

BACKWARD DISPLACEMENT

Authored by
mohammad looti

November 9, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *BACKWARD DISPLACEMENT*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES.
Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=65285>

BACKWARD DISPLACEMENT

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Parapsychology; Experimental Psychology (related to Extrasensory Perception testing)

1. Core Definition

Backward displacement is a specific anomalous result observed during experimental testing of clairvoyance or telepathy, typically involving forced-choice tasks such as those utilizing Zener cards. The term describes a pattern of scoring where the participant's call or guess, made during a specific trial (Trial N), consistently matches the target stimulus presented during the immediately preceding trial (Trial N-1), rather than matching the target intended for the current trial. This effect represents a temporal misalignment between the moment the judgment is consciously expressed by the percipient and the specific target event to which the psychic ability purportedly relates.

In standard parapsychological testing, researchers look for hits (direct matches) significantly exceeding the rate expected by chance. When backward displacement occurs, the percipient is scoring hits, but these hits are systematically delayed by one sequence unit. For example, if a participant is attempting to identify a sequence of five symbols (Circle, Square, Star, Wave, Cross), and their calls are (Cross, Circle, Square, Star), a backward displacement pattern would mean their call for Trial 2 (Circle) matched the target for Trial 1 (Circle), their call for Trial 3 (Square) matched the target for Trial 2 (Square), and so on. The consistent presence of this statistically significant, non-random pattern is interpreted by proponents as evidence of a genuine, though temporally displaced, psychic phenomenon.

2. Historical Context and Origins

The concept of displacement emerged early in the history of modern experimental parapsychology, largely stemming from the work conducted by J.B. Rhine and his colleagues at Duke University in the 1930s and 1940s. While Rhine's primary goal was to establish the reality of direct extrasensory perception (ESP) hits, the meticulous statistical analysis of data sequences inevitably revealed non-primary scoring patterns. When participants failed to score significantly above chance on direct hits, researchers began examining the data for secondary or latent effects.

These analyses revealed that while a participant might not guess the current card correctly, they might frequently guess the card that immediately followed or immediately preceded it. These temporal shifts were collectively labeled "displacement effects." Backward displacement specifically was isolated as a distinct phenomenon suggesting a tendency for the psychic perception to lag slightly behind the temporal sequence of the experimental procedure. The recognition of displacement was crucial because it offered a mechanism to account for trials where participants, despite feeling psychically aware or "in sync," failed to achieve high direct scores, yet

produced data that were still statistically non-random.

3. Methodological Framework and Identification

Backward displacement is identified through rigorous post-hoc statistical analysis of forced-choice tests. The most common tool historically utilized was the Zener card deck, consisting of five distinct symbols, leading to a chance probability of 1/5 (20%) for any single trial. Modern experiments often utilize computerized random number generators (RNGs) to present targets, maintaining the forced-choice structure while increasing control over randomization.

The core process involves correlating the participant's sequence of responses (R) with the target sequence (T) at various offsets. A direct hit analysis correlates R(N) with T(N). To detect backward displacement, the analysis correlates R(N) with T(N-1). If the average score derived from the R(N) vs. T(N-1) correlation significantly exceeds the expected chance baseline (typically 20% or lower, depending on the number of targets), backward displacement is said to be present. This methodical approach ensures that the observed effect is not merely an artifact of random fluctuation, but a persistent pattern in the data structure. Researchers often plot scoring rates across multiple displacements (T-2, T-1, T+1, T+2) to identify where the maximum scoring peak occurs.

4. Mechanisms and Interpretation (The Postcognition Hypothesis)

From the parapsychological perspective, the consistent finding of backward displacement is fundamentally interpreted as evidence of a temporal anomaly in ESP, specifically linking the phenomenon to postcognition (sometimes referred to as retrocognition). Postcognition is the purported psychic ability to gain knowledge of past events without using normal sensory channels or existing knowledge.

When backward displacement occurs, the participant's guess about the current target (N) is actually matching the target that was presented and recorded in the immediate past (N-1). This suggests that the psychic mechanism is operating with a short delay relative to the conscious reporting mechanism, effectively registering the target that has just finished being presented. If this mechanism is accepted, it raises profound questions about the nature of time and consciousness within the context of psychic phenomena, indicating that ESP is not necessarily fixed to the immediate present moment of the experiment but can sample adjacent temporal points in the sequence.

5. Statistical Measurement and Significance

Determining the statistical significance of backward displacement is paramount, as the underlying chance expectation remains constant (e.g., 20%). Researchers must demonstrate that the

displacement scores are not simply random noise but represent a genuine deviation from expected probability. This is typically achieved using standard inferential statistics.

The primary method involves calculating the Z-score for the number of observed hits at the displacement position (N-1) and comparing this score against the null hypothesis (that the hits are due solely to chance). A Z-score exceeding a standard threshold (e.g., $Z > 1.96$ for $p < 0.05$, two-tailed) indicates statistical significance. Furthermore, meta-analytic techniques have been employed to aggregate data across numerous independent studies, seeking to confirm if displacement effects persist across varying experimental conditions, providing a stronger collective evidential base for the phenomenon beyond single study results.

6. Comparison with Forward Displacement

Backward displacement is one side of the coin, directly contrasted with its counterpart, Forward Displacement. Forward displacement occurs when the participant's current call (N) consistently matches a target that is scheduled to appear in the future (T+1, T+2, etc.).

Backward Displacement (Postcognition): R(N) correlates significantly with T(N-1). Interpretationally, the percipient is receiving information from the immediate past.

Forward Displacement (Precognition): R(N) correlates significantly with T(N+1). Interpretationally, the percipient is receiving information from the immediate future.

The existence of both forms of displacement suggests that the temporal parameter of ESP is flexible. Researchers have noted that some participants exhibit a consistent tendency toward one form of displacement over the other, while others may switch based on psychological factors such as fatigue, mood, or experimental parameters. Both phenomena are considered latent forms of ESP, meaning they are secondary effects that manifest when the primary goal of direct hitting is unsuccessful or blocked.

7. Skeptical Critiques and Alternative Explanations

Skeptics and critics of parapsychology typically reject the interpretation that backward displacement is evidence of genuine psychic ability (postcognition). They attribute these anomalous results to methodological flaws, statistical artifacts, or normal psychological explanations.

One major critique centers on the "data mining" or "file drawer" problem. Since displacement effects are often found only after the initial hypothesis (direct hits) fails, critics argue that researchers may be engaging in selective reporting, analyzing countless combinations (T-1, T+1, T-2, T+2, etc.) until a statistically significant pattern inevitably appears purely by chance. Once an anomalous pattern is found, it is then reported as a discovery. Furthermore, psychological factors

may play a role; subjects might unconsciously adhere to habitual response patterns (e.g., alternation habits or perseveration) that mimic displacement when aligned with the target sequence structure. Finally, critics note that displacement findings generally suffer from severe issues with reproducibility when independent researchers attempt replication using non-parapsychological controls.

Further Reading

[Parapsychology \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Zener Cards \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Postcognition \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Displacement \(parapsychology\) \(Wikipedia\)](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM