How to Find the P-value of an F-Statistic in Excel

Authored by
stats writer

March 12, 2026

RECOMMENDED CITATION

stats writer (2026). *How to Find the P-value of an F-Statistic in Excel*. PSYCHOLOGICAL SCALES. Retrieved from https://scales.arabpsychology.com/?p=135317

The process of calculating the **P-value** of an **F-statistic** within **Excel** is a fundamental skill for data analysts and researchers. This procedure typically involves leveraging specialized built-in functions such as **FDIST** or **F.DIST.RT** to determine the **cumulative probability** associated with a specific test result. By inputting the calculated **F-statistic** along with the appropriate **degrees of freedom** for both the numerator and the denominator, users can derive a precise probability value. This resulting **P-value** serves as the primary metric for evaluating the **statistical significance** of an observed effect, allowing practitioners to make informed decisions regarding the **null hypothesis**. Utilizing these computational tools ensures that complex statistical derivations are handled with both speed and accuracy, which is essential for rigorous data interpretation.

Foundations of the F-Test and Statistical Significance

In the realm of quantitative analysis, an **F-test** is a statistical procedure used to compare the variances of two different populations or to assess the overall fit of a **regression model**. The test generates an **F-statistic**, which represents the ratio of explained variance to unexplained variance. To ascertain the probability that such a result could have occurred under the **null hypothesis**, analysts must find the corresponding **P-value**. In **Excel**, this calculation is streamlined through the use of specific formulas designed to query the **F-distribution** curve.

The primary command utilized for modern statistical analysis in **Excel** is the right-tailed distribution function. This is particularly useful because the **F-test** is almost exclusively a right-tailed test, focusing on whether the ratio of variances is significantly greater than what would be expected by random chance. By applying this function, users can transform a raw **F-statistic** into a meaningful probability that informs whether the observed data deviates significantly from the expected values defined by the **null hypothesis**.

To identify the **P-value** associated with an **F-statistic** within the **Excel** environment, the following syntax is required: **=F.DIST.RT(x, degree_freedom1, degree_freedom2)**. This function acts as a bridge between theoretical **F-distributions** and practical data application. It requires three specific arguments to provide an accurate result: the test statistic itself and the two distinct parameters of **degrees of freedom** that characterize the specific distribution being analyzed.

Deconstructing the Arguments of the F.DIST.RT Function

Understanding the components of the **F.DIST.RT** function is crucial for ensuring the accuracy of your **statistical significance** tests. The first argument, **x**, represents the calculated **F-statistic**. This value is derived from your data, often from an **ANOVA** table or a **regression model** output. It is essentially the ratio that the function will evaluate against the **F-distribution** to determine how far into the "tail" of the distribution the result falls.

The second and third arguments, **degree_freedom1** and **degree_freedom2**, are the **degrees of**

freedom for the numerator and denominator, respectively. In the context of a **regression model**, the numerator **degrees of freedom** typically correspond to the number of independent variables being tested. The denominator **degrees of freedom** are generally related to the sample size minus the number of parameters being estimated. Correctly identifying these values is vital, as the shape of the **F-distribution** changes significantly based on these parameters.

x: This is the numerical value of the **F-statistic** that you have calculated from your dataset.
degree_freedom1: This refers to the numerator **degrees of freedom**, representing the constraints related to the groups or variables being compared.
degree_freedom2: This refers to the denominator **degrees of freedom**, representing the error or residual constraints in the analysis.

Practical Application: A Calculation Demonstration

To illustrate the application of these concepts, consider a scenario where a researcher has calculated an **F-statistic** of 5.4. In this specific study, the experimental design results in a numerator **degrees of freedom** value of 2 and a denominator **degrees of freedom** value of 9. By inputting these parameters into the **Excel** function, the user can instantly obtain the probability of observing such a result if the **null hypothesis** were true.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	F-statistic	5.4				
2	numerator df	2				
3	denominator df	9				
4	p-value	0.02878	=F.DIST.RT(B1, B2, B3)			
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						

Upon executing the formula in a spreadsheet cell, **Excel** returns a **P-value** of **0.02878**. This number is the key to the entire statistical investigation. Because this value is less than the common **significance level** threshold of 0.05, the researcher would typically conclude that the results are statistically significant. This objective measure removes the guesswork from data analysis, providing a mathematical basis for scientific or business conclusions.

