Carry-Over Effect (Carryover Effect)

Authored by

mohammad looti

November 16, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *Carry-Over Effect (Carryover Effect)*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=27327

Carry-Over Effect (Carryover Effect)

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Psychology, Research Methods, Experimental Design

1. Core Definition

The **carry-over effect**, frequently termed the **carryover effect**, constitutes a critical methodological flaw that impacts internal validity, particularly within **within-subjects designs** in experimental research. Fundamentally, this effect describes the residual or lingering influence of a previous experimental condition that involuntarily biases a participant's performance or response in a subsequent, different condition. Consequently, the observed effects in the current trial are not solely attributable to the independent variable being tested at that moment but are confounded by the participant's prior experiences.

This phenomenon implies that the effect literally "carries over" from one experimental manipulation to the next. It is most prominent when the same subjects are repeatedly exposed to multiple experimental treatments or conditions over time. The prior exposure generates a cognitive, physiological, or behavioral residue that alters the participant's baseline or reactivity in subsequent trials, thus severely complicating the isolation of the true effect produced by the independent variable under investigation. Mitigating this confounding factor is essential for maintaining the integrity and reliability of scientific findings.

To illustrate, consider an experiment designed to test a new medication's efficacy on memory recall. If participants are asked to memorize an identical list of words--first after receiving a placebo, and then, in a subsequent session, after receiving the active medication--a significant **carry-over effect** is likely introduced. The researcher risks attributing any observed improvement in memory during the medication condition solely to the drug's pharmacological action. However, the improved memorization is often significantly influenced by the fact that the participants had already been exposed to and attempted to recall the exact same list of words during the initial placebo condition. This prior practice, an example of a specific carry-over effect, inflates the results of the second condition, potentially creating a spurious impression of statistical significance or therapeutic effectiveness where the true benefit of the drug is masked by the methodological artifact of repeated exposure.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

While the term **carry-over effect** lacks a singular, identifiable historical origin or inventor, its formal recognition is intrinsically tied to the maturation of **experimental psychology** and the establishment of rigorous **research methodology** during the mid-20th century. As researchers increasingly moved toward complex experimental designs involving repeated measures on human subjects, the necessity for robust control over extraneous variables became paramount. The term itself is functionally descriptive, capturing the essence of an effect that literally transfers its influence from one state or condition into another.

The systematic study of experimental validity, which includes identifying and controlling threats like the carry-over effect, gained substantial momentum through the foundational contributions of statistical pioneers such as R.A. Fisher. His work provided the statistical bedrock for experimental design. Later, influential methodologists like Donald Campbell and Julian Stanley, through their seminal work on internal validity and quasi-experimental designs, formally categorized and defined various confounding variables, implicitly recognizing the **carry-over effect** as a type of **sequence effect** or **order effect** inherent to repeated measures designs.

The evolution of research practice saw the direct development of methodological tools designed explicitly to counteract these sequential influences. The innovation of **counterbalancing** stands out as a key development, directly addressing carry-over effects by systematically varying the order in which experimental conditions are administered to participants. This method ensures that any sequential biases are evenly distributed across all conditions, thereby preventing them from systematically contaminating the results. The formalization and widespread adoption of these control strategies solidified the importance of understanding and mitigating carry-over effects as a cornerstone of reliable and valid scientific inquiry across disciplines Experimental Design.

3. Key Characteristics

The **carry-over effect** presents a multifaceted methodological challenge, manifesting in distinct ways that necessitate tailored mitigation strategies. Recognizing its core characteristics is vital for designing methodologically sound experiments and accurately interpreting the resulting data.

A primary characteristic is the inherent **Dependence on Prior Conditions**. The outcome observed in any given trial is not independent but is inevitably influenced by one or more conditions previously encountered by the participant. This sequential dependency is the defining feature of the "carry-over" concept. Furthermore, these effects are almost exclusively a feature of **Within-Subjects Designs**, or repeated-measures designs, where the same individuals participate in all levels of the independent variable. Conversely, between-subjects designs inherently avoid this issue since different groups are assigned to different conditions.

Crucially, the presence of an unaddressed carry-over effect constitutes a fundamental **Threat to Internal Validity**. When this threat exists, researchers cannot confidently claim that changes in the dependent variable were caused exclusively by the manipulation of the independent variable, as the lingering influence of previous conditions acts as a powerful confounding variable. This necessitates the implementation of rigorous **Methodological Control**, primarily through strategies like counterbalancing, randomization of trial order, or the insertion of sufficient time intervals, known as "washout periods," between conditions.

