscores the imperative for concerted global action to protect girls and women from this "barbaric practice" and uphold their inherent dignity and human rights.

## Further Reading

UNICEF Data on Female Genital Mutilation
UN General Assembly Resolution A/RES/67/146: Intensifying global efforts for the elimination of female genital mutilations
UNFPA on Female Genital Mutilation
WHO Fact Sheet on Female Genital Mutilation

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM