

What is the process of conducting Canonical Correlation Analysis in SAS for data analysis?

Authored by
stats writer

June 29, 2024

RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2024). *What is the process of conducting Canonical Correlation Analysis in SAS for data analysis?*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=158799>

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) is a statistical method used for analyzing the relationship between two sets of continuous variables. In SAS, the process of conducting CCA involves several steps. First, the data must be organized into two separate datasets, one for each set of variables. Next, the PROC CANCORR procedure is used to perform the analysis and generate the canonical correlation coefficients and canonical variates. The results can be interpreted using various output options, such as scatter plots, correlation matrices, and significance tests. It is important to check for assumptions and potential issues, such as multicollinearity, before drawing conclusions from the results. Overall, the process of conducting CCA in SAS involves proper data preparation, using the appropriate procedure, and careful interpretation of the output to understand the relationship between the two sets of variables.

Canonical Correlation Analysis | SAS Data Analysis Examples

Examples of Canonical Correlation Analysis

Version info: Code for this page was tested in SAS 9.3.

Canonical correlation analysis is used to identify and measure the associations among two sets of variables.

Canonical correlation is appropriate in the same situations where multiple regression would be, but where there are multiple intercorrelated outcome variables. Canonical correlation analysis determines a set of canonical variates, orthogonal linear combinations of the variables within each set that best

explain the variability both within and between sets.

Please Note: The purpose of this page is to show how to use various data analysis commands.

It does not cover all aspects of the research process which researchers are expected to do. In particular, it does not cover data cleaning and checking, verification of assumptions, model diagnostics and potential follow-up analyses.

Examples of canonical correlation analysis

Example 1. A researcher has collected data on three psychological variables, four academic variables (standardized test scores) and gender for 600 college freshman. She is interested in how the set of psychological variables relates to the academic variables and gender. In particular, the researcher is interested in how many dimensions (canonical variables) are necessary to understand the association between the two sets of variables.

Example 2. A researcher is interested in exploring associations among factors from two multidimensional

personality tests, the MMPI and the NEO. She is interested in what dimensions are common between the tests and how much variance is shared between them. She is specifically interested in finding whether the neuroticism dimension from the NEO can account for a substantial amount of shared variance between the two tests.

Description of the Data

Let's pursue Example 1 from above.

We have included the data file, which can be obtained by clicking on [mmreg.sas7bdat](#).

The dataset has 600 observations on eight variables.

The psychological variables are locus of control, self-concept and

motivation. The academic variables are standardized tests in

reading, writing, math and science. Additionally, the variable female is a zero-one indicator variable with the one indicating a female student.

Let's look at the data.

```
proc means data=mylib.mmreg;
run;
```

The MEANS Procedure

Variable	Label	N	Mean	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum
ID		600	300.5000000	173.3493582	1.0000000	600.0000000
LOCUS_OF_CONTROL	locus of control	600	0.0965333	0.6702799	-2.2300000	1.3600000
SELF_CONCEPT	self-concept	600	0.0049167	0.7055125	-2.6199999	1.1900001
MOTIVATION	motivation	600	0.6608333	0.3427294	0.0000000	1.0000000
READ	reading score	600	51.9018334	10.1029830	28.2999992	76.0000000
WRITE	writing score	600	52.3848333	9.7264550	25.5000000	67.0999985
MATH	math score	600	51.8490000	9.4147363	31.7999992	75.5000000
SCIENCE	science score	600	51.7633332	9.7061789		

26.0000000 74.1999969

FEMALE 600 0.5450000 0.4983864 0 1.0000000

```
-----
proc freq data=mylib.mmreg;
table female;
run;
```

The FREQ Procedure

Cumulative Cumulative

FEMALE Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

```
-----
0 273 45.50 273 45.50
1 327 54.50 600 100.00
```

We did not include correlations among the variables at this point because we will get them later as part of the canonical correlation analysis.

Analysis methods you might consider

Before we show how you can analyze this with a canonical correlation analysis, let's

consider some other methods that you might use.

Canonical correlation analysis

Due to the length of the output, we will be making comments in several places along the way.

```
proc cancorr corr data=mylib.mmreg;  
var locus_of_control self_concept motivation;  
with read write math science female;  
run;
```

The corr option on the proc cancorr statement produces correlations within and between the two sets of variables are given below.

The CANCORR Procedure

Correlations Among the Original Variables

Correlations Among the VAR Variables

LOCUS_OF_

CONTROL SELF_CONCEPT MOTIVATION

LOCUS_OF_CONTROL 1.0000 0.1712 0.2451
 SELF_CONCEPT 0.1712 1.0000 0.2886
 MOTIVATION 0.2451 0.2886 1.0000

Correlations Among the WITH Variables

READ WRITE MATH SCIENCE FEMALE

READ 1.0000 0.6286 0.6793 0.6907 -0.0417
 WRITE 0.6286 1.0000 0.6327 0.5691 0.2443
 MATH 0.6793 0.6327 1.0000 0.6495 -0.0482
 SCIENCE 0.6907 0.5691 0.6495 1.0000 -0.1382
 FEMALE -0.0417 0.2443 -0.0482 -0.1382 1.0000

Correlations Between the VAR Variables and the WITH Variables

READ WRITE MATH SCIENCE FEMALE

LOCUS_OF_CONTROL 0.3736 0.3589 0.3373 0.3246
 0.1134
 SELF_CONCEPT 0.0607 0.0194 0.0536 0.0698 -0.1260
 MOTIVATION 0.2106 0.2542 0.1950 0.1157 0.0981

The SAS System 10:43 Tuesday,

The output below gives the three canonical correlations and the multivariate tests of the dimensions. These results show that the first two of the three canonical correlations are statistically significant. The output also includes the four multivariate criteria and the F approximations.

The CANCORR Procedure

Canonical Correlation Analysis

	Adjusted Approximate Squared Canonical Correlation	Standard Canonical Correlation	Error Canonical Correlation
1	0.464086	0.455474	0.032059
2	0.167509	0.039712	0.028059
3	0.103991	0.040417	0.010814

Test of H0: The canonical correlations in Eigenvalues of $\text{Inv}(\mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{H}$ the current row and all that follow are zero

= CanRsq/(1-CanRsq)

Likelihood Approximate

**Eigenvalue Difference Proportion Cumulative Ratio F
Value Num DF Den DF Pr > F**

**1 0.2745 0.2456 0.8734 0.8734 0.75436113 11.72 15
1634.7 F**

Wilks' Lambda 0.75436113 11.72 15 1634.7

In general, the number of canonical dimensions is equal to the number of variables in the smaller set; however, the number of significant dimensions may be even smaller. Canonical dimensions, also known as canonical variates, are similar to latent variables that are found in factor analysis, except that canonical variates also maximize the correlation between the two sets of variables. For this particular model there are three canonical dimensions of which only the first two are statistically significant. The first test of dimensions tests whether all three dimensions are significant ($F = 11.72$), the next test

tests whether dimensions 2 and 3 combined are significant ($F = 2.94$). Finally, the last test tests whether dimension 3, by itself, is significant ($F = 2.16$). Therefore dimensions 1 and 2 are each significant while the third dimension is not.

Next, the raw canonical coefficients are shown below. The raw canonical coefficients are interpreted in a manner analogous to interpreting regression coefficients i.e., for the variable read, a one unit increase in reading leads to a .0446 increase in the first canonical variate of set 2 when all of the other variables are held constant. Here is another example: being female leads to a .6321 increase in dimension 1 for set 2 with the other predictors held constant.

Raw Canonical Coefficients for the VAR Variables

V1 V2 V3

LOCUS_OF_CONTROL locus of control 1.2538339076
0.6214775237 -0.661689607

**SELF_CONCEPT self-concept -0.35134993 1.1876866562
0.8267209411**

**MOTIVATION motivation 1.2624203286 -2.027264053
2.0002284379**

Raw Canonical Coefficients for the WITH Variables

W1 W2 W3

**READ reading score 0.0446205959 0.0049100176
0.0213805581**

**WRITE writing score 0.0358771125 -0.042071471
0.0913073288**

**MATH math score 0.0234171847 -0.004229472
0.0093982096**

**SCIENCE science score 0.0050251567 0.0851621751
-0.109835018**

FEMALE 0.6321192387 -1.084642482 -1.794646917

**The raw coefficients are followed by the standardized
canonical coefficients**

**shown below. When the variables in the model have
very different standard**

**deviations, the standardized coefficients allow for
easier comparisons among the**

variables. The standardized canonical coefficients are interpreted in a manner analogous to interpreting standardized regression coefficients. For example, consider the variable read, a one standard deviation increase in reading leads to a 0.45 standard deviation increase in the score on the first canonical variate for set 2 when the other variables in the model are held constant.

Standardized Canonical Coefficients for the VAR Variables

V1 V2 V3

LOCUS_OF_CONTROL locus of control 0.8404 0.4166
-0.4435

SELF_CONCEPT self-concept -0.2479 0.8379 0.5833

MOTIVATION motivation 0.4327 -0.6948 0.6855

Standardized Canonical Coefficients for the WITH Variables

W1 W2 W3

READ reading score 0.4508 0.0496 0.2160
WRITE writing score 0.3490 -0.4092 0.8881
MATH math score 0.2205 -0.0398 0.0885
SCIENCE science score 0.0488 0.8266 -1.0661
FEMALE 0.3150 -0.5406 -0.8944

Below are correlations between observed variables and canonical variables

which are known as the canonical loadings, which SAS labels as the canonical structure.

Canonical Structure

Correlations Between the VAR Variables and Their Canonical Variables

V1 V2 V3

LOCUS_OF_CONTROL locus of control 0.9040 0.3897
-0.1756

SELF_CONCEPT self-concept 0.0208 0.7087 0.7052

MOTIVATION motivation 0.5672 -0.3509 0.7451

Correlations Between the WITH Variables and Their Canonical Variables

W1 W2 W3**READ** reading score 0.8404 0.3588 0.1354**WRITE** writing score 0.8765 -0.0648 0.2546**MATH** math score 0.7639 0.2979 0.1478**SCIENCE** science score 0.6584 0.6768 -0.2304**FEMALE** 0.3641 -0.7549 -0.5434**Correlations Between the VAR Variables and the Canonical Variables of the WITH Variables****W1 W2 W3****LOCUS_OF_CONTROL** locus of control 0.4196 0.0653
-0.0183**SELF_CONCEPT** self-concept 0.0097 0.1187 0.0733**MOTIVATION** motivation 0.2632 -0.0588 0.0775**Correlations Between the WITH Variables and the Canonical Variables of the VAR Variables****V1 V2 V3****READ** reading score 0.3900 0.0601 0.0141**WRITE** writing score 0.4068 -0.0109 0.0265**MATH** math score 0.3545 0.0499 0.0154

SCIENCE science score 0.3056 0.1134 -0.0240
FEMALE 0.1690 -0.1265 -0.0565

Things to consider

As in the case of multivariate regression, MANOVA and so on, for valid inference, canonical correlation analysis requires the multivariate normal and homogeneity of variance assumption.

Canonical correlation analysis assumes a linear relationship between the canonical variates and each set of variables.

Similar to multivariate regression, canonical correlation analysis requires a large sample size.

See Also

References