ACQUIRED DYSPRAXIA

Authored by

mohammad looti

November 7, 2025

ACQUIRED DYSPRAXIA

Primary Disciplinary Field(s): Neurology, **Neuropsychology**, **Rehabilitation Medicine**

1. Core Definition

Acquired dyspraxia, frequently treated as synonymous with **acquired apraxia** in clinical contexts, refers to a specific neurological deficit marked by the inability to perform purposeful, previously learned motor tasks, despite the preservation of primary motor strength, sensory functions, comprehension, and willingness to comply. The critical defining factor of this condition, derived from the source definition, is the **forfeiture** of a formerly attained capacity to carry out coordinated movements. It is an impairment of the higher cognitive processes responsible for motor planning and sequencing, rather than a deficit in the execution of the movement itself.

This syndrome arises specifically following damage to the central nervous system structures crucial for praxis--the ability to mentally conceptualize, plan, and execute skilled actions. The impairment is localized to the neural networks that link the cognitive idea of movement to the motor commands required to produce it. Because the patient's primary muscles and pathways remain functional, the difficulty manifests as awkwardness, sequencing errors, or the inability to initiate the desired action upon command, proving that the underlying problem is one of motor programming rather than muscle weakness (paresis) or incoordination (ataxia).

Acquired dyspraxia is commonly observed in patients who have endured significant neurological insults, most notably **strokes**, traumatic brain injury (TBI), or specific neurodegenerative diseases. The resulting disruption to these delicate pathways profoundly impacts the individual's functional independence, necessitating targeted intervention strategies in neurorehabilitation to address the lost capacity for skilled movement.

2. Conceptual Relationship and Historical Development

The concept of acquired dyspraxia is historically rooted in the study of apraxia. The German neurologist Karl Liepmann provided the foundational framework for understanding apraxia in the early 20th century, distinguishing it as a cognitive disorder of movement separate from paralysis or sensory deficits. Liepmann defined apraxia as a failure of the "motor formula" or the mental blueprint necessary for action. Modern usage often differentiates between apraxia (a total loss, often associated with severe focal lesions) and dyspraxia (a partial impairment or difficulty in execution), although the terms frequently overlap when describing the adult-onset, post-lesional condition.

The specific emphasis on the term "acquired" serves a crucial classificatory purpose: it separates the condition from Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD), which is lifelong and congenital.

Acquired dyspraxia, by contrast, implies an individual possessed normal motor programming skills that were subsequently lost due to an external or internal pathological event impacting the brain. This distinction is vital for both diagnosis and prognosis, as the therapeutic approach for regaining lost skills in the acquired form differs significantly from the compensatory teaching strategies used in the developmental form.

Contemporary understanding, heavily influenced by functional neuroanatomy, recognizes that the execution of skilled movements requires the successful interaction of multiple cortical and subcortical regions. Damage to the left hemisphere, particularly the inferior parietal lobe (a key storage site for movement representations) and its connections to the premotor and supplementary motor areas in the frontal lobe, is the classic substrate for most forms of acquired dyspraxia, underpinning the loss of formerly attained motor competency.

3. Key Etiological Factors

The etiology of acquired dyspraxia is diverse but uniformly involves damage to the neuroanatomical substrate of praxis. The most prevalent cause is vascular damage, commonly manifesting as ischemic or hemorrhagic strokes. Strokes affecting the middle cerebral artery territory, which supplies critical regions of the dominant parietal and frontal lobes, frequently result in significant apraxic symptoms. The abrupt onset of the neurological insult immediately disrupts the functional integrity of the established motor planning circuits, leading to the sudden forfeiture of coordinated movements.

In addition to acute events, acquired dyspraxia is also a hallmark symptom of several progressive neurological diseases. Neurodegenerative conditions such as Corticobasal Degeneration (CBD), Progressive Supranuclear Palsy (PSP), and certain variants of **Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD)** cause a gradual, irreversible neuronal loss in the cortical and subcortical areas responsible for skilled action. In these cases, the dyspraxia develops slowly, mirroring the gradual atrophy of the brain tissue, often starting subtly with difficulty in performing complex, sequential actions before progressing to simpler, everyday tasks.

Other less common but significant causes include severe **traumatic brain injury** (TBI), particularly closed head injuries resulting in diffuse axonal injury or focal contusions in motor planning areas, and space-occupying lesions such as tumors or abscesses that compress or destroy the relevant cortical pathways. Regardless of the underlying pathology, the common mechanism is the structural destruction or functional disconnection of the neural networks required to transform a motor intention into a sequenced, appropriate physical action.

4. Clinical Types of Acquired Dyspraxia

Ideomotor Apraxia (IMA): This is the most common form. The patient retains the conceptual

knowledge of the action (the idea or purpose is intact) but fails to execute the movement correctly. Errors typically involve spatial deficits (incorrect hand shape or orientation) or temporal errors (incorrect speed or sequencing). IMA often impairs the ability to mimic gestures or perform actions on verbal command, particularly affecting the limbs contralateral to the dominant hemisphere lesion.

Ideational Apraxia (IDA): A more profound conceptual deficit. The patient has lost the knowledge of the goal and the sequence of steps required for a complex action, particularly involving tools. They may misuse objects (e.g., trying to drink from the wrong end of a toothbrush) or omit critical steps in a series (e.g., attempting to light a cigarette before placing it in the mouth). This type reflects a breakdown in the conceptual formulation of the action.

Buccofacial (Oral) Apraxia: Involves difficulty performing purposeful movements of the face, tongue, lips, pharynx, and larynx on command (e.g., whistling, coughing, smiling). Importantly, these same movements can often be performed spontaneously in an emotional context. This type is frequently associated with lesions near the speech motor areas and can co-occur with non-fluent aphasia.

Limb-kinetic Apraxia (LKA): Characterized by difficulty in making fine, precise, independent movements of the fingers and hands. It manifests as a lack of dexterity and clumsiness, often resembling mild weakness, but is distinguished by the fact that gross strength and range of motion are preserved. LKA is often associated with lesions near the premotor cortex.

5. Impact on Functional Independence

The functional implications of acquired dyspraxia are severe, often determining the level of assistance required for activities of daily living (ADLs). Because praxis underlies virtually every skilled interaction with the environment, its loss drastically reduces an individual's ability to perform self-care tasks. Simple sequential activities, such as showering, dressing, preparing food, or manipulating household tools, become monumental challenges.

For instance, a patient with ideomotor apraxia may be unable to sequence the steps required to don a shirt (e.g., putting an arm through the neck hole), while a patient with ideational apraxia may be unable to understand the correct order of using tools needed to prepare a simple meal. This dependence extends beyond physical acts to social interactions, as the inability to perform conventional gestures (e.g., waving goodbye or nodding agreement) can impair communication and social integration.

The presence and severity of acquired dyspraxia following a neurological event like a stroke are powerful negative predictors of long-term functional recovery. Rehabilitation planning must recognize that merely restoring muscle strength is insufficient; retraining the higher-order cognitive systems responsible for motor planning is paramount to achieving meaningful functional independence and improving the quality of life for the patient and their caregivers.

6. Assessment and Diagnostic Protocols

The rigorous diagnosis of acquired dyspraxia necessitates a careful process of exclusion and differential diagnosis to ensure that the motor deficit is not attributable to primary sensory loss, weakness, ataxia, tremor, or severe cognitive impairment (such as profound aphasia preventing the comprehension of commands).

Standardized neuropsychological assessments employ structured tasks designed to elicit specific types of apraxic errors. These protocols typically involve testing movements under four conditions: 1) execution upon verbal command (e.g., "Show me how you would hammer a nail"); 2) imitation of the examiner's movement; 3) execution with the actual object (transitive action); and 4) spontaneous, contextualized movement. The discrepancy between an individual's ability to perform an action spontaneously versus performing it on command is a classic marker of ideomotor apraxia.

Critical observational points during assessment include the presence of body-part-as-object errors (using the hand as a hammer), spatial configuration errors (incorrect trajectory or positioning), or perseveration (repetition of a previous, irrelevant action). The localization of the causative lesion is then typically confirmed through neuroimaging techniques, such as MRI, which allow clinicians to correlate the specific type of apraxia observed with damage to known motor planning circuitry.

7. Treatment and Rehabilitation Strategies

Rehabilitation for acquired dyspraxia focuses on reorganizing damaged motor planning circuits and teaching compensatory strategies. Due to the nature of the cognitive deficit, traditional motor therapies focused on strength are insufficient; specialized approaches are required.

One effective strategy is **Errorless Learning**, where the therapist prevents the patient from making errors during practice, aiming to reinforce only the correct motor sequence. This contrasts with trial-and-error learning, which can solidify incorrect movement patterns in apraxic patients. Other approaches include **Gesture Therapy**, which uses intensive training of meaningful gestures through verbal, visual, and tactile cues to facilitate the retrieval and execution of motor programs. Repetitive practice, particularly involving meaningful, ecologically relevant tasks (e.g., making a sandwich), is prioritized to enhance functional transfer.

Furthermore, cognitive rehabilitation often incorporates external aids and compensatory methods, such as utilizing sequencing cards or visual charts that break down complex tasks into manageable steps. While complete recovery of all lost motor capacity is challenging, particularly in severe ideational apraxia, intensive and tailored rehabilitation can significantly enhance the patient's remaining functional ability and reduce dependence on others, thereby mitigating the long-term impact of this debilitating acquired condition.

Further Reading

Apraxia - Wikipedia
Acquired Apraxia: Clinical Presentation and Rehabilitation - NIH/PMC
Stroke Information - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) - Alzheimer's Association