

ANACLISIS

Authored by
mohammad looti

November 9, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammad looti (2025). *ANACLISIS*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=65215>

ANACLISIS

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Psychoanalysis, Developmental Psychology

1. Core Definition

The term **Anaclisis** (derived from the Greek *anaklinein*, meaning to lean upon or to rest against) refers fundamentally to a state of profound emotional and/or physical dependence upon an external figure for sustenance, comfort, reassurance, and strength. In its most general usage outside of strict psychoanalytic theory, anaclisis describes an overwhelming reliance on another individual--often a parent, caregiver, or intimate partner--to meet fundamental needs that the individual struggles to satisfy independently. This reliance is characterized by a persistent seeking of proximity and support, reflecting an underlying need for co-regulation and external validation to maintain psychological equilibrium. While temporary reliance is a normal feature of human development, particularly in infancy, **anaclisis** denotes a pattern of excessive and prolonged dependency that may be deemed detrimental to the development of autonomous functioning, particularly when persistent into adulthood, interfering with mature relational dynamics and self-sufficiency.

Within the framework of classical psychoanalysis, particularly as developed by **Sigmund Freud**, the definition of anaclisis is narrowed and specified, primarily concerning the establishment of the early erotic drives and object relations. Freud postulated that sexual drives initially adhere or "lean upon" (*Anlehnung*) the vital functions necessary for survival, such as feeding and comfort. Thus, the carnal motivator--the libido--becomes attached to the fulfillment of a separate, non-sexual impulse or intuition associated with life preservation. This concept posits that the satisfaction derived from necessary survival functions (like suckling) becomes infused with sexual energy, ultimately leading to the choice of the original caregiver as the primary love object. This crucial linkage between self-preservative instincts and erotic instincts forms the theoretical bedrock for understanding the later development of love and emotional attachment in Freudian thought.

2. Etymology and Historical Development

The conceptual history of **anaclisis** is inseparable from the evolution of **psychoanalysis** itself. The term was introduced by **Sigmund Freud** in his foundational work concerning the organization of the libido and the formation of sexual object choices, dating back to texts like *Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality* (1905). Freud utilized the Greek root to emphasize the dynamic mechanism of "leaning against" or "propping up," indicating that the emerging erotic impulse does not spontaneously generate its object but rather adopts the object already established by the ego's primary needs for self-preservation. This observation was pivotal because it provided a developmental link between the biological requirements of the infant and the subsequent

complexity of adult love and desire, mapping the transition from fundamental need-satisfaction to sophisticated emotional attachment.

Prior to Freud's formulation, psychological theories often struggled to explain the genesis of love object selection without resorting to purely biological or societal explanations. Freud's concept offered a novel dynamic interaction, suggesting that the human psyche transforms objects associated with basic survival into objects of sexual interest. This historical development positioned **anaclisis** as a foundational concept for understanding how the infant first bridges the gap between the need for survival (nourishment, warmth) and the burgeoning sexual life, setting the stage for subsequent revisions and extensions by later psychoanalytic theorists who focused more explicitly on object relations and early environmental factors, such as Melanie Klein and Donald Winnicott. This leaning mechanism explains the early preference for the caregiver who satisfies immediate bodily needs, which then becomes the prototype for future romantic and attachment figures.

3. The Anaclitic Object Choice

The most significant psychoanalytic application of anaclisis lies in the concept of the **Anaclitic Object Choice** (also referred to as the attachment or leaning type of object choice). This mechanism dictates that the initial selection of a love object is modeled upon the figures who provided essential care during infancy--typically the mother or primary caregiver. The choice is fundamentally determined by the continuation of the need for support, sustenance, and protection that characterized the earliest stages of life. Individuals exhibiting this choice pattern often seek partners who embody the protective, nurturing, and comforting qualities associated with their first caregivers, thereby attempting to replicate the dependent relationship dynamic established in childhood.

According to Freudian theory, the individual who makes an anaclitic choice is fundamentally motivated by a desire to recreate the feeling of security and physiological satisfaction experienced during the period of maximal infantile dependence. This type of object choice is therefore rooted in the need to be loved and cared for, rather than an intense erotic investment in the partner's independent qualities or unique identity. The resulting relationship often mirrors a parent-child dynamic, where one partner assumes the role of the provider and the other the recipient of care. While ubiquitous and necessary in early development, when this pattern dominates adult relationships, it can lead to issues of codependency, excessive neediness, and difficulty in tolerating separation or independence from the object of reliance, manifesting as the unhealthy **anaclisis tendencies** observed in clinical scenarios.

The specific individuals chosen as anaclitic objects are typically those associated with two key functions: the person who feeds (the source of physical survival satisfaction) and the person who protects (the source of emotional security). This duality underscores the dual adherence of the

libido--leaning upon both the alimentary and the protective instincts. Understanding this foundational object choice is critical for diagnosing patterns of relational pathology where attachment needs override mature sexual and emotional autonomy, resulting in persistent dependency structures that resist change without therapeutic intervention.

