

What is the significance of One-Way MANOVA in the analysis of multivariate data?

Authored by
stats writer

June 30, 2024

RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2024). *What is the significance of One-Way MANOVA in the analysis of multivariate data?*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=160830>

One-Way MANOVA (Multivariate Analysis of Variance) is a statistical technique used to analyze multivariate data, which consists of multiple dependent variables and one independent variable. The significance of One-Way MANOVA lies in its ability to assess the impact of the independent variable on the overall pattern of the dependent variables. This allows researchers to determine whether there are significant differences among the dependent variables based on the independent variable, providing valuable insights into the relationship between the variables. Additionally, One-Way MANOVA helps to reduce the likelihood of Type I errors (false positives) that can occur when analyzing multiple dependent variables separately. Overall, One-Way MANOVA is an essential tool in the analysis of multivariate data, providing a comprehensive and reliable approach to understanding the relationship between variables.

One-Way MANOVA | SPSS Annotated Output

This page shows an example of multivariate analysis of variance (manova) in SPSS with footnotes explaining the output. The data used in this example are from the following experiment.

A researcher randomly assigns 33 subjects to one of three groups. The first group receives technical dietary information interactively from an on-line website. Group 2 receives the same information from a nurse practitioner, while group 3 receives the information from a video tape made by the same nurse practitioner. Each subject then made three ratings:

difficulty, usefulness, and importance of the information in the presentation. The researcher looks at three different ratings of the presentation (difficulty, usefulness and importance) to determine if there is a difference in the modes of presentation. In particular, the researcher is interested in whether the interactive website is superior because that is the most cost-effective way of delivering the information. In the dataset, the ratings are presented in the variables useful, difficulty and importance. The variable group indicates the group to which a subject was assigned.

We are interested in how the variability in the three ratings can be explained by a subject's group. Group is a categorical variable with three possible values: 1, 2 or 3. Because we have multiple dependent variables that cannot be combined, we will choose to use manova. Our null hypothesis in this analysis is that a subject's group has no effect on

any of the three different ratings, and we can test this hypothesis on the dataset, manova.sav.

GET FILE='C:\temp\manova.sav'.

We can start by examining the three outcome variables.

DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=useful difficulty importance.

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
USEFUL	33	11.90	24.30	16.3303	3.29246
DIFFICULTY	33	2.40	10.25	5.7152	2.01760
IMPORTANCE	33	.20	18.80	6.4758	3.98513
Valid N (listwise)	33				

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=group.

Statistics

GROUP		
N	Valid	33
	Missing	0

GROUP

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	11	33.3	33.3	33.3
	2.00	11	33.3	33.3	66.7
	3.00	11	33.3	33.3	100.0
	Total	33	100.0	100.0	

MEANS TABLES=useful difficulty importance BY group.

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Included		Excluded		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
USEFUL * GROUP	33	100.0%	0	.0%	33	100.0%
DIFFICULTY * GROUP	33	100.0%	0	.0%	33	100.0%
IMPORTANCE * GROUP	33	100.0%	0	.0%	33	100.0%

Report

GROUP		USEFUL	DIFFICULTY	IMPORTANCE
1.00	Mean	18.1182	6.1909	8.6818
	N	11	11	11
	Std. Deviation	3.90380	1.89971	4.86309
2.00	Mean	15.5273	5.5818	5.1091
	N	11	11	11
	Std. Deviation	2.07562	2.43426	2.53119
3.00	Mean	15.3455	5.3727	5.6364
	N	11	11	11
	Std. Deviation	3.13827	1.75903	3.54691
Total	Mean	16.3303	5.7152	6.4758
	N	33	33	33
	Std. Deviation	3.29246	2.01760	3.98513

Next, we can enter our manova command. In SPSS,

manova can be conducted through the generalized linear model function, GLM. In the manova command, we first list the outcome variables, then indicate any categorical factors after "by" and any covariates after "with". Here, group is a categorical factor. We must also indicate the lowest and highest values found in group. We are also asking SPSS to print the eigenvalues generated. These will be useful in seeing how the test statistics are calculated.

```
manova useful difficulty importance by group(1,3)
/print=sig(eigen).
```

The default error term in MANOVA has been changed from WITHIN CELLS to WITHIN+RESIDUAL. Note that these are the same for all full factorial designs.

