# ANOMIC APHASIA NOMINAL APHASIA, AMNESTIC APRAXIA

Authored by

**mohammad looti**

November 11, 2025

## Amnestic Apraxia

**Primary Disciplinary Field(s):** Neuropsychology, Cognitive Neuroscience, Neurology

## 1. Core Definition and Nomenclature Clarification

Amnestic Apraxia, also frequently referred to as **amnesic apraxia**, is a specific form of acquired motor disorder characterized by a primary inability to execute learned, purposeful movements due to a deficit in the memory or recall of the necessary instructions or procedural sequence. The definition emphasizes that this deficit occurs despite the preservation of elemental motor functions, such as muscle strength, coordination, sensation, and the fundamental capacity to understand the goal of the task. Crucially, the individual retains the physical capability to perform the movements but lacks the internal framework--the mnemonic trace--required to sequence and organize the action accurately. The core issue, therefore, is not a failure of the motor pathways themselves, but a failure in the cognitive planning component rooted in memory retrieval.

The source content explicitly links Amnestic Apraxia to the inability to execute a task because the individual cannot recall the instructions, citing the example of being unable to complete mathematical problems. This highlights the concept's relationship to procedural and working memory systems. While **Apraxia** generally refers to a higher-order motor planning disorder, Amnestic Apraxia is typically situated closer to **Ideational Apraxia**, as both involve a breakdown in the conceptual knowledge required for the action, although AA is specifically memory-dependent rather than knowledge-dependent. Distinguishing AA from other forms of apraxia, such as Ideomotor Apraxia (where the concept is understood but the execution is clumsy or distorted), is vital for precise diagnosis and targeted rehabilitation.

The terminology provided in the source--"ANOMIC APHASIA NOMINAL APHASIA, AMNESTIC APRAXIA"--is highly unusual and potentially misleading in a clinical context. **Aphasia** refers strictly to language disorders, while **Apraxia** refers to motor planning disorders. The conflation suggests either a historical or idiosyncratic use of terms where the inability to name a required action (Anomic Aphasia) was somehow linked to the inability to perform it (Amnestic Apraxia). However, modern neuropsychological practice rigorously separates these two domains, focusing on Amnestic Apraxia as a motor sequencing deficit tied directly to the recall of the action plan, independent of verbal labeling ability. This entry focuses primarily on the well-defined concept of Amnestic Apraxia as described by the operational definition provided.

## 2. Differentiation from Aphasia (Anomic/Nominal)

To maintain clinical clarity, it is essential to delineate Amnestic Apraxia from **Anomic Aphasia**,

which is also known as **Nominal Aphasia**. Anomic Aphasia is a type of fluent aphasia where the most prominent symptom is **anomia**, or severe word-finding difficulty. Patients with anomic aphasia can generally speak fluently and understand language well, but they frequently pause, use circumlocution, or substitute generic terms because they cannot retrieve the specific noun or verb they intend to use. This deficit is purely linguistic and relates to semantic access or lexical retrieval mechanisms, typically associated with damage to the angular gyrus or the temporal-parietal junction of the dominant hemisphere.

The distinction from Amnestic Apraxia is foundational: Aphasia concerns communication, while Apraxia concerns learned movement execution. A patient with severe Anomic Aphasia may be unable to name a hammer, but if asked to demonstrate how to use it, their motor sequence (grip, swing, strike) would likely remain intact, provided they understood the command. Conversely, a patient with Amnestic Apraxia might be able to clearly and fluently state, "I need to hit the nail," (showing intact language), yet when handed the tool, they might fail to orient it correctly or execute the required sequential movement due to the inability to retrieve the procedural memory trace. The failure in apraxia is output-based (motor performance), while the failure in aphasia is symbolic (language processing).

Furthermore, while both conditions often co-occur following dominant hemisphere stroke due to the close proximity of language and motor planning centers (e.g., the Wernicke's Area and parietal association cortices), they must be assessed and treated separately. The simultaneous reference to these terms in the source may stem from the common observation that procedural memory deficits (Apraxia) often overlap with lexical retrieval deficits (Anomia) in diffuse or large-lesion neurological disorders, particularly those affecting the posterior temporo-parietal region, which acts as a nexus for integrating conceptual knowledge, language, and action planning.

## 3. Etiology and Neurological Correlates

Amnestic Apraxia arises from damage to the neural circuits responsible for storing and retrieving **procedural memory**--the unconscious memory system dedicated to skills and habits--and integrating this information with the motor system. The most common etiology is cerebrovascular accident (stroke), particularly ischemic events affecting the posterior circulation, leading to lesions in the parietal lobe or surrounding association areas. Other causes include traumatic brain injury (TBI), cerebral tumors, and neurodegenerative diseases, most notably Alzheimer's disease and Corticobasal Syndrome, where progressive neuronal loss erodes these critical memory-motor interfaces.

Neurologically, the performance of complex learned tasks requires an intricate network involving several key regions. The **left parietal lobe**, specifically the inferior parietal lobule, is crucial for

developing and storing the spatial and temporal representation of skilled actions (the 'praxis system'). This region must communicate effectively with the **premotor cortex** and **supplementary motor area**, which are responsible for generating the final motor commands. In Amnestic Apraxia, the primary site of disruption is hypothesized to be the connectivity between the storage sites of procedural knowledge (often posterior parietal areas) and the mechanisms of working memory and executive function that are needed to sequence and initiate the action plan.

The deficit in recalling instructions, as cited in the primary definition, strongly implicates a failure in the transient retrieval mechanism that holds sequential information online long enough for execution. This mechanism often relies heavily on the frontal-parietal networks associated with **working memory**. Damage compromising the integrity of white matter tracts connecting the conceptual storage areas (where knowledge of 'what to do' resides) with the motor execution areas (where 'how to do it' is translated into movement) results in the clinical presentation of AA. When the task is highly structured and sequential, such as the steps involved in a mathematical calculation or assembling a common household item, the reliance on this memory-based sequencing is paramount, and its failure results in the characteristic inability to complete the task.

