

BIVALENCE

Authored by
mohammad looti

November 11, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *BIVALENCE*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=68831>

BIVALENCE

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Logic, Philosophy of Language, Semantics

1. Core Definition

The principle of **Bivalence** is a fundamental concept within classical logic and formal semantics, asserting that every declarative statement, or proposition, must possess exactly one of two possible truth values: **True** (T) or **False** (F). There is no intermediate truth value, and a proposition cannot simultaneously be both true and false. This adherence to a strict dichotomy of truth values forms the bedrock of what is often termed two-valued logic or bivalent logic. Bivalence is a semantic rule, meaning it is a constraint placed upon the interpretation and meaning of linguistic expressions, specifically concerning how propositions relate to facts or states of affairs in the world. It mandates that for any well-formed sentence that asserts a fact, a definite determination of its truth or falsehood must exist, regardless of whether human observers are currently capable of making that determination.

The principle functions as a foundational assumption necessary for the operation of classical truth-conditional semantics. If bivalence is accepted, the meaning of a sentence can often be equated with its truth conditions--that is, the conditions under which the sentence is deemed true. This principle allows logicians to employ truth tables and define logical connectives (such as negation, conjunction, and disjunction) purely based on the binary input states of the component propositions. Without the commitment to bivalence, the simplicity and computational power of classical logic systems, which underpin much of mathematics and modern digital technology, would collapse. It is crucial to distinguish the logical principle of bivalence from its occasional usage in other fields, such as chemistry, where it may refer to the capacity of an atom to form two bonds, although its primary academic significance remains rooted in formal logic.

2. Bivalence vs. The Law of Excluded Middle (LEM)

Although often conflated in general discussions, the principle of **Bivalence** must be carefully distinguished from the Law of Excluded Middle (LEM). Bivalence is a principle of **semantics**, concerning the available truth values a proposition can hold. It states, strictly, that a truth value must be T or F. Conversely, LEM is typically presented as a **logical law** (or a metaphysical claim about reality) formulated syntactically: for any proposition P, the disjunction of P and its negation ($P \vee \neg P$) is always true. In simpler terms, LEM states that either a statement is true, or its negation is true, and there is no middle ground between being true and being false. While the acceptance of bivalence generally implies the acceptance of LEM within a formal system, the reverse is not always the case, particularly when considering specific philosophical interpretations.

The separation becomes apparent when examining systems that reject bivalence. In some non-classical logical frameworks, such as certain paraconsistent logics, a third truth status--often a "truth-value gap" (G)--is introduced, meaning a proposition may be neither true nor false. If a system introduces G, it explicitly violates the principle of bivalence. However, philosophers sometimes debate whether propositions suffering from vagueness or meaninglessness truly adhere to LEM, even if the logical system itself assumes bivalence. Crucially, the rejection of bivalence means denying the fundamental assumption that truth must be binary, whereas the rejection of LEM usually means denying that we can always assert the certainty of P or not-P, often due to epistemic limitations, as seen in intuitionistic approaches to mathematics.

3. Historical and Philosophical Foundations

The philosophical origins of bivalence are deeply embedded in classical philosophy, dating back to **Aristotle**. In works such as *On Interpretation*, Aristotle laid the groundwork for categorical logic, which inherently relies on the idea that propositions are either affirmed or denied. While Aristotle wrestled with complex issues like future contingents (propositions about future events that are not yet determined), his general framework for syllogisms and his analysis of contradiction established the foundation for a binary understanding of truth. This framework was further refined throughout the medieval period, reinforcing the necessity of two truth states for consistent reasoning and deduction, becoming known primarily as the basis for classical logic.

The formalization of bivalence as an explicit principle became paramount during the rise of modern mathematical logic in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, spearheaded by figures like **Gottlob Frege** and **Bertrand Russell**. Their efforts to ground mathematics in logic required a rigorously defined system of truth values. The development of truth tables by **Ludwig Wittgenstein** in the *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus* provided a visual and computational mechanism for demonstrating how complex logical functions depend entirely on the bivalent status of their elementary propositions. The principle of bivalence thus became institutionalized as a fundamental commitment of Classical Propositional Logic, ensuring that every well-formed statement within the language possesses a determinate, binary truth value, a necessity for the definition of validity and soundness in deductive arguments.

4. Key Characteristics of Bivalent Systems

Dichotomy of Truth: The defining characteristic is the restriction of truth assignments to the set {T, F}. This means that the logical space is perfectly partitioned, with no overlap or gap between truth and falsehood. This feature makes bivalent systems highly efficient for computational and formal proofs, as it eliminates ambiguity regarding the logical status of any proposition.