In various professional fields, from pharmacology to economics, the **F-test** is frequently employed during **ANOVA** procedures. In the following sections, we will explore how this process applies to a more complex **regression model**, which is perhaps the most common use case for the **F-statistic** in modern data science and predictive analytics.

Example: Calculating P-Value from Regression F-Statistics

Imagine we are analyzing a dataset designed to predict academic performance. The dataset includes observations for 12 different students, tracking the total number of hours they studied, the number of preparatory exams they completed, and the final scores they achieved on their exams. This multi-variable approach requires a **linear regression** model to understand the relationship between study habits and outcomes.

	A	B	C	D
1	**study_hours**	**prep_exams**	**score**	
2	3	2	76	
3	7	6	88	
4	16	5	96	
5	14	2	90	
6	12	7	98	
7	7	4	80	
8	4	4	86	
9	19	2	89	
10	4	8	68	
11	8	4	75	
12	8	1	72	
13	3	3	76	
14				
15				
16				
17				

By fitting a **linear regression** model to this data, we designate "study_hours" and "prep_exams" as our explanatory variables, while the "score" serves as our response variable. The goal of the **F-test** in this context is to determine if the group of explanatory variables, taken together, has a statistically significant relationship with the response variable. If the **P-value** is low, we can state with confidence that our model provides a better fit than a model with no independent variables.

After running the regression analysis tool in **Excel**, the software generates a summary output table. This table includes the **F-statistic** for the overall model, which helps us judge the validity of the entire predictive framework rather than looking at individual coefficients in isolation.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	study_hours	prep_exams	score								
2	3	2	76		SUMMARY OUTPUT						
3	7	6	88								
4	16	5	96		*Regression Statistics*						
5	14	2	90		Multiple R	0.7286					
6	12	7	98		R Square	0.5308					
7	7	4	80		Adjusted R Square	0.4265					
8	4	4	86		Standard Error	7.3268					
9	19	2	89		Observations	12					
10	4	8	68								
11	8	4	75		ANOVA						
12	8	1	72			*df*	*SS*	*MS*	*F*	*Significance F*	
13	3	3	76		Regression	2	546.5331	273.2665	5.0905	0.0332	
14					Residual	9	483.1336	53.6815			
15					Total	11	1029.6667				
16											
17						*Coefficients*	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*	*P-value*	*Lower 95%*	*Upper 95%*
18					Intercept	66.9901	6.2114	10.7849	0.0000	52.9388	81.0414
19					study_hours	1.2999	0.4170	3.1172	0.0124	0.3566	2.2432
20					prep_exams	1.1173	1.0251	1.0899	0.3041	-1.2018	3.4363
21											
22											

In this specific regression output, the calculated **F-statistic** for the overall model is **5.0905**. To understand the significance of this number, we look at the associated **degrees of freedom**. In this case, there are 2 **degrees of freedom** for the numerator (representing our two predictors: hours and exams) and 9 **degrees of freedom** for the denominator (representing the residual errors from our 12 observations).