## 4. Clinical Presentation and Assessment

The clinical presentation of Amnestic Apraxia is distinct and focuses on the qualitative failure of sequential or tool-mediated actions. Patients will exhibit difficulty in performing tasks that require the retrieval of a complex, previously learned order. Unlike basic motor weakness (paresis), the error is conceptual or sequential. For instance, in tasks requiring object use, the patient might misuse the object (e.g., trying to brush hair with a screwdriver), use the wrong body part as the object (e.g., hitting the table with a fist instead of a hammer), or execute the steps in the wrong order. This reflects the breakdown in accessing the internalized 'script' or set of instructions.

The assessment of Amnestic Apraxia typically involves a standardized battery of tests designed to isolate motor memory and planning from other cognitive deficits. These tests often fall into three categories: 1) **Pantomime to Command** (e.g., "Show me how you use a comb"), 2) **Imitation of Action** (copying the examiner's novel gesture), and 3) **Real Object Use** (performing a task with a physical tool). To specifically identify the amnestic component, the clinician must ensure that the patient can verbally describe the steps accurately, proving their declarative knowledge is intact, yet still fails to execute the movement sequence. The source example of failing easy mathematical problems because instructions cannot be remembered is a perfect illustration of this clinical profile, where the steps of an algorithm, though simple, cannot be held or retrieved sequentially during execution.

A critical diagnostic criterion is the dissociation between automatic and intentional movement.

Patients with Amnestic Apraxia may spontaneously execute a task correctly in an ecological context (e.g., automatically reaching for a cup when thirsty), but fail miserably when explicitly instructed to perform the same task out of context or when required to demonstrate the sequence upon command. This suggests that highly automatic, subcortical circuits remain functional, while the deliberate, cortical systems reliant on conscious procedural memory recall are impaired. Careful neuropsychological assessment must rule out confounding factors such as severe attention deficits, non-apraxic motor weakness, or profound confusion, which can mimic apraxic errors.

## 5. Theoretical Models of Apraxia and Memory

Current theoretical models, largely derived from the work of Heilman and Rothi, categorize the praxis system into a conceptual component (knowing *what* an action is for and *how* to use it) and a production component (translating the concept into movement). Amnestic Apraxia primarily challenges the integrity of the conceptual component, specifically targeting the retrieval mechanisms necessary to activate the stored action knowledge. Within this framework, AA is often considered a variant or precursor to severe **Ideational Apraxia (IA)**, where the conceptual knowledge itself is lost. In AA, the knowledge is merely inaccessible or difficult to retrieve sequentially, rather than structurally destroyed.

One prominent model views the execution of a learned movement as requiring access to a stored library of action representations, or "motor programs." These programs are retrieved and loaded into the working memory system, which then manages the temporal sequencing and spatial scaling of the movement plan before sending it to the primary motor cortex. Amnestic Apraxia reflects a failure in the retrieval step--the 'loading' process--or an instability in the working memory buffer, causing the sequence to degrade before the action is complete. This view aligns with the clinical observation that errors often involve sequencing mistakes (omissions, repetitions, or transpositions of steps) rather than elemental kinematic errors (tremors or incoordination).

The relationship between declarative memory (facts and events) and procedural memory (skills and habits) is also illuminated by AA. Since the patient can often verbally state the instructions (declarative knowledge is preserved), the failure must reside within the non-conscious, implicit memory systems governing motor skills. This distinction supports the theory of multiple, segregated memory systems. The theoretical significance of Amnestic Apraxia lies in its demonstration that complex motor skills require continuous interaction between stored long-term procedural knowledge and transient cognitive control mechanisms, such as attention and working memory, both of which can be compromised by focal brain injury, leading to predictable motor execution failures.

## 6. Management and Prognosis

The management of Amnestic Apraxia is fundamentally rehabilitative, focusing on compensating for the lost capacity rather than fully restoring the damaged neural pathways. The primary goal of intervention, typically led by occupational therapists and physical therapists, is to maximize functional independence in activities of daily living (ADLs). Since the core deficit involves the internal retrieval of sequential instructions, therapeutic strategies emphasize externalization and simplification of the motor plan.

Effective compensatory strategies include the use of environmental cues, external scaffolding, and highly structured routines. Techniques such as chaining and repeated practice are employed, often breaking down complex tasks into very small, manageable steps (forward or backward chaining). Patients may benefit from visual cue cards, written checklists, or technological aids that provide immediate, step-by-step instructions (e.g., setting up a specific order of operation for preparing a meal). The emphasis is on bypassing the compromised internal memory system by making the procedural sequence visible and constant, thereby reducing the reliance on spontaneous memory recall.

The prognosis for Amnestic Apraxia is highly dependent on the underlying etiology. If the apraxia is due to a static, localized event like a non-progressive stroke, spontaneous recovery may occur, particularly in the initial weeks to months post-injury, often requiring intensive therapy to facilitate neuroplastic reorganization. However, if AA is symptomatic of a progressive neurodegenerative disorder, such as Alzheimer's disease, the prognosis is guarded, and interventions will shift towards maintenance of current functional levels and adaptation of the environment to ensure safety and quality of life as the deficit progresses. Long-term management requires continuous reassessment and adjustment of compensatory tools as cognitive demands change.

## 7. Further Reading

Apraxia (Wikipedia)
Anomic Aphasia (Wikipedia)
Ideational Apraxia and Procedural Memory (ScienceDirect)
Neuropsychological Assessment of Apraxia (NIH - PMC)