******* Analysis of Variance *******

33 cases accepted.

0 cases rejected because of out-of-range factor values.

0 cases rejected because of missing data.

3 non-empty cells.

1 design will be processed.

******* Analysis of Variance -- design 1 *******

EFFECT .. GROUP

Multivariate Tests of Significance (S = 2, M = 0, N = 13)

Test Name	Value	Approx. F	Hypoth. DF	Error DF	Sig. of F
------------------	--------------	------------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------------

Pillais	.47667	3.02483	6.00	58.00	.012
----------------	---------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	-------------

Hotellings	.89723	4.03753	6.00	54.00	.002
-------------------	---------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	-------------

Wilks	.52579	3.53823	6.00	56.00	.005
--------------	---------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	-------------

Roys	.47146				
-------------	---------------	--	--	--	--

Note.. F statistic for WILKS' Lambda is exact.

Eigenvalues and Canonical Correlations

Root No. Eigenvalue Pct. Cum. Pct. Canon Cor.

1 .892 99.416 99.416 .687

2 .005 .584 100.000 .072

EFFECT .. GROUP (Cont.)

Univariate F-tests with (2,30) D. F.

Variable Hypoth. SS Error SS Hypoth. MS Error MS F
Sig. of F

USEFUL 52.92424 293.96544 26.46212 9.79885 2.70053
.083

DIFFICUL 3.97515 126.28728 1.98758 4.20958 .47216
.628

IMPORTAN 81.82969 426.37090 40.91485 14.21236
2.87882 .072

Abbreviated Extended
Name Name

DIFFICUL DIFFICULTY IMPORTAN IMPORTANCE

Manova Output

The default error term in MANOVA has been changed from WITHIN CELLS to WITHIN+RESIDUAL. Note that these are the same for all full factorial designs.

***** Analysis of Variance *****

33 cases accepted.

0 cases rejected because of out-of-range factor values.

0 cases rejected because of missing data.

3 non-empty cells.

1 design will be processed.a

***** Analysis of Variance -- design 1 *****

*

EFFECTb .. GROUP**Multivariate Tests of Significance (S = 2, M = 0, N = 13)**

**Test Name Valuec Approx. Fd Hypoth. DFe Error DFf
Sig. of Fg**

Pillaish .47667 3.02483 6.00 58.00 .012

Hotellingsi .89723 4.03753 6.00 54.00 .002

Wilksj .52579 3.53823 6.00 56.00 .005

Roysk .47146

Note.. F statistic for WILKS' Lambda is exact.l

Eigenvalues and Canonical Correlationsm

Root No. Eigenvalue Pct. Cum. Pct. Canon Cor.

1 .892 99.416 99.416 .687

2 .005 .584 100.000 .072

EFFECT .. GROUP (Cont.)

Univariate F-tests with (2,30) D. F.n

**Variable Hypoth. SS Error SS Hypoth. MS Error MS F
Sig. of F**

**USEFUL 52.92424 293.96544 26.46212 9.79885 2.70053
 .083
 DIFFICUL 3.97515 126.28728 1.98758 4.20958 .47216
 .628
 IMPORTAN 81.82969 426.37090 40.91485 14.21236
 2.87882 .072**

**Abbreviated Extended
 Name Name**

**DIFFICUL DIFFICULTY
 IMPORTAN IMPORTANCE**

a.

Case summary

- This provides counts of the observations to be included in the manova and the counts of observations to be dropped due to missing data or data that falls out-of-range. For example, a record where the value for group is 4, after we have specified that the maximum value for group is

3, would be considered out-of-range.

b. Effect - This indicates the predictor variable in question. In our model, we are looking at the effect of group.

c. Value - This is the test statistic for the given effect and multivariate statistic listed in the prior column. For each predictor variable, SPSS calculates four test statistics. All of these test statistics are calculated using the eigenvalues of the model (see superscript m). See superscripts h, i, j and k for explanations of each of the tests.

d. Approx. F - This is the approximate F statistic for the given effect and test statistic.

e. Hypoth. DF - This is the number of degrees of freedom in the model.

f. Error DF - This is the number of degrees of freedom associated with the model errors. There are instances in manova when the degrees of freedom may be a non-integer.

g. Sig.of F - This is the p-value associated with the F statistic and the hypothesis and error degrees of freedom of a given effect and test statistic. The null hypothesis that a given predictor has no effect on either of the outcomes is evaluated with regard to this p-value. For a given alpha level, if the p-value is less than alpha, the null hypothesis is rejected.

If not, then we fail to reject the null hypothesis. In this example, we reject the null hypothesis that group has no effect on the three different ratings at alpha level .05 because the p-values are all less than .05.

h. Pillais - This is Pillai's Trace, one of the four multivariate criteria test statistics used in manova.

We can calculate Pillai's trace using the generated eigenvalues (see superscript m). Divide each eigenvalue by $(1 + \text{the eigenvalue})$, then sum these ratios. So in this example, you would first calculate $0.89198790/(1+0.89198790) = 0.471455394$, $0.00524207/(1+0.00524207) = 0.005214734$, and $0/(1+0)=0$. When these are added, we arrive at Pillai's trace: $(0.471455394 + 0.005214734 + 0) = .47667$.

i. Hotellings - This is Lawley-Hotelling's Trace. It is very similar to Pillai's Trace. It is the sum of the eigenvalues (see superscript m) and is a direct generalization of the F statistic in ANOVA. We can calculate the Hotelling-Lawley Trace by summing the characteristic roots listed in the output: $0.8919879 + 0.00524207 + 0 = 0.89723$.

j. Wilks - This is Wilk's Lambda. This can be interpreted as the proportion of the variance in the outcomes that is not explained by an

effect. To calculate Wilks' Lambda, for each eigenvalue, calculate $1/(1 + \text{the eigenvalue})$, then find the

product of these ratios. So in this example, you would first calculate

$1/(1+0.8919879) = 0.5285446$, $1/(1+0.00524207) = 0.9947853$, and $1/(1+0)=1$. Then multiply $0.5285446 * 0.9947853 * 1 = 0.52579$.

k. Roys - This is Roy's Largest Root. Note there are two definitions of Roy's Largest Root depending on whether you use SPSS MANOVA or SPSS GLM. In MANOVA, the calculation is $0.8919879/(1+0.8919879) = 0.4714544$. In GLM, Roy's Largest root is defined as the largest eigenvalue, which is 0.8919879. Based on this definition, Roy's Largest Root can behave differently from the other three test statistics. In instances where the other three are not significant and Roy's is significant, the effect should be considered not significant. You can reference the following article for a detailed description of this discrepancy:

Kuhfeld, W. F. (1986). A note on Roy's largest root. *Psychometrika*, 51(3), 479-481.

l. Note - This indicates that the F statistic for Wilk's Lambda was calculated exactly. For the other test statistics, the F values are approximate (as indicated by the column heading).

m. Eigenvalues and Canonical Correlations - This section of output provides the eigenvalues from the product of the sum-of-squares matrix of the model and the sum-of-squares matrix of the errors. There is one eigenvalue for each of the three eigenvectors of the product of the model sum of squares matrix and the error sum of squares matrix, a 3x3 matrix.

Because only two are listed here, we can assume the third eigenvalue is zero.

These values can be used to calculate the four multivariate test statistics.

n. Univariate F-tests - The manova procedure provides both univariate

and multivariate output. This section of output provides summarized output from a one-way anova for each of the outcomes in the manova. Each row corresponds to a different one-way anova, one for each dependent variable in the manova. While the manova tested a single hypothesis, each line in this output corresponds to a test of a different hypothesis. Generally, if your manova suggests that an effect is significant, you would expect at least one of these one-way anova tests to indicate that the effect is significant on a single outcome.