Interpreting the Regression P-Value Output

Once the **F-statistic** is established, **Excel** automatically computes the **P-value** for the entire model. For our student dataset, the software identifies that the **P-value** for this **F-statistic** is **0.0332**. This value is critical because it tells us whether the correlation we are seeing in the sample is likely to exist in the broader population.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	study_hours	prep_exams	score								
2	3	2	76		SUMMARY OUTPUT						
3	7	6	88								
4	16	5	96		*Regression Statistics*						
5	14	2	90		Multiple R	0.7286					
6	12	7	98		R Square	0.5308					
7	7	4	80		Adjusted R Square	0.4265					
8	4	4	86		Standard Error	7.3268					
9	19	2	89		Observations	12					
10	4	8	68								
11	8	4	75		ANOVA						
12	8	1	72			*df*	*SS*	*MS*	*F*	*Significance F*	
13	3	3	76		Regression	2	546.5331	273.2665	5.0905	0.0332	
14					Residual	9	483.1336	53.6815			
15					Total	11	1029.6667				
16											
17						*Coefficients*	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*	*P-value*	*Lower 95%*	*Upper 95%*
18					Intercept	66.9901	6.2114	10.7849	0.0000	52.9388	81.0414
19					study_hours	1.2999	0.4170	3.1172	0.0124	0.3566	2.2432
20					prep_exams	1.1173	1.0251	1.0899	0.3041	-1.2018	3.4363
21											
22											

A **P-value** of 0.0332 suggests that there is only a 3.32% chance that we would see such a strong relationship between study habits and exam scores if there were actually no relationship at all. Since this is below the standard 5% threshold, we reject the **null hypothesis** and conclude that the **regression model** is statistically significant.

While **Excel** provides this value automatically in its regression summary, it is often useful to perform the calculation manually to verify results or to build custom dashboards. Using the **F.DIST.RT** function manually allows for greater flexibility in how the data is presented and manipulated within a larger spreadsheet project.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	study_hours	prep_exams	score								
2	3	2	76		SUMMARY OUTPUT						
3	7	6	88								
4	16	5	96		*Regression Statistics*						
5	14	2	90		Multiple R	0.7286					
6	12	7	98		R Square	0.5308					
7	7	4	80		Adjusted R Square	0.4265					
8	4	4	86		Standard Error	7.3268					
9	19	2	89		Observations	12					
10	4	8	68								
11	8	4	75		ANOVA						
12	8	1	72			*df*	*SS*	*MS*	*F*	*Significance F*	
13	3	3	76		Regression	2	546.5331	273.2665	5.0905	0.0332	
14					Residual	9	483.1336	53.6815			
15	0.0332	=F.DIST.RT(I13, F13, F14)			Total	11	1029.6667				
16											
17						*Coefficients*	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*	*P-value*	*Lower 95%*	*Upper 95%*
18					Intercept	66.9901	6.2114	10.7849	0.0000	52.9388	81.0414
19					study_hours	1.2999	0.4170	3.1172	0.0124	0.3566	2.2432
20					prep_exams	1.1173	1.0251	1.0899	0.3041	-1.2018	3.4363
21											
22											

As demonstrated in the image above, applying the formula manually yields the exact same **P-value** as the automated regression output. This consistency confirms that the underlying mathematical logic used by **Excel** remains uniform regardless of whether you are using the Data Analysis Toolpak or writing your own formulas.

Advanced Considerations for F-Distribution Analysis

When working with **F-distributions**, it is important to distinguish between the various versions of the **F-test** function available in **Excel**. While **F.DIST.RT** provides the right-tailed probability, the standard **F.DIST** function can provide the **cumulative probability** from the left. Choosing the correct function is essential for aligning your spreadsheet calculations with the specific statistical hypothesis you are testing.

Furthermore, analysts should always be mindful of the assumptions underlying the **F-test**. These include the assumption that the data is normally distributed and that the samples being compared have independent observations. If these assumptions are violated, the **P-value** generated by **Excel** may lead to incorrect conclusions, highlighting the importance of thorough exploratory data analysis before proceeding to formal testing.

In conclusion, calculating the **P-value** of an **F-statistic** is a straightforward process in **Excel** once the user understands the relationship between the test statistic and the **degrees of freedom**. Whether you are conducting a simple variance comparison or a complex multivariate **regression analysis**, these tools provide the quantitative rigor necessary for high-level data interpretation and decision-making.