Applicability to All Propositions: Bivalence demands that the principle applies universally to

every meaningful, declarative sentence in the language under consideration. Whether the proposition concerns observable facts ("The chair is red") or abstract mathematical entities ("All primes greater than two are odd"), the principle insists that the proposition must fundamentally be true or false, even if its truth status is unknown or unknowable by us.

Foundation for Truth Functions: Bivalence is essential for defining the standard logical connectives (negation, conjunction, disjunction, material implication, and biconditional) through truth tables. For example, negation ($\neg P$) is defined simply as reversing the truth value of P ; if P is T , $\neg P$ must be F , and vice versa. This clear, non-negotiable relationship between a proposition and its negation is only possible because the system admits no middle ground.

Principle of Non-Contradiction: While related to LEM, the Principle of Non-Contradiction (PNC) states that a proposition P cannot be both true and false ($\neg(P \wedge \neg P)$). Bivalence strongly supports PNC by ensuring that once a truth value (T or F) is assigned, the other value is automatically excluded, maintaining the consistency required for sound logical reasoning.

5. Challenges and Non-Classical Logics

Despite its foundational status, the principle of bivalence is not universally accepted, leading to the development of various non-classical logical systems designed to address propositions that seem to resist a simple T/F assignment. One major challenge comes from **Intuitionistic Logic**, championed by L.E.J. Brouwer and Arend Heyting. Intuitionists hold that mathematical truth is established through constructive proof. For an intuitionist, asserting that P is true requires the existence of a proof for P . If neither a proof for P nor a proof for its negation ($\neg P$) has been found, the proposition is not assigned T or F ; it is simply undecidable. This approach rejects the Law of Excluded Middle and, consequently, undermines the universality of bivalence, particularly concerning infinite sets or unproven theorems.

A second, highly influential challenge comes from the problem of **vagueness** and fuzzy boundaries, encapsulated by the Sorites Paradox (the paradox of the heap). For vague predicates like "tall" or "bald," there exist borderline cases where assigning a definite T or F seems arbitrary or incorrect. To handle this, systems like **Fuzzy Logic** (developed by Lotfi Zadeh) explicitly abandon bivalence, replacing the binary truth set $\{0, 1\}$ with a continuous range of truth degrees between 0 (completely false) and 1 (completely true). In fuzzy logic, a man who is moderately tall might have a truth value of 0.6 for the proposition "The man is tall," directly violating the core tenet of bivalence.

Furthermore, philosophical semantics encounters significant difficulties with **truth-value gaps** arising from referential failure or paradoxes. Propositions containing non-referring terms (e.g., "The present King of France is bald") were analyzed by philosophers like P.F. Strawson as lacking a truth value altogether, rather than being simply false, thereby creating a gap in bivalence. The most

potent challenge, however, is posed by self-referential statements such as the Liar Sentence ("This sentence is false"). If the Liar Sentence is true, it must be false; if it is false, it must be true. Since neither T nor F can be consistently assigned without contradiction, many logicians opt for a third truth value (or a lack of a truth value) to resolve such inconsistencies, thus necessitating a departure from bivalence.

6. Significance in Computing and Formal Systems

The significance of bivalence extends far beyond abstract philosophy, forming the indispensable theoretical foundation for modern digital computing and electrical engineering. The entire architecture of computer hardware relies on **Boolean algebra**, which is a perfect instantiation of a bivalent system. Every operation within a computer chip--from basic logic gates (AND, OR, NOT) to complex processing functions--is implemented via electronic circuits that represent information using only two stable physical states: high voltage (representing True or 1) and low voltage (representing False or 0). The commitment to this strict binary encoding ensures computational reliability and predictability.

In software engineering, bivalence governs conditional programming statements. An "IF-THEN-ELSE" structure must resolve its condition to a simple true or false outcome to determine which branch of code to execute. The speed and deterministic nature of algorithms rely heavily on the fact that any comparison or test within the code will yield one of two possible outcomes, allowing for immediate subsequent action. Any introduction of ambiguity or a third truth status would exponentially complicate circuit design and algorithmic efficiency. Thus, bivalence is not merely a philosophical preference in these fields, but a practical requirement for the stable and efficient functioning of technological systems.

7. Further Reading

Classical Logic

Law of excluded middle

Intuitionistic logic

Sorites paradox

Liar paradox