

# How to Run Logistic Regression in Stata: A Step-by-Step Guide

Authored by  
**stats writer**

March 8, 2026

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2026). *How to Run Logistic Regression in Stata: A Step-by-Step Guide*.  
PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from <https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=134589>

Logistic regression in Stata is a statistical method used to model the relationship between a binary outcome variable and one or more independent variables. To perform logistic regression in Stata, the "logit" command is used, followed by the outcome variable and the predictors. Stata then estimates the coefficients of the predictors and produces the corresponding odds ratios, which can be interpreted as the likelihood of the outcome occurring based on the values of the predictors. Additional options and commands can be used to further customize the analysis, such as specifying interactions between variables or checking for multicollinearity. The results of the logistic regression can be interpreted and presented in various ways, such as through tables, graphs, and statistical tests. Overall, logistic regression in Stata is a powerful tool for analyzing and understanding the relationship between a binary outcome variable and its predictors.

## Perform Logistic Regression in Stata

**Logistic Regression is a method that we use to fit a regression model when the response variable is binary. Here are some examples of when we may use logistic regression:**

**We want to know how exercise, diet, and weight impact the probability of having a heart attack. The response variable is *heart attack* and it has two potential outcomes: a heart attack occurs or does not occur. We want to know how GPA, ACT score, and number of AP classes taken impact the probability of getting accepted into a particular university. The response variable is *acceptance* and it has two potential outcomes: accepted or not accepted. We want to know whether word count and email title impact the probability that an**

email is spam. The response variable is *spam* and it has two potential outcomes: spam or not spam.

This tutorial explains how to perform logistic regression in Stata.

### Example: Logistic Regression in Stata

Suppose we are interested in understanding whether a mother's age and her smoking habits affect the probability of having a baby with a low birthweight.

To explore this, we can perform logistic regression using age and smoking (either yes or no) as explanatory variables and low birthweight (either yes or no) as a response variable. Since the response variable is binary - there are only two possible outcomes - it is appropriate to use logistic regression.

Perform the following steps in Stata to conduct a logistic regression using the dataset called *lbw*, which contains data on 189 different mothers.

### Step 1: Load the data.

Load the data by typing the following into the Command

**box:**

use <http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/lbw>

**Step 2: Get a summary of the data.**

**Gain a quick understanding of the data you're working with by typing the following into the Command box:**

**summarize**

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/lbw
(Hosmer & Lemeshow data)
```

```
. summarize
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
id	189	121.0794	63.30363	4	226
low	189	.3121693	.4646093	0	1
age	189	23.2381	5.298678	14	45
lwt	189	129.8201	30.57515	80	250
race	189	1.846561	.9183422	1	3
smoke	189	.3915344	.4893898	0	1
ptl	189	.1957672	.4933419	0	3
ht	189	.0634921	.2444936	0	1
ui	189	.1481481	.3561903	0	1
ftv	189	.7936508	1.059286	0	6
bwt	189	2944.286	729.016	709	4990

**We can see that there are 11 different variables in the dataset, but the only three that we care about are the following:**

**low** - whether or not the baby had a low birthweight. 1 = yes, 0 = no.  
**age** - age of the mother.  
**smoke** - whether or not the mother smoked during pregnancy. 1 = yes, 0 = no.

### Step 3: Perform logistic regression.

#### logit low age smoke

```
. logit low age smoke
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -117.336
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -113.66733
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -113.63815
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -113.63815
```

```
Logistic regression      Number of obs      =      189
                        LR chi2(2)                    =      7.40
                        Prob > chi2                    =      0.0248
Log likelihood = -113.63815  Pseudo R2          =      0.0315
```

low	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
age	-.0497792	.031972	-1.56	0.119	-.1124431 .0128846
smoke	.6918486	.3218061	2.15	0.032	.0611202 1.322577
_cons	.0609051	.7573199	0.08	0.936	-1.423415 1.545225

Here is how to interpret the most interesting numbers in the output:

**Coef (age): -.0497792.** Holding *smoke* constant, each one year increase in age is associated with a  $\exp(-.0497792) = .951$  increase in the odds of a baby having

**low birthweight. Because this number is less than 1, it means that an increase in age is actually associated with a decrease in the odds of having a baby with low birthweight.**

**For example, suppose mother A and mother B are both smokers. If mother A is one year older than mother B, then the odds that mother A has a low birthweight baby are just 95.1% of the odds that mother B has a low birthweight baby.**

**$P > |z|$  (age): 0.119. This is the p-value associated with the test statistic for *age*. Since this value is not less than 0.05, age is not a statistically significant predictor of low birthweight.**

**Odds Ratio (smoke): .6918486. Holding *age* constant, a mother who smokes during pregnancy has  $\exp(.6918486) = 1.997$  higher odds of having a baby with low birthweight compared to a mother who does not smoke during pregnancy.**

**For example, suppose mother A and mother B are both 30 years old. If mother A smokes during pregnancy and mother B does not, then the odds that mother A has a**

low birthweight baby are 99.7% higher than the odds that mother B has a low birthweight baby.

$P > |z|$  (smoke): 0.032. This is the p-value associated with the test statistic for *smoke*. Since this value is less than 0.05, *smoke* is a statistically significant predictor of low birthweight.

**Step 4: Report the results.**

Lastly, we want to report the results of our logistic regression. Here is an example of how to do so:

A logistic regression was performed to determine whether a mother's age and her smoking habits affect the probability of having a baby with a low birthweight. A sample of 189 mothers was used in the analysis.

Results showed that there was a statistically significant relationship between smoking and probability of low birthweight ( $z = 2.15$ ,  $p = .032$ ) while there was not a statistically significant relationship between age and probability of low birthweight ( $z = -1.56$ ,  $p = .119$ ).