The manifestations of the carry-over effect are highly varied, falling into several categories:

Practice Effects: This is a positive form of carry-over where participants improve their performance over successive conditions due merely to increased familiarity with the task, the environment, or the procedure.
Fatigue Effects: Conversely, participants may perform worse in later conditions due to sustained effort, boredom, exhaustion, or declining motivation caused by repeated or prolonged task engagement, representing a negative carry-over influence.
Sensitization and Habituation Effects: Prior exposure may either make participants more aware and reactive (**sensitization**) to stimuli in later conditions, or cause them to become desensitized or adapted (**habituation**) to the procedures, leading to altered response baselines.
Contrast Effects: The perception, judgment, or evaluation of a stimulus in the current condition is altered by its conscious or unconscious comparison to a previously encountered stimulus. For instance, a moderately stressful task might feel less stressful if it immediately followed an extremely difficult task.
Interference Effects: Experiencing or learning information in one condition actively hinders the learning, recall, or performance required in a subsequent condition, a common problem in memory and learning studies.
Differential Carry-Over Effects: This complex and problematic manifestation occurs when the magnitude or nature of the effect of condition B depends specifically on which condition immediately preceded it (e.g., A followed by B yields a different outcome than C followed by B). This type of effect is particularly difficult to mitigate using standard partial counterbalancing techniques.

4. Significance and Impact

The importance of recognizing and controlling the **carry-over effect** in experimental research cannot be overstated, as its uncontrolled presence possesses the potential to fundamentally compromise the integrity and usefulness of scientific data. Its most critical impact stems from its capacity to **confound results**, thereby preventing researchers from drawing accurate conclusions regarding cause-and-effect relationships. This represents a direct threat to the study's **internal validity**--the confidence level with which one can attribute changes in the dependent variable solely to the independent variable, excluding all other extraneous factors.

When carry-over effects are neglected, researchers risk making significant inferential errors. For example, a study might erroneously conclude that a specific therapeutic intervention is highly effective, when in fact, the observed positive outcomes are merely a result of practice effects from repeated assessment. Conversely, a genuinely effective treatment might be incorrectly dismissed as inert if its true benefits are obscured by negative influences such as fatigue or interference effects generated by preceding conditions. Such errors can lead to the proliferation of misinformed scientific theories, the development of ineffective or wasteful interventions, and a misallocation of valuable research resources.

Moreover, the proper management of carry-over effects is central to upholding the ethical and methodological integrity of the scientific process. Researchers bear an ethical responsibility to design experiments that minimize bias and maximize the accuracy and reliability of their findings. Studies contaminated by uncontrolled sequential effects are often difficult or impossible to replicate, severely limiting the **generalizability** of their conclusions. The specific sequence of conditions might generate a unique artifact that would not manifest if the conditions were presented differently or in isolation. Consequently, the knowledge of carry-over effects is an essential, foundational component of research methodology education, enabling scientists across diverse fields--from medicine and psychology to marketing and education--to construct robust, credible, and conclusive experiments American Psychological Association, Research Methods.

5. Debates and Criticisms

While the existence and confounding nature of the **carry-over effect** are universally accepted within research methodology, scholarly debates frequently concentrate not on the concept itself, but rather on the practical limitations and efficacy of various mitigation strategies. A key point of contention is the difficulty in achieving complete elimination of these effects, especially in research involving complex physiological or psychological phenomena that are inherently reactive to prior experiences.

A major critical discussion revolves around the effectiveness and feasibility of **counterbalancing**. Theoretically, complete counterbalancing (where every possible order of conditions is presented) perfectly distributes all potential carry-over effects. However, this method quickly becomes unmanageable as the number of conditions increases (N factorial orders). To cope with this, researchers commonly employ partial counterbalancing techniques, such as **Latin squares**. Critics note that while these methods control effectively for **first-order carry-over effects** (the influence of the single immediate preceding condition), they often fail to account for more complex, higher-order effects or the particularly problematic **differential carry-over effects**. This reliance on partial methods can sometimes instill a false confidence in the methodological control achieved, leaving subtle yet influential confounding variables unaddressed.

Further debate concerns the inevitable trade-offs involved in controlling sequential effects. For example, implementing extended **washout periods** (time intervals) between conditions helps dissipate lingering effects, but this significantly increases the time commitment, cost, and complexity of the study, potentially leading to increased participant attrition. Similarly, while opting for a **between-subjects design** completely removes the risk of carry-over effects, it typically sacrifices the statistical power advantages of within-subjects designs and requires a substantially larger sample size. The choice to utilize a within-subjects design inherently accepts the risk of these effects, necessitating rigorous justification and the deployment of the most sophisticated control strategies available.

Finally, some critiques shift the perspective, arguing that in fields like learning theory or developmental science, the influence of prior exposure is not a confound but is actually the core phenomenon under investigation. The methodological challenge then shifts: how does one analytically separate the intended learning effect from an unintended, sequence-dependent carry-over artifact? Addressing this challenge requires highly specialized analytical techniques and careful theoretical framing to discern the genuine impact of the independent variable from a methodological byproduct. This enduring challenge compels researchers to strike a delicate balance between leveraging the efficiency and power of repeated-measures designs and implementing the necessary experimental control to isolate the true effects of interest Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002.

Further Reading

ScienceDirect. (n.d.). Experimental Design.
American Psychological Association. (n.d.). Research Methods in Psychology.
Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Houghton Mifflin.
Field, A. (2018). Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics. SAGE Publications.