

# How to Easily Run a Kruskal-Wallis Test in Excel

Authored by  
**stats writer**

December 28, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2025). *How to Easily Run a Kruskal-Wallis Test in Excel*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=109365>

To accurately perform a Kruskal-Wallis Test in Excel, you must utilize the built-in Analysis ToolPak, specifically the Data Analysis utility. This specialized statistical tool allows you to execute the complex calculations required for the test efficiently.

The process involves inputting your raw data into designated input ranges and selecting the appropriate test from the list. Once the results are generated, you can interpret the outputs to determine if a statistically significant difference exists among the medians of your independent groups. This tutorial provides a step-by-step guide to mastering this technique within Excel.

The Kruskal-Wallis Test is a powerful statistical procedure used to assess whether there is a significant difference between the medians of three or more independent samples. It is widely recognized as the non-parametric alternative to the standard One-Way ANOVA, making it ideal when your data does not satisfy the assumptions of normality or homogeneity of variances.

This comprehensive tutorial will guide you through the manual steps necessary to conduct a precise Kruskal-Wallis Test directly within Microsoft Excel, focusing on the calculation of the test statistic and the corresponding p-value.

## Case Study: Investigating Fertilizer Impact on Plant Growth

To illustrate the application of the Kruskal-Wallis Test, consider a research scenario involving agricultural science. Researchers aim to determine if three distinct types of fertilizer lead to statistically different levels of plant growth. This requires a robust comparison of median outcomes across the treatments.

The experimental setup involves randomly selecting 30 plants, which are subsequently divided into three equally sized groups of 10. Each group receives one specific fertilizer treatment. At the end of one month, the researchers meticulously measure and record the final height (growth) of every single plant.

We will use the following detailed steps to perform the Kruskal-Wallis Test. The primary goal is to determine if the median growth measurements are consistent across all three fertilizer groups, which forms our null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ).

### Step 1: Data Preparation and Entry in Excel

The foundational step in any statistical analysis is accurate data entry. You must organize your raw data into separate columns corresponding to each independent group. In this example, we have three columns representing the total plant growth (measured in inches) for the 10 plants subjected to each fertilizer type.

Ensure your data is clean and correctly formatted before proceeding. The visual representation below shows the initial layout of the raw growth measurements across the three fertilizer groups in an Excel spreadsheet:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	<b>Fertilizer 1</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3</b>			
2	7	15	6			
3	14	17	8			
4	14	13	8			
5	13	15	9			
6	12	15	5			
7	9	13	14			
8	6	9	13			
9	14	12	8			
10	12	10	10			
11	8	8	9			
12						
13						
14						
15						

## Step 2: Ranking the Combined Data

The core of the Kruskal-Wallis methodology lies in ranking the data. Instead of analyzing the raw growth values, we assign a rank to every single observation across the entire dataset (all 30 plants combined), from smallest (rank 1) to largest (rank 30).

To handle ties (identical growth values), Excel's powerful **RANK.AVG()** function is essential. This function assigns the average rank to all tied observations, ensuring the non-parametric nature of the test is maintained. The following formula shows how to calculate the rank for the first plant in the first group, referencing the entire dataset:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<b>Fertilizer 1</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3</b>		<b>Fertilizer 1 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3 Ranks</b>
2	7	15	6		=RANK.AVG(A2, \$A\$2:\$C\$11, 1)		
3	14	17	8				
4	14	13	8				
5	13	15	9				
6	12	15	5				
7	9	13	14				
8	6	9	13				
9	14	12	8				
10	12	10	10				
11	8	8	9				
12							
13							
14							
15							

Once the formula is correctly set up for the first data point, copy this formula down and across to the rest of the cells covering all 30 observations. Ensure you use absolute references for the range containing all plant growth values:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<b>Fertilizer 1</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3</b>		<b>Fertilizer 1 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3 Ranks</b>
2	7	15	6		4	28	2.5
3	14	17	8		24.5	30	7
4	14	13	8		24.5	20.5	7
5	13	15	9		20.5	28	11.5
6	12	15	5		17	28	1
7	9	13	14		11.5	20.5	24.5
8	6	9	13		2.5	11.5	20.5
9	14	12	8		24.5	17	7
10	12	10	10		17	14.5	14.5
11	8	8	9		7	7	11.5
12							
13							
14							
15							

Then, calculate the sum of the ranks for each column along with the sample size (**nj**) and the squared sum of ranks divided by the sample size ( $R_j^2 / n_j$ ). These intermediate calculations are necessary inputs for the final test statistic formula:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<b>Fertilizer 1</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3</b>		<b>Fertilizer 1 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3 Ranks</b>
2	7	15	6		4	28	2.5
3	14	17	8		24.5	30	7
4	14	13	8		24.5	20.5	7
5	13	15	9		20.5	28	11.5
6	12	15	5		17	28	1
7	9	13	14		11.5	20.5	24.5
8	6	9	13		2.5	11.5	20.5
9	14	12	8		24.5	17	7
10	12	10	10		17	14.5	14.5
11	8	8	9		7	7	11.5
12				<b>R</b>	153	205	107
13				<b>n</b>	10	10	10
14				<b>R<sup>2</sup> / n</b>	2340.9	4202.5	1144.9
15							
16							

### Step 3: Calculating the Test Statistic (H) and P-Value

The test statistic (H) is defined by the following complex formula, which integrates the total sample size and the rank sums from the groups:

where:

$n$  = The total **sample size** across all groups ( $n = 30$ ).

$R_j^2$  = The squared sum of ranks for the  $j$ th group.

$n_j$  = The specific sample size of the  $j$ th group ( $n_j = 10$  for all groups here).

Under the assumption of the null hypothesis, the calculated H statistic approximately follows a Chi-square distribution with  $k-1$  degrees of freedom (where  $k=3$  groups, so  $df=2$ ).

The following screenshot illustrates the precise formulas used in Excel to calculate the test statistic, H, and the corresponding p-value using the **CHISQ.DIST.RT** function:

D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
	<b>Fertilizer 1 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 2 Ranks</b>	<b>Fertilizer 3 Ranks</b>							
	4	28	2.5		<b>n</b>	30	=COUNT(E2:G11)			
	24.5	30	7		<b>k</b>	3	=COUNTA(A1:C1)			
	24.5	20.5	7		<b>H</b>	6.204	=12/(J2*(J2+1))*SUM(E14:G14)-3*(J2+1)			
	20.5	28	11.5		<b>p-value</b>	0.045	0.044962			
	17	28	1							
	11.5	20.5	24.5							
	2.5	11.5	20.5							
	24.5	17	7							
	17	14.5	14.5							
	7	7	11.5							
<b>R</b>	153	205	107							
<b>n</b>	10	10	10							
<b>R<sup>2</sup> / n</b>	2340.9	4202.5	1144.9							

## Interpreting the Findings

Based on the calculations performed in Excel, the resulting test statistic is  $H = 6.204$ , and the corresponding probability value is  $p = 0.045$ . This calculated p-value is crucial for drawing our final statistical conclusion.

Since this p-value (0.045) is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, we must reject the null hypothesis. This signifies that the evidence is strong enough to conclude that the median plant growth is not identical across all three fertilizers used in the study. We have sufficient evidence to determine that the type of fertilizer used leads to statistically significant differences in plant growth.

## Step 4: Reporting the Results

Lastly, it is essential to report the results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test in a professional format, summarizing the methodology and the key statistical outputs (H and p-value). Here is an exemplary report structure:

A Kruskal-Wallis Test was performed to determine if median plant growth was the same for three different plant fertilizers. A total of 30 plants were used in the analysis. Each fertilizer was applied to 10 different plants.

The test revealed that the median plant growth was not the same ( $H = 6.204$ ,  $p = 0.045$ ) among the three fertilizers. That is, there was a statistically significant difference in median plant growth among two or more of the fertilizers, warranting further post-hoc analysis to isolate the specific differences between treatment pairs.