4. Anaclitic Versus Narcissistic Object Choice

Freud contrasted the **Anaclitic Object Choice** directly with the **Narcissistic Object Choice**, offering two divergent, yet often interwoven, paths for adult love object selection. In the narcissistic choice, the individual selects a partner who resembles their own ego, someone they were, someone they would like to be, or someone who represents a desired or lost part of themselves. This choice is rooted in self-love and the desire to be loved in return, primarily focusing on the validation and maintenance of the ego's ideal image. Conversely, the anaclitic choice is rooted in external dependency and the survival instincts, focusing on being cared for and protected by an external figure, often sacrificing self-interest for the sake of maintaining the supportive bond.

The distinction highlights the primary source of gratification sought in relationships. The narcissistic individual seeks validation, admiration, and recognition of their own idealized self through the object, ensuring the object reflects positively upon them. In contrast, the anaclitic individual seeks reassurance, sustenance, and relief from anxiety through the object, viewing the partner primarily as a source of emotional refueling and stability. Although these two types are theoretical extremes, Freud acknowledged that in reality, every individual exhibits a complex mixture of both narcissistic and anaclitic components in their adult object choices. The degree to which one pattern prevails, however, provides crucial insight into personality organization and potential relational conflicts. A severe predominance of the anaclitic pattern often points toward developmental fixations related to overwhelming early needs for dependence and a failure to fully internalize self-regulatory functions.

5. Clinical Manifestations and Developmental Implications

In clinical practice, **anaclisis** manifests in various forms, ranging from mild attachment challenges to more severe personality presentations characterized by profound reliance on others. Developmentally, the capacity to transition away from primary anaclitic reliance is considered a critical milestone of emotional maturity. Infants are entirely anaclitic, but healthy psychological development involves the gradual internalization of the caregiver's functions, enabling the child to self-soothe, tolerate frustration, and meet their own emotional needs autonomously. When this maturation process is significantly disrupted--perhaps through inconsistent caregiving, early trauma, or neglect--the individual may remain fixated at an earlier stage of dependence, carrying the expectation of complete reliance into adult life.

Key clinical indicators of excessive anaclitic tendencies in adulthood include intense separation

anxiety, an inability to make independent decisions without consulting the dependent object, disproportionate fear of abandonment that supersedes rational judgment, and a history of quickly entering and exiting relationships in a desperate attempt to replace a lost source of security. Such individuals often struggle with core issues of self-esteem and identity formation, as their sense of self is intrinsically linked to their relationship status and the approval derived from the external object. This pervasive need for reliance can severely hamper vocational success and undermine personal autonomy, often leading to recurring crises whenever the dependent object withdraws or threatens separation.

Psychoanalytic treatment often focuses on helping the patient recognize and mourn the unattainable or unhealthy dependency desired from the caregiver, thereby allowing the patient to redirect libidinal energy toward more autonomous object relations and self-reliance. Understanding the patient's anaclitic patterns is essential for managing **transference**, as the patient will frequently attempt to cast the analyst into the role of the nurturing, all-providing caregiver, replicating the original dependent relationship in the therapeutic setting. The successful interpretation and navigation of this transference relationship is critical for resolving the underlying dependent structure and fostering genuine independence.

6. Criticisms and Integration into Later Theories

While foundational, the Freudian concept of **anaclisis** has faced significant revision and criticism, particularly regarding its heavy reliance on the primacy of the sexual drive (libido) as the fundamental mechanism for attachment. Post-Freudian theorists, especially those associated with the British Object Relations School and later ethologically-minded psychologists, argued that the infant's primary motivation is not the satisfaction of sexual drives adhering to survival needs, but rather the inherent, biologically programmed need for relatedness itself. Figures like John Bowlby, the founder of **Attachment Theory**, fundamentally shifted the focus away from the sexual underpinning of anaclisis toward a purely relational and evolutionary perspective.

Bowlby's Attachment Theory effectively absorbed and refined the observational data underlying anaclisis without retaining the Freudian metapsychology. Bowlby posited that attachment is a primary motivational system--an instinctual drive separate from hunger or sexuality--designed through natural selection to ensure proximity to the caregiver for protection and survival. This framework accounts for the observed dependence and the critical role of the primary caregiver more parsimoniously than the concept of the libido "leaning upon" the ego functions. Consequently, modern developmental and clinical psychology rarely uses the term anaclisis, preferring the more precise and empirically supported language of attachment styles (e.g., the anxious-preoccupied attachment style, which shares many clinical features with the anaclitic pattern).

Despite these theoretical shifts, the concept remains historically valuable within classical psychoanalytic contexts and continues to inform discussions about the interplay between early physical needs and later emotional development. Anaclisis established the critical link between early caregiving experiences and adult relational patterns, paving the way for all subsequent theories of attachment and object relations. It highlighted the essential truth that the nature of early reliance profoundly structures the adult capacity for independence and love, marking a major departure from earlier purely biological models of development.

Further Reading

[Sigmund Freud \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Psychoanalysis \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Object Choice \(Wikipedia\)](#)

[Attachment Theory \(Wikipedia\)](#)

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM