

How to Easily Report ANOVA Results

Authored by
stats writer

December 5, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2025). *How to Easily Report ANOVA Results*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES.
Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=105566>

When reporting ANOVA results, it is important to include the F-value, the degrees of freedom for the numerator and denominator, and the significance level. Additionally, it is important to summarize the results of the ANOVA, including the mean values for each group and the overall F-value. Finally, it is important to provide a conclusion about the results of the ANOVA, including whether the differences observed were statistically significant or not.

A is used to determine whether or not there is a statistically significant difference between the means of three or more independent groups.

When reporting the results of a one-way ANOVA, we always use the following general structure:

A brief description of the independent and dependent variable.

The overall F-value of the ANOVA and the corresponding p-value.

The results of the post-hoc comparisons (if the p-value was statistically significant).

Here's the exact wording we can use:

A one-way ANOVA was performed to compare the effect of on .

A one-way ANOVA revealed that there a statistically significant difference in between at least two groups (F(between groups df, within groups df) = , p =).

Tukey's HSD Test for multiple comparisons found that the mean value of was significantly different between and (p = , 95% C.I. =).

There was no statistically significant difference between and (p=).

The following example shows how to report the results of a one-way ANOVA in practice.

Example: Reporting the Results of a One-Way ANOVA

Suppose a researcher recruits 30 students to participate in a study. The students are randomly assigned to use one of three studying techniques for the next month to prepare for an exam. At the end of the month, all of the students take the same test.

The researcher then performs a one-way ANOVA to determine if there is a difference in mean exam scores between the three groups.

The following table shows the results of the one-way ANOVA along with the Tukey post-hoc multiple comparisons table:

ANOVA

score

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	339.800	2	169.900	4.545	.020
Within Groups	1009.400	27	37.385		
Total	1349.200	29			

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: score

Tukey HSD

(I) technique	(J) technique	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	2	-7.700*	2.734	.024	-14.48	-.92
	3	-1.300	2.734	.883	-8.08	5.48
2	1	7.700*	2.734	.024	.92	14.48
	3	6.400	2.734	.067	-.38	13.18
3	1	1.300	2.734	.883	-5.48	8.08
	2	-6.400	2.734	.067	-13.18	.38

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Here is how to report the results of the one-way ANOVA:

A one-way ANOVA was performed to compare the effect of three different studying techniques on exam scores.

A one-way ANOVA revealed that there was a statistically significant difference in mean exam score between at least two groups ($F(2, 27) =$, $p = 0.02$).

Tukey's HSD Test for multiple comparisons found that the mean value of exam score was significantly different between technique 1 and technique 2 ($p = 0.024$, 95% C.I. =).

There was no statistically significant difference in mean exam scores between technique 1 and technique 3 ($p=0.883$) or between technique 2 and technique 3 ($p=0.067$).

Things to Keep in Mind

Here are a few things to keep in mind when reporting the results of a one-way ANOVA:

Use a descriptive statistics table.

For example, SPSS produces the following descriptive statistics table that shows the mean and standard deviation of exam scores for students in each of the three study technique groups:

Descriptives

score	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
1	10	83.40	8.435	2.667	77.37	89.43	71	98
2	10	91.10	3.604	1.140	88.52	93.68	85	96
3	10	84.70	5.293	1.674	80.91	88.49	78	94
Total	30	86.40	6.821	1.245	83.85	88.95	71	98

Only report post-hoc results if necessary.

If the overall p-value of the ANOVA is not statistically significant, then you will not conduct post-hoc multiple comparisons between groups. This means you obviously don't have to report any post-hoc results in the final report.

If you do have to conduct post-hoc tests, the Tukey HSD test is the most commonly used one but occasionally you may use the instead.

Round p-values when necessary.

As a general rule of thumb, the overall F value and any p-values in ANOVA results are rounded to either two or three decimal places for brevity.

No matter how many decimal places you choose to use, be sure to be consistent throughout the report.

The following tutorials explain how to report other statistical tests and procedures in APA format:

[How to Report Pearson's Correlation \(With Examples\)](#)