

# What's with the different formulas for kurtosis?

Authored by  
**stats writer**

July 1, 2024

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2024). *What's with the different formulas for kurtosis?*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=163369>

Kurtosis is a statistical measure used to describe the shape of a distribution. It measures the degree to which the data is clustered around the mean and how much it deviates from a normal distribution. However, there are different formulas for calculating kurtosis, which can cause confusion among researchers and statisticians.

One reason for the different formulas is that there are various ways to define and measure the degree of "peakedness" or "flatness" of a distribution. This has led to the development of different kurtosis formulas, each with its own advantages and limitations. Some formulas, such as Pearson's formula, are based on the fourth moment of the distribution, while others, like Fisher's formula, use the third and fourth moments.

Additionally, the choice of formula may also depend on the type of data being analyzed and the purpose of the study. For example, some formulas are more suitable for symmetric distributions, while others are better for skewed distributions.

It is important for researchers to carefully consider which kurtosis formula is most appropriate for their specific data and research objectives. While the different formulas may provide varying results, they all serve the purpose of measuring the shape of a distribution and can be useful in understanding the characteristics of a dataset.

## **FAQ: What's with the different formulas for kurtosis?**

**In describing the shape statistical distributions kurtosis refers to the "tailedness" of a distribution.**

**Different statistical packages compute somewhat different values for kurtosis. What are the different formulas used and which packages use which formula?**

**We will begin by defining two different sums of powered deviation scores. The first one,  $s^2$ , is the sum of squared deviation scores while  $s^4$  is the**

**sum of deviation scores raised to the fourth power.**

$$s^2 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^2$$

$$s^4 = \sum (x - \bar{x})^4$$

**Next, we will define  $m_2$  to be the second moment about the mean of  $x$  and  $m_4$  to be the fourth moment. Additionally,  $V(x)$  will be the unbiased estimate of the population variance.**

$$m_2 = \frac{s^2}{n}$$

$$m_4 = \frac{s^4}{n}$$

$$V(x) = \frac{s^2}{n-1}$$

**Now we can go ahead and start looking at some formulas for kurtosis. The first formula is one that can be found in many statistics books including Snedecor and Cochran (1967).**

**It is used by SAS in `proc means` when specifying the option**

`vardef=n`. This formula

is the one most commonly found in general statistics texts. With this definition a perfect normal distribution would have a kurtosis of zero.

$$[1] \textit{kurtosis} = \frac{m_4}{m_2^2} - 3$$

The second formula is the one used by Stata with the `summarize` command. This definition of kurtosis can be found in Bock (1975). The only difference between formula 1 and formula 2 is the -3 in formula 1. Thus, with this formula a perfect normal distribution would have a kurtosis of three.

$$[2] \textit{kurtosis} = \frac{m_4}{m_2^2}$$

The third formula, below, can be found in Sheskin (2000) and is used by SPSS and SAS `proc means` when specifying the option `vardef=df` or by default if the `vardef` option is omitted. This formula uses the unbiased estimates of variance and of

the fourth moment about the mean. The expected value for kurtosis with a normal distribution is zero.

$$[3]kurtosis = \frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} \left( \frac{s^4}{V(x)^2} \right) - 3 \frac{(n-1)^2}{(n-2)(n-3)}$$

## Examples

### Formula 1 -- SAS

```
data test;
input x;
cards;
1987
1987
1991
1992
1992
1992
1992
1993
1994
1994
1995
;
run;
```

```
proc means data=test kurtosis vardef=n;
```

```
run;
```

**Analysis Variable : x**

## Kurtosis

-----

**-0.2320107**

-----

## Formula 2 -- Stata

```
input x
1987
1987
1991
1992
1992
1992
1992
1993
1994
1994
1995
end
```

**summ x, detail**

**x**

-----

### Percentiles Smallest

**1% 1987 1987**

**5% 1987 1987**

**10% 1987 1991 Obs 11**

**25% 1991 1992 Sum of Wgt. 11**

**50% 1992 Mean 1991.727**

**Largest Std. Dev. 2.611165**

**75% 1994 1993**

**90% 1994 1994 Variance 6.818182**

**95% 1995 1994 Skewness -.8895014**

**99% 1995 1995 Kurtosis 2.767989**

### Formula 3 -- SAS

```
data test;  
input x;  
cards;  
1987  
1987  
1991  
1992  
1992  
1992  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1994  
1995  
;  
run;
```

```
proc means data=test kurtosis vardef=df;  
run;
```

**Analysis Variable : x**

**Kurtosis**

-----  
**0.4466489**  
-----

```
proc means data=test kurtosis;  
run;
```

**Analysis Variable : x**

**Kurtosis**

-----  
**0.4466489**  
-----

**Formula 3 -- SPSS**

```
data list list / yr.  
begin data.  
1987  
1987  
1991  
1992  
1992  
1992  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1994  
1995  
end data.
```

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Kurtosis	
	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error
yr	11	.447	1.279
Valid N (listwise)	11		

**desc /var=all /stat=kurtosis.**

## References

**Bock, R.D. (1975) Multivariate Statistical Methods in Behavioral Research.**

**New York: McGraw-Hill.**

**Joanest, D.N. and Gill, C.A. (1998) Comparing measures of sample skewness and kurtosis.**

**The Statistician, 47, pp 183-189.**

**Sheskin, D.J. (2000) Handbook of Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures, Second Edition. Boca Raton, Florida: Chapman & Hall/CRC.**

**Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1967) Statistical Methods,**

**Sixth Edition. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press.**

**Westfall, P. (2014) Kurtosis as Peakedness, 1905 - 2014. R.I.P. *American Statistician*, 68(3): 191-195.**